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Executive summary

This Urban Design and Landscape Plan (UDLP) is produced to document the Urban design and Landscape design specific to Devils Pulpit to Richmond River Sections 7, 8 and 9 of the Woolgoolga to Ballina Pacific Highway upgrade, a combined upgrade total of 34km. This report is submitted by the applicant Roads and Maritime Services for construction approval in accordance with MCoA D20.

This plan was prepared in accordance with the:
• Roads and Maritime Services Urban Design Visual Guidelines
• The design principles outlined in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Submissions/Preferred Infrastructure Report (SPIR)
• The revegetation principles outlined in the EIS Working Paper - Biodiversity.

Project background

The Pacific Highway upgrade is one of the largest road infrastructure projects in New South Wales. The Pacific Highway connects Sydney and Brisbane, and is a major contributor to Australia’s economic activity. The Woolgoolga to Ballina upgrade for the Pacific Highway has been managed into 11 Sections with upgrade work to Woolgoolga to Halfway Creek (Section 1) and Halfway Creek to Glenugie (Sections 2 and 2A) under construction. The Australian and New South Wales Governments are committed to completing the Pacific Highway upgrade (Sections 3 to 11) by 2020.

Design process

The design process employed a number of reference documents and guidelines to support the development of design strategies and outcomes. These guidelines included:
• Upgrading the Pacific Highway–Design Guidelines, Roads and Maritime Services, March 2015
• Pacific Highway Urban Design Framework Urban design guidelines for the SH10 from Hexham to Tweed Heads, Roads and Traffic Authority April 2005
• Bridge Aesthetics, Design guideline to improve the appearance of bridges in NSW, Roads and Maritime Services Centre for Urban Design July 2012
• Beyond the Pavement, Urban Design Policy, Procedures and Design Principles, Roads and Maritime Services Centre for Urban Design 2014.

An integrated multidisciplinary design approach was instilled throughout the design process. This process has achieved urban design and landscape objectives that provide a holistic, yet varied and consistent design strategy across the wider Woolgoolga to Ballina project.

The multidisciplinary design approach has facilitated the delivery of Roads and Maritime Services vision for the Pacific Highway as stated in the Pacific Highway Urban Design Framework and reprised below:

‘The upgrade should be a sweeping, green highway providing panoramic views to the Great Dividing Range and the forests, farmlands and coastline of the Pacific Ocean; sensitively designed to fit into the landscape and be unobtrusive; and characterised by simple and refined road infrastructure.’

Figure i  Roads and Maritime Services design reference and guideline documents
Figure ii  A sample of the Strategy Plan for an area of Section 8 (Trustums Hill to Broadwater) of the Woolgoolga to Ballina upgrade

LEGEND - EXISTING VEGETATION COMMUNITY TYPE

- Blackbutt - Bloodwood dry healthy open forest
- Blackbutt - Bloodwood Grassy open forest
- Grey Gum - Grey Ironbark open forest
- Forest Red Gum - Grassy open forest
- Narrow-leaved Red Gum woodland
- Spotted Gum - Grey Ironbark Woodlands
- Swamp Ck swamp forest
- Coastal Heath on sand
- Needletark stringy-bark
- Red Bloodwood Heathly woodland
- Wet Heathland and shrubland
- Coastal Cypress Pine Forest
- Shrubs Oak Floodplain Forest on Coastal Floodplains
- Coastal Cypress Pine Flooding Forest
- Swamp Scarpnphil Forest on Coastal Floodplains
- Litoral Reinflooded
- Coastal Floodplain Gaytlands
- Swamp Ck floodplain Forest

All elements within composition are indicative only.
Design Objectives and Strategies

In fulfilling the Roads and Maritime Services vision and the Minister’s Conditions, six project objectives were developed to define the nature and parameters of this design response. They are:

• Provide a flowing road alignment which is responsive and integrated with the landscape.
• Provide a well vegetated, natural road reserve.
• Provide an enjoyable, interesting highway.
• Value the communities and towns along the road.
• Provide consistency with variety in road elements.
• Provide a simplified and unobtrusive road design.

In response to the Urban and landscape design objectives, six urban and landscape design strategies were employed to ensure they are achieved.

• Blend topographic change
• Respect local vegetation
• Sequence views
• Emphasise moments
• Consistently connect
• Safely and sensitively structure

The design strategies (example strategy plan shown in Figure ii) provide the framework for the design of the detailed construction documentation which has been developed concurrently to this report.

Consultation with communities, councils agencies and stakeholders has occurred during the design develop phase of the project. The consultation strategy recommended a co-hosted consultation approach incorporating the Draft Urban Design and Landscape Plan alongside the proposed design refinements for consideration as part of the detailed design development process. The feedback and responses as a result of community, agency and stakeholder consultation on the draft urban design and landscape plan are addressed in the Community Consultation Report for the Urban Design and Landscape Plans, February 2017. The Community Consultation Report is prepared in accordance with the community and stakeholder engagement strategy for the project is contained in Appendix C of this report.

A flood focus group was formed and extensive flood modelling was undertaken to predict potential flood impacts and identify mitigation strategies across the project. Landscape design responses to flood modelling are identified in chapter 11.

Design Response

The design was developed through rigorous analysis of local conditions and the development of design strategies that respond to the existing and modified environments.

Design elements include:
• Landscape design
• Grade separated interchanges
• Multiple bridge crossings
• Fauna connectivity structures
• New car parking and access to the New Italy settlement

The overall design response builds upon the existing landscape character experienced along the journey between Devils pulpit and the southern embankment of the Richmond River. The landscape character builds upon the visual design cues and language evidenced in the existing Pacific Highway built infrastructure.

The existing landscape character is largely determined by vegetative characteristics which vary between open floodplains of cultivated cropping and grazing land to swamp forests, littoral scrub and the coastal heath of the Broadwater National Park.

Planting design for the road corridor has been composed to directly respond to the existing landscape character seen along the journey. A plant palette was developed that exclusively adopted plant species endemic within the region in order to recreate, reinstate and strengthen the existing landscape character.

The species mixes were composed to establish an attractive native vegetation corridor which is safe to maintain, appropriate for local fauna and is safe for motorists. The species mix composition and their sensitive application within the corridor will choreograph view sequences throughout the journey to achieve both open and closed views typical of the wider Pacific Highway design.

Panoramic views of the Broadwater National Park have been introduced from the Broadwater Interchange where the new highway crossing will reveal views across Broadwater National Park towards the coastline. This view will increase the connection that the locals have with their environment and contribute to their sense of place.

Both the Broadwater and Woodburn interchanges have been designed with a consistent bridge architectural aesthetic which responds to and extends the successful design language applied in the Yelgun Chinderah Pacific Highway upgrade.

Key elements which feature strongly are:
• Tapered median piers as seen in Figure iii.
• Throw screen design
• Medium performance barriers
• Spill through abutments

Underbridges also feature the use of the regular performance barrier to provide for superior landscape views.

In addition to underbridges that provide cross corridor connectivity, fauna fencing and habitat planted fauna connectivity structures are provided to direct fauna movements at regular intervals.
Figure v  Artist impression of New Italy car park
These fauna crossings are composed using a variety of techniques including creek realignments, overhead glider poles, fauna ledges, and a range of culvert and bridge arrangements to encourage cross corridor fauna movements. An indicative arrangement is presented in Figure iv.

The Devils Pulpit to Richmond River Sections 7, 8 and 9 of the Woolgoolga to Ballina Pacific Highway upgrade each have milestones along the highway journey.

In Section 7, the New Italy community provides an opportunity to break the journey and experience northern New South Wales cultural heritage. An upgrade to the highway access together with enhanced New Italy car parking has increased the amenity and functionality for single vehicles, recreational vehicles, trailers and coaches. These improvements are shown in Figure v. Formalised crossing points and native plantings are also provided to reinforce local vegetative character and the overall experience of this cultural heritage location.

In Section 8, the Woodburn Interchange offers both wayfinding and a journey marker along the highway. Nestled comfortably within the ridge line and featuring extensive local plantings including Blackbean and Red Cedar feature plantings, the Woodburn Interchange planting palette directly references the indigenous and European heritage of the region as described in Chapter five and eight of this report.

Section 9 features the Broadwater Interchange as shown in Figure vi. Complementing the environmental approach adopted throughout the journey, Broadwater Interchange showcases local planting communities as a key design feature. Specifically the coastal heath and subtropical palm rainforest of the Broadwater National Park are reflected in the planting and seed mixes to characterise the driver experience and create a visual connection to the wider Broadwater National Park landscape. In addition to this planting, the interchange northern approach features a patterned sugar cane conveyor protection screen demonstrated in Figure vii. This screen provides a key way finding moment through its adoption of the patterning from the existing Broadwater entry signage. Conclusion

The design as described in this document achieves the environmental requirements and measures set by the project Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Submissions/Preferred Infrastructure Report (SPIR) and fulfils the stated requirements of the Minister’s Conditions of Approval for the project (MCoA).

The overall corridor design outcomes inclusive of the living landscape will ensure the upgraded Pacific Highway will continue to service the needs of the travelling public, achieve transport efficiencies, sit comfortably within the local environment and contribute positively to the neighbouring human and environmental communities.

Figure vi  Artist impression of Broadwater Interchange looking north

Figure vii  Artist impression of the sugar cane conveyor bridge
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**Glossary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terminology</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bioregion</td>
<td>Classification of Australia's landscape into 89 distinct bioregions based on climate, geology, landform and native vegetation and species information. The Woolgoolga to Ballina project is within the New South Wales North Coast Bioregion (NNC) as defined by Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation of Australia mapping (IBRA).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cover crop (short lived)</td>
<td>Fast growing, but short lived non-native pasture grasses with low reproduction levels (low fecundity) used to revegetate exposed batters to minimise erosion and weed infestation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compost blanket</td>
<td>Consists of high quality compost incorporating organic tackifiers, biological stimulants, wetting agents, soil ameliorants and seed mix which is applied to the batter surface with pneumatic blowers at a thickness of between 25–100mm depending on type of vegetation to be established.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drill/broadcast seeding</td>
<td>Seeding using a mechanical disc seeder towed by a tractor. Drill seeders have metal discs which create small furrows into which seed is placed. Broadcast seeding involves the mechanical spreading of seed on the soil surface using a trailer or truck mounted spinning type or agitator type seed spreader. Following seeding, the soil is harrowed to cover the seed with a thin layer of soil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct return</td>
<td>Stripping and replacement of site soils containing a seed bank of native indigenous species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fauna crossing structure</td>
<td>Structures which allow animals to safely cross over human-made barriers such as highways.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frangible</td>
<td>Planting which breaks under the impact of a motor vehicle (and hence helps to stop a vehicle). Generally trees and shrubs with a mature trunk diameter of less than 100mm at 500mm above ground are considered frangible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydromulching</td>
<td>Various types of organic fibrous materials mixed with water and sprayed onto the soil surface in slurry form which sets to form a layer and provides temporary protection from wind and water erosion. The mix may include seed of a cover crop, legume, native ground cover, shrub or tree species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroleaching</td>
<td>Hydraulic application of seed, seed carrier and soil ameliorants added to a tank fitted with an agitator and pump. It is commonly followed by hydromulching or straw mulching to provide surface protection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous species</td>
<td>Plant species native to the bioregion in which the project is located.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape Management Plan</td>
<td>A defined combination of techniques and frequency of activities for the successful establishment, maintenance and ongoing management of all landscape areas developed by seeding, planting or bushland regeneration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape soil</td>
<td>Soil profile which is either modified from a natural soil or manufactured and installed using artificial components for the purpose of sustaining vegetation is chosen to achieve a particular landscape design outcome or revegetation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terminology</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local provenance seed</td>
<td>Seed collected from plants growing in the locality of the project site which may include the road corridor and adjoining areas within the New South Wales North Coast (NNC) Bioregion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native grasses</td>
<td>Grass species native to Australia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural soils</td>
<td>Soils remaining in situ which have formed distinct horizons and typically sustaining specific plant communities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-native</td>
<td>Plants which are not native to the bioregion in which the project site is located.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noxious weeds</td>
<td>Plants declared noxious weeds which are classified into one of five control classes with specified action for each class by the Noxious Weed Act, 1993 and Weed Control Order 2014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasture grass</td>
<td>Mix of grasses and legumes, predominantly grasses with a portion of legumes to provide nitrogen; typically used on areas which are not to be managed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant container</td>
<td>Containers for plant stock in various sizes and volumes. Pots are containers with rigid walls, which are identified by their diameter in millimetres. Bags are containers with flexible or woven walls, which are identified by their volume in litres.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstruction</td>
<td>The practice of revegetating areas where the soil profile has been disturbed by construction activity; the process involves soil treatment, which may include return of bushland soil, followed by drill seeding, hydromulching or mass planting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regeneration</td>
<td>The practice of restoring disturbed or cleared bushland areas where the soil profile remains intact by reinstating and reinforcing the natural regeneration processes in areas within or adjoining bushland, primarily through weed control (weed cover should be less than 15 per cent after 12 months from start of the work).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revegetation</td>
<td>Re-establishing vegetation on an area by direct seeding with native species using manual or mechanical means such as hydromulching, straw mulching, or tractor seeding. A cover crop of annual grass or legume species may be required to provide surface protection in some situations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubestock</td>
<td>Rigid plant containers with a top edge length or diameter of 40–50 mm or 75 mm. Includes individual containers as well as trays, and may have proprietary names.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terminology</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EEC</td>
<td>Endangered Ecological Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIS</td>
<td>Environmental Impact Statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPBC</td>
<td>Environment Protection Biodiversity Conservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESD</td>
<td>Ecologically Sustainable Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCoA</td>
<td>Minister’s Conditions of Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPP</td>
<td>Oxleyan Pygmy Perch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAD</td>
<td>Potential Aboriginal Archeological site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads and Maritime</td>
<td>Roads and Maritime Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP</td>
<td>Sustainability Action Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPIR</td>
<td>Submission/Preferred Infrastructure Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDLP</td>
<td>Urban Design Landscape Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2B</td>
<td>Woolgoolga to Ballina</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Introduction
1.1 Background

The Pacific Highway upgrade is one of the largest road infrastructure projects in NSW. It connects Sydney and Brisbane, and is a major contributor to Australia’s economic activity. The road is a vital piece of the nation’s infrastructure and is a key link in the National Land Transport Network. The Australian and NSW governments have been jointly upgrading the Pacific Highway since 1996.

An upgraded Pacific Highway must continue to service the needs of the travelling public and achieve transport efficiencies, while also ensuring ecological sustainability and meeting the needs of the coastal communities that live along the highway. Upgrading new sections and carrying out safety improvements to the existing highway have brought major improvements to road conditions. These improvements support regional development and provide:

• Safer travel
• Reduced travel times with improved transport efficiency
• More consistent and reliable travel
• Improved amenity for local communities.

1.2 Overview of the Woolgoolga to Ballina Upgrade

The 155 kilometre upgrade between Woolgoolga to Ballina is the last highway link between Hexham and the Queensland border to be upgraded to four lanes. The project will duplicate the existing highway to two lanes in each direction from about six kilometres north of Woolgoolga (north of Coffs Harbour) to about six kilometres south of Ballina.

The project bypasses the towns of Grafton, South Grafton, Ulmarra, Woodburn, Broadwater and Wardell. The project will include building new lanes and realigning the road.

Key features of the upgrade include:

• Duplicating 155 kilometres of the Pacific Highway to a motorway standard (Class M) or arterial road (Class A), with two lanes in each direction and room to add a third lane if required in the future
• Split-level (grade-separated) interchanges at Range Road, Glenugie, Tyndale, Maclean, Yamba/Harwood, Woombah (Iluka Road), Woodburn, Broadwater and Wardell
• Bypasses of Grafton, South Grafton, Ulmarra, Woodburn, Broadwater and Wardell
• More than 100 bridges including major crossings of the Clarence and Richmond rivers
• Bridges over and under the highway to maintain access to local roads that cross the highway
• Access roads to maintain connections to existing local roads and properties
• Structures designed to safely encourage animals over and under the upgraded highway where it crosses key animal habitat or wildlife corridors
• Rest areas conveniently located at intervals to assist with reducing driver fatigue
• Heavy vehicle checking stations near Halfway Creek and north of the Richmond River
• Connections from the project to the local road network and other sections of the Pacific Highway
• Emergency stopping facilities, and U-turn bays
• Relocation of utilities and provision of roadside furniture, fencing (including wildlife exclusion fencing) and lighting.
1.3 Project type and staging
The Pacific Highway Program Office is responsible for the 657 kilometre Pacific Highway upgrade program between Hexham and the Queensland border and is leading the wave of major infrastructure projects in NSW with an equally strong focus on delivery and leaving a positive legacy. A clear benefit of the program office is that it provides a single point of contact for the general public and key stakeholders while also offering an integrated and collaborative office tasked with developing and delivering the upgrade program.

In order to realise Roads and Maritime's vision of 'driving a better highway upgrade' the program office has adopted a delivery partner model for the Woolgoolga to Ballina upgrade.

The delivery partner model is based on the approach used to oversee construction of the London Olympics and supports collaboration and innovation by bringing business, workers, consumers and suppliers together. It encourages the best ideas and solutions from the private sector while also drawing on the Roads and Maritime’s knowledge to ensure better engineering and design, customer outcomes and public value including:

- Greater access to resources and optimising resources from within the public and private sector
- Greater flexibility in resource use to better respond to delays and disruptive events
- Better customer outcomes through a consistent and coordinated approach
- Economies of scale and better access to competitive suppliers and subcontractors
- Direct engagement of design, management and construction skills to fast track the upgrade.

The delivery partner Pacific Complete, comprising Laing O’Rourke and WSP. Parsons Brinckerhoff is working closely with the Pacific Highway Program Office to oversee the project and handle multiple contracts for professional services and building of the $4.36 billion upgrade.

The project was divided into 11 sections in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for assessment purposes, excluding the completed Glenugie and Devils Pulpit upgrades.

Sections 1 and 2 of the upgrade, between Woolgoolga and Glenugie, are being built and Sections 3-11 are being managed during design development in the following portions (Figure 1.1):

- Glenugie to Maclean (sections 3 and 4)
- Maclean to Devils Pulpit (sections 5 and 6)
- Devils Pulpit to Richmond River (sections 7, 8 and 9)
- Richmond River Bridge crossing (Portion F - subject to a separate submission)
- Richmond River to Ballina (sections 10 and 11).

Design and construction of the bridges crossing the Clarence and Richmond rivers is being managed separately.

1.4 Purpose of this plan
This plan has been developed to address the requirements of the Minister’s Conditions of Approval (MCoA) D20 and present an integrated urban design for the Woolgoolga to Ballina project. This plan specifically addresses Devils Pulpit to Richmond River (sections 7, 8 and 9) and demonstrates commitment to the mitigation and management measures identified in the Woolgoolga to Ballina Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), the submissions/ preferred infrastructure report (SPIR, and other approved environmental management documentation).

1.5 Urban and landscape design methodology
The urban and landscape design methodology has been revised at each design stage. As the project progresses through detailed design an integrated multidisciplinary design approach has been instilled to achieve urban design and landscape objectives which provide a holistic, yet varied and consistent design strategy. The design process is shown in Figure 1.2.

Figure 1.2 Design Process (Image source: Beyond the Pavement 2014)
1.6 Reference documents, standards and guidelines

Urban design for the project is guided by three key documents:
- The overarching best practice urban design principles as set out in Beyond the Pavement – Urban Design Policy Procedures and Design Principles by Roads and Maritime Services’ Centre for Urban Design, 2014
- The Urban Design report prepared as part of the EIS for the Woolgoolga to Ballina project – Pacific Highway Upgrade Woolgoolga to Ballina Urban Design Report Landscape Character and Visual Impact Assessment, Hassell, September 2012.

In addition, the UDLP has been prepared with reference to the following approval and policy guideline documents.

Approval documents:
- Project Approval Notice dated 14 August 2014, and Modifications to the Project Approval dated 15 January 2015 and 7 October 2015
- Upgrading the Pacific Highway – Design Guidelines, March 2015

Guidelines documents:
- Guideline for Batter Surface Stabilisation using vegetation, Roads and Maritime, April 2015
- Soils for Landscape and Garden Use, Australian Standards AS 4419
- Composts, Soil Conditioners and Mulches, Australian Standards AS 4454
- Roads and Maritime construction specifications including R178 Vegetation and R179 Planting
- Beyond the Pavement – Urban Design Policy, Procedures and Design Principles, Roads and Maritime, 2014
- Bridge Aesthetics – design guideline to improve the appearance of bridges in NSW, Roads and Maritime, July 2012
- Landscape Guidelines, Roads and Maritime, April 2008
- Shotcrete Design Guidelines, Roads and Maritime, March 2016
- Biodiversity Guidelines – Protecting and Managing Biodiversity, RTA, September 2011.
1.7 Document structure
The structure and content of the Urban Design and Landscape Plan is presented in Table 1.0.

1.8 Design development and representations
The visualisations, artists’ impressions, design drawings, figures and images shown in this report accurately represent the detailed design at the time of publication. Design developments that occur during construction will be addressed in supplementary reports. Future design development may therefore occur that is not represented in the report. Artists’ impressions are intended to be indicative ideas of a possible future landscape at maturity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1.0 Document structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 1 – Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 2 – Overview of the Pacific Highway upgrade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 3 – Consultation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 4 – Project wide urban design and landscape objectives and principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 5 – Contextual analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 6 – Design Principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 7 – Urban design and landscape design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 8 – Detailed response for urban and landscape design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 9 – Planting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 10 – Topsoil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 11 – Drainage and water quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 12 – Fauna crossings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 13 – Conclusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 14 – Bibliography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overview of the Pacific Highway Upgrade
2.1 Minister’s conditions of approval

The Woolgoolga to Ballina project has been approved as State Significant Infrastructure under Part 5.1 of the New South Wales Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (SSI-4963, approval dated 24 June 2014). The project is also approved under the Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Act 1999 (012/6394 approval dated 14/08/14).

MCoA D20 relates to the preparation of an UDLP to be implemented before the start of permanent built work and/or landscaping. The specific requirements of MCoA D20 and where they are addressed in this plan are outlined in Table 2.0.

Table 2.0 MCoA D20 Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C0A No.</th>
<th>Condition Requirements</th>
<th>Document Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D20</td>
<td>The applicant shall prepare and implement an Urban Design and Landscaping Plan prior to the commencement of permanent built work and/or landscaping, unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary, to present an integrated landscape design for the SSI. The plan shall be prepared in accordance with the Roads and Maritime Services urban design and visual guidelines, the design principles outlined in the EIS, and the revegetation principles outlined in the EIS Working Paper – Biodiversity. The Plan shall be prepared by an appropriately qualified expert in consultation with the relevant council and community, to the satisfaction of the secretary.</td>
<td>This report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e)</td>
<td>The plan shall include but not necessarily be limited to: Identification of design principles and standards based on: (i) Local environmental values (ii) Heritage values (iii) Urban design context (iv) Sustainable design and maintenance (v) Community amenity and privacy (vi) Relevant design standards and guidelines (vii) The urban design objectives outlined in Section 4.2 of the EIS Working Paper Urban Design Landscape Character and Visual Impact.</td>
<td>Chapter 6.4, 6.5, 6.2, 6.6, 6.7, 1, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>The location of existing vegetation and proposed landscaping (including use of indigenous and endemic species where possible). Details of species to be replanted/revegetated shall be provided, including their appropriateness to the area and habitat for threatened species.</td>
<td>Chapter 7, 9, Appendix B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>A description of locations along the corridor directly or indirectly impacted by the construction for the SSI (e.g., Temporary ancillary facilities, access tracks, watercourse crossings, etc.) and details of the strategies to progressively rehabilitate regenerate and/or revegetate the locations with the objective of promoting biodiversity outcomes and visual integration.</td>
<td>Chapter 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>Take into account appropriate roadside plantings and landscaping in the vicinity of heritage items and ensure no additional heritage impacts.</td>
<td>Chapter 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e)</td>
<td>A description of disturbed areas (including borrow sites) and details of the strategies to progressively rehabilitate, regenerate and/or revegetate these areas, including clear objectives and time frames for rehabilitation work, procedures for monitoring success of regeneration or revegetation, and corrective actions should regeneration or revegetation not conform to the objectives adopted.</td>
<td>Chapter 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f)</td>
<td>Location and design treatments for any associated footpaths and cyclist elements, and other features such as seating, lighting (in accordance with AS4282–1997 Control of the Obtrusive Effect of Outdoor Lighting), fencing, materials and signs.</td>
<td>Chapter 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g)</td>
<td>An assessment of the visual screening effects of existing vegetation and the proposed landscaping and built elements. Where properties have been identified as likely to experience high visual impact as a result of the SSI and high residual impacts are likely to remain, the Applicant shall, in consultation with affected landowners, identify opportunities for providing property landscaping to further screen views of the SSI. Where agreed with the landowner, these measures shall be implemented during the construction of the SSI.</td>
<td>Chapter 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(h)</td>
<td>Graphics such as sections, perspective views and sketches for key elements of the SSI, including, but not limited to built elements of the SSI.</td>
<td>Chapters 7 and 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>Strategies for progressive landscaping and other environmental controls such as erosion and sedimentation controls, drainage and noise mitigation.</td>
<td>Chapters 9,10,11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(j)</td>
<td>Monitoring and maintenance procedures for the built elements, rehabilitated vegetation and landscaping (including weed control) including performance indicators, responsibilities, timing and duration and contingencies where rehabilitation of vegetation and landscaping measures fail.</td>
<td>Refer Landscape Management Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k)</td>
<td>Evidence of consultation with the relevant council and community on the proposed urban design and landscape measures prior to its finalisation.</td>
<td>Chapter 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2.2 Compliance with EIS and SPIR environmental mitigation measures and landscape strategies

In the EIS a range of environmental outcomes and management measures were identified to avoid or reduce the impact the project has on the environment. These measures were further refined during the SPIR. Additional commitments were identified and conditions that had already been fulfilled were removed. Table 2.1 outlines compliance with relevant environmental mitigation measures related to landscape and urban design specific to Devils Pulpit to the Richmond River (sections 7, 8 and 9).

The EIS identified a range of strategies and management measures to minimise the visual impact and adverse changes to the landscape character by the project. This Urban Design and Landscape Plan has been developed based on the landscape character and visual assessment and landscape strategy prepared as part of the EIS and revised in the SPIR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mitigation measures</th>
<th>Document Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UD3 - Landscaping and planting strategy</td>
<td>Chapter 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The project will be carried out in accordance with the urban design and landscaping strategy, as identified in Section 11.4.1 of this EIS. Detailed landscape design for all project batters, and median planting areas will be developed in accordance with the Landscape Guidelines (RTA, 2008), the requirements of the Working Paper – Biodiversity (Section 5.2.2) and the landscape strategy to provide a robust, successful and effective planting design.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD4 - Design of urban design features and road furniture</td>
<td>Chapter 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The built form of the project, including consideration of the height, bulk, scale, materials and finishes for:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Bridges</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Retaining walls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cuttings and embankments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Road barriers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Signage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fences</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Clear zones</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Topsoil management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Water quality control ponds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fauna crossing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Place marking and cultural plantings.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The project will be designed in accordance with the design principles identified in Working Paper – Urban Design, Landscape Character and Visual Impact, and relevant Roads and Maritime guidelines.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD5 - Shadowing</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further assessment will be undertaken of the impact of overshadowing on areas surrounding the project, particularly around Harwood Bridge, interchanges and overpasses near residential properties.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD6 - Visual impacts from viewpoints</td>
<td>Chapter 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measures to mitigate visual impacts to viewpoints will be implemented, as identified in Table 11-42 and Working Paper – Urban Design, Landscape Character and Visual Impact. If any further viewpoints were identified during detailed design that have a moderate–high or high impact, screen planting also be considered.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD7 - Construction visual impacts</td>
<td>Chapter 6.7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disturbed areas will be progressively revegetated throughout the construction period.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD8 - Visual impacts of ancillary facilities</td>
<td>Chapter 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Where required, typical landscape treatments for ancillary facilities in forest areas will include:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Providing screen planting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Considering reinstatement of disturbed forest in heavily forested.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Considering the importance of the visual landscape at each location and allowing restoration of important forest vegetation to prominent ridge lines or other landscape elements where feasible and reasonable.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Negotiating with private landowners, as applicable, to determine future treatments for other non-forested ancillary facility locations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Re-grading disturbed areas to achieve a sustainable and functional landform.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Stabilising all surfaces in accordance with good engineering and environmental practice.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD9 - Visual impacts of ancillary facilities</td>
<td>Chapter 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical landscape treatments for ancillary facilities in agricultural areas will include:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Considering returning remnant agricultural land to agricultural uses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Providing screen planting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reinstating riparian vegetation through ancillary facilities, where practicable, in the open landscape</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Considering the visual landscape at each ancillary facility and considering restoration of important forest vegetation to prominent ridge lines or other landscape elements where feasible and reasonable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Re-grading disturbed areas to achieve a sustainable and functional landform</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Stabilising all surfaces in accordance with good engineering and environmental practice.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD10 - Visual impact of borrow sites - The extent of excavation and the landscaping strategy at borrow sites will be reviewed considering material requirements on the project and the visual impact on the resultant cuttings.</td>
<td>Chapter 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3 Urban Design and landscape compliance with EIS

Chapter 11 of the EIS – Urban Design, Landscape Character and Visual Impact Assessment presented a summary of the landscape character and visual impact assessment carried out to assess the direct and indirect impact of the project. Overall, it was identified the project was expected to have a low to moderate impact on landscape character. Table 2.2 identifies EIS landscape strategies related to landscape and urban design specific to Devils Pulpit to the Richmond River (Sections 7, 8 and 9).

Table 2.2  Compliance with the EIS urban design and landscape requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EIS compliance measure</th>
<th>Document reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retain the strong contrasting experience of driving through forest and open agricultural land as a feature of the Pacific Highway experience Acknowledge and highlight the small and medium sized coastal towns which mark progress along the coastal Pacific Highway journey Highlight numerous minor and major creek and river crossings across the Pacific Highway journey nearby the coastal floodplains Acknowledge and preserve the natural and cultural landscapes and landmarks identified along the full length of the Pacific Highway journey.</td>
<td>Chapter 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Viewpoint mitigation measures</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewpoint 38 – Swan Bay – New Italy Road, New Italy Minimise loss of existing trees Plant local woodland/forest trees on cut/fill batters Reinstate local forest vegetation where applicable.</td>
<td>Chapter 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewpoint 39 – Pacific Highway, South Woodburn Minimise loss of existing trees Plant local woodland/forest trees on cut/fill batters Reinstate local forest vegetation where applicable.</td>
<td>Chapter 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewpoint 42 – Evans Head Road, Woodburn Provide new screen planting buffer to existing homes in accordance with the concept design Provide new woodland/forest trees to extend existing tree patterns in the landscape in accordance with the concept design.</td>
<td>Chapter 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewpoint 43A and 43B – Evans Head Road, Broadwater Minimise loss of existing trees Provide new screen planting buffer to existing houses in accordance with the concept design Provide new native heath vegetation to reinstate existing heath land in accordance with the concept design Reinstate agricultural land where possible.</td>
<td>Chapter 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewpoint 45 – Eversons Lane, North Broadwater Maintain an agricultural setting for the elevated bridge and embankments in this location where they cross sugar cane fields. Provide new tree planting only where the upgrade passes through forested areas in accordance with the concept design</td>
<td>Chapter 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewpoint 46 – Pacific Highway, North Broadwater Minimise the loss of existing riparian vegetation</td>
<td>Chapter 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewpoint 47 – Pacific Highway, Opposite Goat Island Minimise the loss of existing riparian vegetation</td>
<td>Chapter 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewpoint 48A – Pacific Highway, Broadwater Maintain an agricultural setting for the elevated bridge and embankments in this location over pastoral land Minimise the loss of existing riparian vegetation as much as possible</td>
<td>Chapter 5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4 Urban design and landscape compliance with the EIS working paper - Biodiversity

The Woolgoolga to Ballina project EIS Working Paper: Biodiversity (W2BPA 2012b) identified the potential biodiversity impacts of the project to be:

- Loss of vegetation, threatened species and wildlife habitat
- Wildlife mortality during construction
- Edge effects and weeds
- Habitat fragmentation, barrier effects and wildlife mortality during operation
- Impacts on aquatic habitats, changed hydrology and fish passage.

To ensure a consistent approach to the mitigation, management and offsetting of biodiversity for the project, an overarching management strategy was developed as part of the EIS, comprised of: a Mitigation Strategy, a Monitoring Strategy and an Offset Strategy. Table 2.3 identifies each of the vegetation and landscape design principles for connectivity measures as outlined in the EIS Biodiversity Connectivity Strategy, and where they are addressed in this report.

### Table 2.3 Vegetation and landscape design principles for connectivity measures identified in the Biodiversity connectivity strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EIS Working Paper Compliance</th>
<th>Document Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mitigation Strategy - Landscaping Plans</td>
<td>Chapter 7 Chapter 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In general, landscape plans would avoid dense plantings of grasses and graminoids in road verge areas in sections 2 and 3 to discourage use by Rufous Bettong and minimise potential road kill of this species. Additional planting of trees around glider crossings and widened medians would be conducted to act as a long term replacement of these structures.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitigation Strategy - Avoiding loss of vegetation and habitat</td>
<td>Chapter 6 Chapter 7 Chapter 8 Chapter 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoiding and minimising vegetation removal wherever possible Selection of ancillary facilities within the context of the ancillary site. A prior site inspection will be conducted to survey and map hollow-bearing trees and check for large nests for species such as raptors, including Osprey and also Black-necked Stork at these sites. Construction compounds and stockpile sites will be sited in cleared or sparsely treed portions of the ancillary facility sites where feasible and reasonable, to avoid unnecessary clearing of vegetation and threatened flora species Sedimentation basins and water quality ponds would be placed in the optimal location for treating surface runoff. During detailed design, the location of water quality treatment measures would consider the competing environmental requirements of minimising vegetation removal, particularly where there is the potential for threatened plant species, threatened fauna habitat or in identified regional wildlife corridors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Environmental Management Plans (CEMP’s), to include Guide 3: Re-establishment of native vegetation including, revegetation actions around dedicated fauna crossing structures should consider the height and density of vegetation so as not to screen the structure from view, but also aim to provide some cover for fauna approaching and exiting the structure. It is important for landscaping at entrances not to intrude/shadow the window of the entrance. Landscaping should use locally indigenous species and should target key fauna food resources to encourage usage either side of the structure and thus provide the habitat linkage to the structure.</td>
<td>Chapter 7 Chapter 12 Appendix B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.5 Urban design and landscape compliance with threatened species management plans

Threatened species management plans outline specific mitigation measures and monitoring identified for target threatened species before work, during major work and operation of the project.

The Threatened Species Management Plans for the Woolgoolga to Ballina project, and their applicability to the section, are outlined in the following Table 2.4.

This UDLP addresses the mitigation measures related to landscape and urban design stipulated in the threatened species management plans that are applicable to the area between Devils Pulpit and Richmond River (Sections 7, 8 and 9). Appendix B provides further details about compliance with specific urban design and landscape requirements that are included in these management plans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threatened species management plans</th>
<th>Applicable to Sections 7, 8 and 9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coastal emu management plan (RMS 2015)</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koala management plan (RMS 2016)</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainforest communities and threatened rainforest plants management plan (RMS 2016)</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threatened flora management plan (RMS 2015)</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flora Translocation Strategy (RMS 2016)</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threatened fish management plan (RMS 2015)</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threatened frog management plan (RMS 2015)</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threatened glider management plan (RMS 2015)</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threatened invertebrate management plan (RMS 2015)</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threatened mammal management plan (RMS 2015)</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallum management plan</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1 Overview
A community and stakeholder engagement strategy was developed and implemented to support the progress of the Woolgoolga to Ballina Pacific Highway upgrade with relation to the draft urban design and landscape plan. The strategy ensured appropriate levels of consultation with key stakeholders to manage expectations and minimise risk.

The strategy outlined the:
- Level of engagement to be carried out
- Key stakeholders
- Potential issues and mitigation activities
- Consultation and communication activities to ensure effective, relevant and timely input from stakeholders and the community
- Communication protocols and responsibilities within the project team
- Evaluation activities.

The strategy recommended a co-hosted consultation approach incorporating the Urban Design and Landscape Plan alongside the proposed design refinements for consideration as part of the detailed design development process.

The desired outcomes of the stakeholder engagement and consultation included:
- Stakeholder understanding of the detailed design and urban design and landscape development processes
- Stakeholders making submissions which are captured and fed into the development of the final urban design and landscape management plan
- Development of comprehensive final urban design and landscape plans, which will guide and support major planning and investment decisions
- Early stakeholder participation in the planning of the urban design and landscape planning, which will encourage ongoing interest and commitment to its development and implementation
- Risk minimisation, and minimisation of negative media publication.

3.2 Community consultation
Community feedback was sought on the draft urban design and landscape plan. The community was able to provide feedback from 1 August to 29 August 2016. Consultation activities during this time involved:
- sending more than 500 letters to stakeholders with property within 750 meters of the project alignment
- distributing a community update to more than 20,000 residents
- staffed displays at 11 locations
- static displays at 27 locations
- updating the project website to with the draft urban design and landscape management plans, community update as well as an online survey and collaborative mapping tool to capture feedback
- emailing more than 1000 stakeholders registered in the project database
- advertising in four local newspapers.

Community members and key stakeholders were encouraged to provide their feedback at staffed displays, by completing feedback surveys or providing a response by mail, email or phone. Feedback on the plans was accepted until 5.00pm, Monday 29 August 2016. The community also provided feedback on proposed design refinements. These issues have been addressed in the proposed design refinement community consultation report, which will be made publically available on the project website.

3.3 Specific responses for each Section of the project

3.3.1 Devils Pulpit to Richmond River - Sections 7, 8 and 9
One written response was received key issues raised include:
- visual screening
- noise and acoustic assessment.

Please refer to Appendix C Woolgoolga to Ballina, Pacific Highway upgrade Community Consultation Report for the Urban Design and Landscape Plans, February 2017 for further information and the location of the responses in this report.

3.4 Stakeholder consultation
Agency stakeholders identified in the Woolgoolga to Ballina Communications and Stakeholder Engagement Strategy were advised the draft UDLP would be available for review and comment. Stakeholders who will be provided the UDLP to review include:
- NSW Environment Protection Agency
- NSW Department of Primary Industries – Fisheries
- Richmond Valley Council.

Key issues raised during this review were:
- Early installation of riparian rehabilitation and landscape treatments
- Support for soft scour treatments in creek areas
- Support for reuse of woody debris
- Support for locating fauna fencing as close to highway pavement as possible.

Further details of the issues raised and responses are included in Appendix D of this report.

1. The results of the August 2016 consultation are detailed in the Pacific Highway Woolgoolga to Ballina upgrade: Proposed design refinements and Urban Design and Landscape Plan submissions report, October 2016,
2. Based on the consultation outcomes and community feedback, the Urban Design and Landscape Plan was revised and finalised
Project wide urban design and landscape objectives and principles
4.1 Project wide vision

The Pacific Highway Urban Design Framework (RMS, 2013) has established a vision for the Pacific Highway which is:

“The upgrade should be a sweeping, green highway providing panoramic views to the Great Dividing Range and the forests, farmlands and coastline of the Pacific Ocean; sensitively designed to fit into the landscape and be unobtrusive; and characterised by simple and refined road infrastructure.”

4.2 Pacific Highway design objectives

In fulfilling this vision a number of key objectives have been developed by Roads and Maritime:

- Provide a flowing road alignment that is responsive and integrated with the landscape
- Provide a well vegetated, natural road reserve
- Provide an enjoyable, interesting highway
- Value the communities and towns along the road
- Provide consistency-with-variety in road elements
- Provide a simplified and unobtrusive road design.

4.3 Urban design and landscape principles

Four key landscape and urban design principles were outlined in the project EIS:

- Retain the strong contrasting experience of driving through forest and open agricultural land as a feature of the Pacific Highway experience
- Acknowledge and celebrate the small and medium sized coastal towns that mark progress along the coastal Pacific Highway journey
- Highlight and celebrate the numerous minor and major creek and river crossings that punctuate the Pacific Highway journey across the coastal floodplains
- Acknowledge and preserve the natural and cultural landscapes and landmarks identified along the full length of the Pacific Highway journey.

These are shown graphically (Figure 4.0). To achieve these strategies, the project would incorporate urban design and landscape key objectives and design principles that are consistent with the key Roads and Maritime guiding documents – Beyond the Pavement (2014) and Pacific Highway Urban Design Framework (2013).

Figure 4.0 Project wide principles developed during the EIS
4.5 Project wide urban design and landscape strategies

- The project wide landscape and urban design strategies outlined in the project EIS Working paper Urban design report, landscape character and visual impact assessment (Hassell, 2012) are as follows:
  - Built environment, landscape character and land use
  - Highlight major towns on-route with distinctive landscape treatments
  - Highlight creek and river crossings.

Views
- Ensure open or filtered views to pastureland are retained
- Provide screen planting on batters to specifically mitigate the visual impact of the project to adjacent residences.

Ecology
- Reinstate disturbed areas of riparian vegetation where possible and comply with core riparian zone requirements
- Maximise riparian vegetation under creek crossings to encourage wildlife connectivity along creek lines
- Use local and endemic species on batters to complement existing vegetation patterns and reduce the visual impact of earthworks. This is particularly important for disturbed areas on prominent ridge lines
- Adhere also to ecological requirements outlined in specialist reporting.

Landscape treatment
- Install large size plant stock at interchanges and near townships to maximise impact and mitigation at project outset
- Lay back the top batter of cuttings and tie back into the existing landform. Revegetate the top of the profile to blend with the existing landscape
- Where competent rock is encountered, steepen batter grades (0.25h: 1v) and expose rock faces
- Avoid use of shotcrete at all cutting locations. If shotcrete is to be used at cutting locations then any treatments and pigmentation must blend with the surrounding vegetation and rock setting
- Provide frangible planting within clear zones
- Where possible reinstate agricultural land uses
- Provide functional and safe rest areas with high landscape amenity. Provide planting in the medians to reduce headlight glare.

Built elements
- Minimising both the use and scale of noise walls and ensuring they are recessive in the landscape, or transparent, where they are required
- Minimise the road furniture that is required in the project and ensure that road furniture proposed is an integrated and cohesive set of elements
- All materials and finishes of the built infrastructure are to be of high quality for durability and appearance.

4.6 Urban design and landscape strategies specific to Devils Pulpit to Richmond River (Sections 7, 8 and 9)

Refer to Chapter 6 of this report for the area between Devils Pulpit and Richmond River (Sections 7, 8 and 9) specific landscape and urban design strategies outlined in the project EIS Working paper: Urban design report, landscape character and visual impact assessment (Hassell, 2012).
4.7 Woolgoolga to Ballina project strategy plan

Figure 4.1 Strategy diagram for the Woolgoolga to Ballina project

Sections 7 to 9 Urban design and landscape plan

June 2018
Contextual analysis
Landscape character is the aggregate of built, natural and cultural aspects which make up an area and provide its unique sense of place.

The landscape character of the Woolgoolga to Ballina corridor is defined largely by the interplay of tall eucalypt forests interspersed with the repeating patterns of crop and pasture land. Visually activated by and interacting with townships, intermittent farms and homesteads, the contrasting near views within the corridor are punctuated with long views of distant ranges and the occasional glimpse of the rivers which meander across the coastal plains.

5.1 Character zones

The existing landscape character of Devils Pulpit to Richmond River (Sections 7, 8 and 9) is largely determined by its vegetative characteristics seen in the landscape. It is a transitional landscape between closed forested areas and open cropping and grazing plains.

From the end of the Devils Pulpit upgrade the corridor traverses along the existing Pacific Highway through the Tabbimoble and Double Duke State Forests and the Tabbimoble Swamp Nature Reserve and other forested areas.

The forested areas clear near Trustums Hill and the landscape is characterised by pasture land and open forest.

Transitioning through Trustums Hill, the alignment continues through a tract of remnant native forest and forested residential blocks then on and into open floodplains of cultivated cropping and grazing land. Here, the road alignment diverts from its traditional Richmond River edge route closer to Bundjalung National Park through the agricultural floodplains and over the Evans River.

Continuing north of Evans River the landscape character varies from agricultural patterns with Paperbark stands to swamp forests prior to aligning with and skirting the littoral scrub and coastal heath of the Broadwater National Park.

As Broadwater National Park transitions into Richmond River, the coastal heath to the east gives way to lowland subtropical palm rainforest and cane fields. The west features pasture then dry heath woodlands prior to Paperbark and Blackbutt forested ridges then cane fields prior to the Richmond River (Figure 5.0).

Figure 5.0 Aerial view over Richmond River. (Image source: Pacific Complete)
Landscape character design response
The landscape character experienced as a journey along the road corridor is characterised by four elements:

- Road alignment
- Edge treatments
- Nodes
- Landmarks.

Combined, the design of these four elements will shape the landscape character to provide the road user with a sensitively designed road alignment. Figure 5.1 illustrates the existing landscape character.

Road alignment
The road alignment will comfortably nestle into existing forested land and traverse lightly across agricultural lands and waterways connecting the townships along the highway. Fundamental to the way people read the journey, the road edge treatment will focus on the experience of movement through the transitional landscape characters by using landscape elements to visually engage the road user with the landscape surroundings.

Edge treatment
Landscape design to the edges will enrich the experience by contrasting the closed forested views with the open panoramic views of the agricultural plains, framing key landmark views and enhancing the viewing experience across floodplains. Figure 5.2 shows an open landscape view.

Landscape nodes
Edge treatments on approach to interchanges will use cultural plantings and landscape statements in the form of nodes to alert drivers to local towns of Woodburn and Broadwater. Currently landscape nodes are created using signage as seen in Figure 5.3. The landscape statements begin 1.5 kilometres from the highway exit ramp and form a sequence of statements which terminate at the highway exit ramps to form a sequence of distinctive landscape nodes. These nodes will orient and inform the journey providing sensitive milestones and markers of journey moments and decisions.

Landscape landmarks
The introduced landmarks of the Woodburn and Broadwater interchanges, together with the Woodburn Evans Head Over Bridge, Sugar Cane Conveyor Protection Structure and the future Richmond River Bridge will provide key way finding opportunities which integrate and reinterpret layers of local landscape character. Figure 5.4 illustrates an existing landmark of an overpass bridge.

These landmarks form a suite of interactive experience as moments to pass beneath, opportunities to choose to depart the highway or both.
Devils Pulpit to the Richmond River (sections 7, 8 and 9) of the Woolgoolga to Ballina Pacific Highway Upgrade traverses through undulating Reserves, State Forests and National Parks which contrast with the low lying flat country predominance of agricultural cropping and grazing across the flood plains.

5.2 Landuse and communities

Devils Pulpit to Richmond River (Section 7, 8 and 9) of the Woolgoolga to Ballina upgrade traverses through the Clarence and Richmond Valleys. It will pass by or near to agricultural land, rural residential areas and properties. It also passes through Broadwater National Park and traverses alongside the Tabbimoble Swamp Nature Reserve, Tabbimoble State Forest and Doubleduke State Forest.

There are three communities located next to or near Devils Pulpit to Richmond River (Sections 7, 8 and 9) works.

These are:
- New Italy, a settlement community with a museum and cultural centre which commemorates the settlement of previous generations
- Woodburn known as ‘The travellers rest’ is a junction town with connecting routes to Evans Head, Broadwater, Ballina, Coraki, Casino and Lismore
- Broadwater, identified as the sweet place to stop is a smaller community, which is supported by the sugar industry (Figure 5.5).

These communities provide well known rest stops along the journey between Sydney and Brisbane.

Landuse and communities design response

The Woolgoolga to Ballina Pacific Highway upgrade works provide the opportunity to reflect the local site context and cultural values of these communities and land uses at the interchange designs for Woodburn and Broadwater. For New Italy subtle design opportunities within the car parking and community access will be adopted which reinforce the cultural heritage of the existing New Italy facilities.

The design principles and urban design elements for these two interchange locations and a range of additional design opportunities are presented in Chapters 6 and 7.
5.3 Landform and hydrology

Topography throughout Devils Pulpit to Richmond River (Sections 7, 8 and 9) is variable but can be broadly categorised as either ‘lowland’ as shown in Figure 5.6, or ‘elevated’. The sequence of lowland and elevated areas represent a shallow undulating landform which gently transitions between valleys and ridges.

Located in the Northern Rivers catchment area, the works will be developed on the floodplains of the Richmond River. The upgrade works will cross multiple waterways which range from natural water courses including creeks and gullies to engineered waterways including canals and canal drains. A selection of the waterways are classified as environmentally sensitive as they support migration and provide habitat to threatened aquatic species, this will be discussed further in Chapter 8.

Landform and hydrology design response

The gentle transitions between valleys and ridges are generally maintained through:
• Aligning the new road closely with the existing landform
• Using minimal fill within floodplains to reduce impacts of the road on regional flooding and to improve views into the wider landscape
• Minimising the scale of the interchanges through alignment of ridgelines to overpass bridges
• Softening transitions into existing landscape with planting.

The floodplains are subject to frequent and extensive flooding caused by one or a combination of:
• Rainfall in the upper catchment
• Rainfall in the local catchment
• Large ocean tides.

Flood inundation in these areas can extend over a number of weeks. An existing floodplain is shown in Figure 5.7.

In addition to spanning the waterways, culverts have been incorporated into the design to distribute water beneath the new road alignment. The design has considered the potential for scour at culvert outlet points and incorporated native planting to lessen the erosion potential.

The design of the underbridges at floodplains has considered the impact of flood water, fauna crossing potentials, local agricultural access and also the integration of the bridge design into the existing landscape.

Throughout the works, underbridge barriers have been designed to enhance the viewing experience across floodplains through the adoption of regular performance barriers.
Figure 5.8: Existing vegetation map. Data Source Pacific Complete (EIS/SPIR 2012)
The Woolgoolga to Ballina Pacific Highway upgrade is located in the NSW North Coast bioregion. This bioregion is one of the most ecologically diverse bioregions in NSW. The variety of ecosystems within the bioregion includes sub-tropical and warm temperate rainforests, a wide variety of wet and dry sclerophyll eucalypt forests, heathland, paperbark swamps, freshwater and estuarine wetlands, and waterways.

5.4 Flora and fauna

Existing vegetation types for the whole Woolgoolga to Ballina project corridor were mapped for the EIS (SKM 2012a). These were groundtruthed and refined by Roads and Maritime in 2015. A total of 17 vegetation types are present across Devils Pulpit to Richmond River (Sections 7, 8 and 9). Of those, six are endangered ecological communities (EECs) listed under the NSW Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995 (TSC Act). No threatened ecological communities listed under the EPBC Act occur in this area. The existing vegetation types and distribution are shown in Figure 5.8.

Existing vegetation types

The existing vegetation types are grouped into vegetation communities which vary due to variations in topography, soil type, rainfall and proximity to the coastal environment.

The vegetation community types seen in the area are:
- Dry sclerophyll open forests and woodlands
- Wet sclerophyll forests
- Swamp forests
- Floodplain forests
- Modified habitats
- Rainforests
- Freshwater wetlands
- Estuarine wetlands
- Heathlands.

These are presented graphically (Figure 5.8).

Table 5.0 Threatened flora species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Scientific name</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water nutgrass</td>
<td>Cyperus aquatilis</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maundia</td>
<td>Maundia triglochinoide</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeping paperbark</td>
<td>Melaleuca irbyana</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rough-shelled bush nut</td>
<td>Macadamia tetraphyll</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellowflowered king of the fairies</td>
<td>Oberonia complanata</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siah’s backbone</td>
<td>Streblus pendulinus</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Threatened flora

Investigative work undertaken during the EIS identified threatened flora species and communities next to or near the road alignment documented in Table 5.0.

Flora design response

The vegetation types identified have been incorporated into the planting design through the adoption of commercially available vegetation community specific species suitable for use in the modified conditions.

The use of these community founded species along the corridor is directly responsive to site specific existing vegetation community locations. This highly contextual revegetation strategy has ensured the upgrade work responds to and appropriately strengthen the existing vegetative character of the area.

Specific culturally considered plantings including red cedar, grass trees, Livistona and Blackbean amongst others have been selected for use in specific locations within and on approach to the Broadwater and Woodburn Interchanges. At these locations the structural arrangement of the surrounding vegetation strata is deconstructed and reimagined to provide a more formal planting. Typical forest stratification is demonstrated in Figure 5.9. The formalised planting arrangement will act as visual signifiers alerting the driver to the interchanges.

The Weeping paperbark habitat located near New Italy is proposed for translocation. Three potential receiving sites have been identified within the Translocation Strategy.
Table 5.1  Proposed fauna crossing structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure type</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bridges for Oxleyan Pygmy Perch and/or fauna passage beneath and retained along river banks</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated underpasses</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined drainage/fauna passage culverts in wet areas (including one incidental)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arboreal crossings targeting gliders</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widened median</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5.10  Existing koala habitat, Data Source Pacific Complete (EIS/SPRR 2012)
The EIS identified a total of 350 fauna species (including fish and decapods) across the whole Woolgoolga to Ballina project corridor, comprising of 335 native species and 16 introduced fauna species.

Fauna species include 190 bird species, 66 mammal species, 31 frog species, 31 reptile species, 24 freshwater and estuarine fish species, six species of decapods (crabs, prawns and shrimp) and two terrestrial invertebrates.

Fauna populations present next to Devils Pulpit, Trustums Hill, Broadwater National Park and Richmond River include:
- Glider species
- Brush-tailed Phascogale
- Long-nosed Potoroo
- Koala
- Microbats
- Green-thighed Frog
- Wallum Sedge Frog
- Oxleyan Pygmy Perch.

Threatened fauna species
Targeted field studies undertaken during the EIS identified the presence of Oxleyan Pygmy Perch (OPP) in the Devils Pulpit to Richmond River boundary which is listed as a threatened species.

In addition 27 species identified between Devils Pulpit to Richmond River are listed as vulnerable, of those species both the Green-thighed frog and the Wallum sedge frog were detected in habitat next to the works.

Fauna connectivity
The road alignment traverses land which is identified as key habitat and wildlife corridors. The key habitat areas comprise areas of predicted high conservation value for forest fauna, and include large areas of vegetated lands and important vegetation remnants. The habitat corridors facilitate important ecological processes such as:
- Migration
- Colonisation
- Interbreeding of animals between two or more larger areas of habitat.

Fauna connectivity strategy
A fauna connectivity strategy was developed to mitigate impact of the works on the connectivity of key threatened fauna populations and other terrestrial fauna species. This strategy is documented in the Devils Pulpit to Richmond River connectivity report. The connectivity goals are to:
- Reduce and minimise roadkill
- Avoid and minimise habitat loss and fragmentation
- Maintain and improve population viability and gene flow
- Maintain and improve connectivity
- Identify monitoring needs which build on existing knowledge to ensure the performance of the mitigation
- Maintain connectivity during construction for aquatic species.

Fauna design response
32 fauna connectivity structures have been designed as part of the Devils Pulpit to Richmond River (Section 7, 8 and 9) works. Connectivity structure types are shown in Table 5.1.

The design has been designed to meet the environmental objectives for the upgrade of ‘protecting the long-term viability of local fauna populations’ by:
- Providing fauna connectivity structures to facilitate population movement between existing habitats for all species. Existing habitat for Koala is mapped in Figure 5.10 and examples of fauna furniture are shown in Figure 5.11 and 5.12
- Providing fauna protection fencing to protect from movement onto the highway and to direct fauna to key crossing locations
- Providing, strategic planting next to fauna connectivity structures to replicate the surrounding habitat and provide protective cover for at risk fauna while minimising concealment opportunities for predators.
- Providing strategic habitat planting for koalas in areas which will adjoin sections of the road corridor.

Table 5.1 Proposed fauna crossing structures
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The traditional owners of the land in Richmond Valley are a part of the Bundjalung nation. The Bundjalung people are a large Aboriginal nation, a federation of a number of groups of clans which inhabit the region north of the Clarence River to the Logan River in South-East Queensland.

5.5 Heritage

Aboriginal heritage

Existing Aboriginal cultural heritage values between Devils Pulpit to Richmond River (section 7, 8 and 9) were identified during both the EIS and SPIR phases through consultation with the Bundjalung people and subsequent excavation of a potential archaeological deposit (PAD).

The presence of PAD sites demonstrates the pattern of Aboriginal occupation in the area. Although, Aboriginal groups typically remained within their own territories (Figure 5.13) long distance travel was often undertaken to attend social and ceremonial events and to exchange goods between the north coast river systems.

This pattern of occupation is also passed along by songlines of the region. The songline tells of many Bundjalung who lived inland and would make a journey to the coast during winter months when mullet was plentiful. They would bring with them seeds from the blackbean tree (*Castanospermum australe*) for food and trading. Some of these would be dropped as they made their way along the banks of the Richmond River to the coast. Evidence of seed dispersal in this manner and subsequent tree growth is said to be responsible for many mature trees located along the banks of the Richmond River.

The investigations identified nine sites listed in the NSW Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS). Eleven sites were also identified to have PADs near the proposed site boundary.

The Aboriginal sites with most significance were:
- The scarred engraved tree near New Italy
- Cooks Hill.

A scarred/engraved tree is of high significance due to:
- Its function as an aboriginal women’s ceremonial site
- One of the few remaining markers in the landscape which tells of Aboriginal occupation in the region
- The presence of engravings on the tree which is rare
- Cooks Hill is of significance as it is a known ceremonial ground (Bora ring).

Other significant sites of interest were identified and include:
- Gittoes Jali (also known as Lang Hill). This site extends along a sandstone ridge which overlooks the Richmond River and floodplain and is significant due to the presence of artefact scatters. Two conservation zones were identified within this area and will be protected from built works.
- Site 11, a number of artefact scatter sites. Where required, artefact scatter sites will have salvage excavation of cultural materials removed and catalogued.

The scarred engraved tree near New Italy is of significant cultural and spiritual importance to the Bundjalung people and is a unique example of Aboriginal cultural heritage in the region.
Non-Aboriginal heritage

Settlement to the North Coast was driven by cedar getting, which subsequently transitioned to agriculture and then to sugar cane. These primary industries were supported by smaller ship building, commercial fishing, gold mining and brick making industries. Historically significant items were identified and assessed during the EIS and SPIR phases.

The EIS investigations into Non-Aboriginal historic sites near Devils Pulpit, Trustums Hill, Broadwater National Park and Richmond River identified two sites of State and local significance shown in Table 5.2.

The historical significance of the New Italy Settlement is recognised by a listing on the State Heritage Register (no 1648). The settlement represents the first Italian farming community in Australia and one of the major migrant influences in the region. In 1881 217 Italian migrants arrived in Sydney where after serving for a year as labourers seven migrant families applied for and settled the township of New Italy. The township steadily grew with a school, post office, tavern and church established by 1887.

Aboriginal and Non-Aboriginal interaction

Like in all other parts of Australia, the arrival of Europeans brought disease and colonising acts of violence which had a devastating effect on the local Aboriginal people. Yet from the early days, some European people fostered individual friendships and a reliance on Aboriginal people. Initially interaction was reportedly amicable with Aboriginal people employed as cedar spotters and labourers; however, when cedar supplies diminished, widespread land clearance was conducted along river valleys and the interaction between the cedar getters and Aboriginal people reportedly became tense.

Design response

Impact to the historically significant sites located within or near the upgrade works have been avoided and mitigated where possible. The scarred engraved tree near New Italy is to have protective screening placed on the final boundary to block the site from the road and a new car parking facility provided. This is discussed further in Chapter 8.24 of this report.

In addition, the significance of both the Aboriginal and Non-Aboriginal historic influences to the area have been conceptualised within appropriate locations as design generators for the urban and landscape design. This will be discussed further in Chapters 6 and 8 of this report.

### Table 5.2 Non-Aboriginal items of historical significance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item no.</th>
<th>Item name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Where listed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>New Italy Settlement Landscape (incl. Historic New Italy Village Area)</td>
<td>Lot 2 DP616005</td>
<td>The item is New Italy Settlement area including the New Italy Museum, Park of Peace and the New Italy School site.</td>
<td>NSW State Heritage Register 1648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 25 DP755610, Lot 2 DP616005, Lot 1 DP207390, Lot 97 DP755609, Lots 30 and 37 DP755609</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Maloney property, Broadwater</td>
<td>Lot 5 DP 1142669</td>
<td>The item is a property which consists of a residence, buttery/creamery, dairy with an open bay with an adjoining large open bay shed and timber stockyards. The dairy, buttery/creamery, sheds, residence and stockyards are included within the curtilage</td>
<td>Not listed (local significance)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Richmond Valley LEP 2012 sites IDs I145, I147, I148, I149, I150, A5, A7
Six different types of landscape soil are located between Devils Pulpit and Richmond River. All of which are considered to be moderate to highly erodible.

**Aeolian**
Highly erodible and permeable soil

**Erosional soils**
Highly erodible when cleared

**Alluvial**
Highly acidic and erodible, occurs in areas subject to flood hazards

**Transferral**
Typically highly acidic and erodible due to seasonal waterlogging

**Estuarine**
Generally associated with deltaic plains and extra tidal flats of the Clarence and Richmond Rivers. Slopes are in the order of 0–3 percent and soils are typically saline, subject to regular flooding and of low bearing strength. Soil types are also prone to water erosion.
5.6 Geology and soils

The most common soil landscapes that occur between Devils Pulpit and Richmond River (Section 7, 8 and 9) boundary are the erosional, transferral and alluvial types as seen in Figure 5.14.

Soils within these landscapes are generally highly erodible and have low bearing strength. Acid sulphate soils are prevalent across the site.

Ground investigations have assessed the presence of acid sulphate soils and construction methods have been adopted to limit the excavation of the acid sulphate materials.

The Topsoil Management Plan details testing requirements for topsoil to be re-used on site. Any new planting to areas in risk of exposure to sulphuric acid will be tolerant of acidic soils.

Geology

The upgrade works generally traverse the geological sequence of the Clarence-Moreton Basin, an extensive Mesozoic age sedimentary basin extending from Southern Queensland to the NSW North Coast and comprising sedimentary rocks about 2.5 to 4 kilometres thick.

Devils Pulpit to Trustums Hill

Is mainly underlain by erosional landscapes (highly erodible). Isolated areas of stagnant alluvial landscapes are located in the southern (highly erodible) and central portions, and isolated areas of transferral landscapes located in the northern portion near New Italy and Trustums Hill (moderately erodible).

Trustums Hill to Broadwater National Park

Is generally underlain by erosional landscapes in the south, alluvial landscapes in the central portion and aeolian landscapes in the north. All of these landscapes are highly erodible.

Broadwater National Park to Richmond River

Is mainly underlain by aeolian landscapes. An isolated area of disturbed landscape is located in the southern portion. These landscapes are highly erodible. Swamp landscapes located at the far northern end of the works adjoining the Richmond River are prone to water erosion, including areas of soft soils.

Soil landscape design response

The Topsoil Management Plan in Sub-chapter 10.2 details the testing requirements for topsoil to be re-used on site.

Recommendations for soil management from the soil scientist have been used to inform the design of the landscaping revegetation scheme.
5.7 Key views

Visual impacts identify the impact of the upgrade works from select viewpoints

The EIS Visual Assessment identified 12 locations between Devils Pulpit and Richmond River where the upgrade works will be able to be viewed from.

During the EIS the assessment identified mitigation strategies which when implemented would reduce the visual impact the upgrade works had on the existing landscape character.

These mitigation strategies are identified in Table 5.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EIS KEY VIEWPOINT</th>
<th>MANAGEMENT MEASURES IDENTIFIED DURING EIS</th>
<th>EVIDENCE OF IMPLEMENTATION OF MANAGEMENT MEASURES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EIS Viewpoint 38 - Swan Bay - New Italy Road, New Italy</td>
<td>Magnitude: Moderate Sensitivity: High Impact: Moderate Minimise loss of existing trees Plant local woodland trees on cut/fill batters Reinstate local forest vegetation where applicable.</td>
<td>Management measures are adopted in planting design and clear zone minimisation together with revised parking and facility parking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIS Viewpoint 39 - Woodburn Interchange</td>
<td>Magnitude: High Sensitivity: Moderate Low Impact: Moderate Minimise loss of existing trees Plant local woodland forest trees on cut/fill batters Reinstate local forest vegetation where applicable.</td>
<td>Management measures are adopted in planting design including deliberate visual stratification of landscape and species selection. Bridge architectural treatments include painting in charcoal tone to present a recessive visual presentation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIS Viewpoint 40 - Woodburn Evans Head Road overpass</td>
<td>Magnitude: Moderate Sensitivity: Moderate Impact: Moderate Minimise loss of existing trees Plant dense low grasses/ground covers on fill batters Reinstate agricultural land where possible.</td>
<td>Management measures are adopted in planting design to include swamp oak screen and batter planting where appropriate to screen views and pasture grasses to reinstate agricultural road edges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIS Viewpoint 41 - Woodburn Evans Head Road overpass</td>
<td>Magnitude: Moderate Sensitivity: Moderate Impact: Moderate Provide new intermittent screen planting on batters to screen to and from houses Provide new woodland/forest trees to extend existing tree patterns in the landscape in line with the concept design.</td>
<td>Management measures are adopted in planting design to include swamp oak screen and batter planting where appropriate to screen views and pasture grasses to reinstate agricultural road edges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIS Viewpoint 42 - Woodburn Evans Head Road overpass</td>
<td>Magnitude: High Sensitivity: Moderate Impact: Moderate Provide new screen planting buffer to existing homes in line with the concept design Provide new woodland/forest trees to extend existing tree patterns in the landscape in line with the concept design.</td>
<td>Management measures are adopted in planting design to include swamp oak screen and batter planting where appropriate to screen views and pasture grasses to reinstate agricultural road edges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIS Viewpoint 43a and 43b - Broadwater Interchange</td>
<td>Magnitude: High Sensitivity: Moderate Low Impact: Moderate High Minimise loss of existing trees Provide new screen planting buffer to existing houses in line with the concept Provide new native heath vegetation to reinstate existing heathland Reinstate agricultural land where possible.</td>
<td>Management measures are adopted in the planting design featuring the coastal heath and subtropical palm rainforest to buffer unsuitable views while reinforcing visual character.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIS Viewpoint 44 - Pacific Highway</td>
<td>Magnitude: High Sensitivity: Moderate Low Impact: Moderate High Minimise loss of existing trees Provide new screen planting buffer to existing houses in line with the concept Reinstate agricultural land where possible.</td>
<td>Management measures are adopted in the planting design featuring the coastal heath and subtropical palm rainforest to buffer unsuitable views while reinforcing visual character.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### EIS KEY VIEWPOINT

| EIS Viewpoint 45 – Eversons Lane, North Broadwater | Magnitude: High Moderate Sensitivity: Low Impact: Moderate | Maintain an agricultural setting for the elevated bridge and embankments in this location where they cross sugar cane fields. Provide new tree planting only where the upgrade passes through forested areas in accordance with the concept design | Management measures are adopted in planting design |
| EIS Viewpoint 46 – Pacific Highway, North Broadwater | Magnitude: High Moderate Sensitivity: Low Impact: Moderate | Minimise the loss of existing riparian vegetation | Management measures are adopted in clearing strategy |
| EIS Viewpoint 47 – Pacific Highway, Opposite Goat Island | Magnitude: Moderate Sensitivity: Moderate Impact: Moderate | Minimise the loss of existing riparian vegetation | Management measures are adopted in clearing strategy |
| EIS Viewpoint 48A – Pacific Highway, Broadwater | Magnitude: High Sensitivity: Moderate Low Impact: Moderate Low | Maintain an agricultural setting for the elevated bridge and embankments in this location over pastoral land Minimise the loss of existing riparian vegetation as much as possible | Management measures are adopted in planting design |

**Sections 7 to 9  Urban design and landscape plan  
June 2018**
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Design principles
Figure 6.0 Aerial view over Richmond River (Image source: Pacific Complete)
6.1 Site description

The works begin north of the Devils Pulpit upgrade about 13 kilometres north of Mororo Road and stretches around 34 kilometres north to the Southern embankment of the Richmond River. The works are located in the Richmond Valley Local Government area.

The existing Pacific Highway alignment is the major interstate and regional route connecting Sydney to Brisbane. In a more localised context the alignment connects New Italy to Broadwater with a scenic rest stop in between at Woodburn. All three locations are frequented regularly by both visitors and heavy vehicle traffic.

Featuring large areas of forested land with creek crossings, minor ridge lines and low lying floodplains the existing alignment weaves through the Devils Pulpit State Forest, Tabbimoble State Forest and with the new alignment through The Broadwater National Park.

The Pacific Highway upgrade is divided into three sections as shown in Figure 6.1:
- Section 7 is about 14.8 kilometres long, located between, from the Devils Pulpit upgrade in the south to just south of the Gap Road, Trustums Hill
- Section 8 is around 11.15 kilometres long, located between Trustums Hill to Broadwater National Park
- Section 9 is around 8 kilometres long, located between Broadwater National Park to Richmond River (Figure 6.0).
6.2 **Urban design context**

The urban design context of the highway describes the relationship, arrangement, appearance and function of the highway with the environment and towns it passes through.

The urban design of the existing Pacific Highway and subsequent highway upgrades has created a relationship between built and natural elements which encourages the built elements to sensitively fit within the landform as well as the built, natural and community environments in which it is situated.

The key elements of the existing Pacific Highway which define the existing urban design context are:

- The existing Pacific Highway arterial road and local adjoining roads and its relationship to the topography
- The iconic Woodburn Riverfront relationship to the Highway alignment (Figure 6.2)
- The cultural heritage architecture seen in near by townships of New Italy and Broadwater
- The bridge crossings and the common infrastructure detailing shown in Figure 6.3.

---

Figure 6.2  Woodburn township (Image source: Pacific Complete)

Figure 6.3  Existing bridge, (Image source: ACJV)
### 6.3 Urban and landscape design strategies

The Woolgoolga to Ballina urban design objectives described in Chapter four of this report together with two additional Pacific Highway urban design framework objectives (listed below) were adopted to inform the urban and landscape design strategies.

Specific urban and landscape design strategies were developed for this design. These detailed strategies are specifically tailored to the site context and conditions related to the design for Devils Pulpit to Richmond River. The strategies are described below with attendant graphic. The graphic is shown throughout this report to highlight areas where each strategy was applied.

#### WOOLGOOLGA TO BALLINA URBAN AND LANDSCAPE DESIGN OBJECTIVES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OBJECTIVE 1</th>
<th>OBJECTIVE 2</th>
<th>OBJECTIVE 3</th>
<th>OBJECTIVE 4</th>
<th>OBJECTIVE 5</th>
<th>OBJECTIVE 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provide a flowing road alignment which is responsive and integrated with the landscape.</td>
<td>Provide a well vegetated, natural road reserve.</td>
<td>Provide an enjoyable, interesting highway.</td>
<td>Value the communities and towns along the road.</td>
<td>Provide consistency with variety in road elements.</td>
<td>Provide a simplified and unobtrusive road design.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PACIFIC HIGHWAY URBAN DESIGN FRAMEWORK OBJECTIVES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OBJECTIVE 5</th>
<th>OBJECTIVE 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provide consistency with variety in road elements.</td>
<td>Provide a simplified and unobtrusive road design.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### URBAN AND LANDSCAPE DESIGN STRATEGIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STRATEGY 1</th>
<th>STRATEGY 2</th>
<th>STRATEGY 3</th>
<th>STRATEGY 4</th>
<th>STRATEGY 5</th>
<th>STRATEGY 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blend topographic change</td>
<td>Respect local vegetation</td>
<td>Sequence views</td>
<td>Emphasise moments</td>
<td>Consistently connect</td>
<td>Safely and sensitively structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape topography and revegetate cuttings and embankments to blend with the existing landform and maintain the visual character of the landscape.</td>
<td>Deliver a local landscape adopting a vegetation palette founded upon local landscape types.</td>
<td>Sensitively compose planting to create a varied sequence and depth of views along the journey.</td>
<td>Adopt semi-mature tree plantings and compose character landscapes as markers of communities, rest stops, and moments along the journey.</td>
<td>Connect communities through infrastructure design, fauna and planting elements which and are composed using a consistent design language which contextually responds to local character and cultural values remaining true to the overall Pacific Highway presentation approach.</td>
<td>Structure the landscape to provide a hierarchical treatment which responds to fragility, structures, maintenance and fauna fence clear zones. This will ensure safe movement for drivers, animals, and maintenance teams at installation and as the landscape matures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant species selected from local vegetation communities for local conditions to reinforce the natural landscape character and cultural landscape qualities of the site.</td>
<td>Planting application to be seeding along the main alignment and planting at interchanges.</td>
<td>Landscaping is used to sequence the drivers views from closed forested views to open views of cropping and pasture land.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Richmond Valley region comprises mountain bush and hilly scrubland, timber country and grazing land, floodplains with sugar cane and tea tree stands, wetland and swamp to coastal heath, rocky headlands and dunal systems.

6.4 Local environmental values

A number of local environmental value elements exist along or in the vicinity of the alignment of the proposed highway. These elements include:

- Flora and fauna habitat needing to be protected or translocated
- State forests and national parks (View across Broadwater National Park shown in Figure 6.4)
- Environmental connectivity
- Areas of landscape which include threatened flora species
- Landscapes with potential acid sulphate soils
- Aboriginal sites and or conservation zones
- Aboriginal archeological PAD sites
- Non-Aboriginal historic sites.

Panoramic views of the Broadwater National Park have been introduced from the Broadwater Interchange where the new highway crossing will reveal views across Broadwater National Park towards the coastline. This view will increase the connection that the locals have with their environment and contribute to their sense of place.

The impact to these local environmental values was assessed and quantified through the environmental design for Devils Pulpit to Richmond River (Sections 7, 8 and 9). Where applicable mitigation measures detailed in the environmental design report have been instilled during the design process of the landscape and urban design works.

6.5 Heritage values

Impact to the historically significant sites located within or near the works identified in Chapter 5 of this report have been avoided and mitigated where possible.

In addition, the significance of both the Aboriginal and Non-Aboriginal historic influences to the area have been conceptualised in the urban and landscape design. Cultural influences responding to the landscape and urban design strategy have been included in the following ways:

- The Woodburn Interchange will feature red cedar trees (Figure 6.5) representative of the cedar cutting history
- The song lines of the patterns of navigation described in Chapter 5 are also represented in the feature tree planting seen at the Woodburn Interchange. This songline is represented by an informal scattering of the Black Bean tree (Castanospermum australe) within the interchange landscape.
- The Broadwater Interchange will include feature tree planting of Livistonia and Xanthorrhoea to acknowledge and reinforce the existing iconic vegetative character seen within the Broadwater region.
6.6 Sustainable design and maintenance

Sustainability Action Plan (SAP) was developed for the Devils Pulpit to Richmond River (Section 7, 8 and 9) works, to ensure the works are an Ecologically Sustainable Development (ESD) when tested using the Infrastructure Sustainability Council of Australia (ISCA) rating tool. Details of the ISCA rating tool are presented in the Sustainability Action Plan Report.

The SAP:
- Identifies the key principles of sustainable development
- Describes the sustainability objectives and policy
- Outlines the embedment of sustainability into the design process
- Documents the monitoring and measuring process for the project lifecycle using the ISCA rating tool.

The ISCA has developed a rating tool which evaluates sustainability initiatives and potential environmental, social and economic impact of infrastructure projects and assets.

For urban design the tool focuses specifically on the analysis, planning and design of the infrastructure asset within its community and environment to achieve contextually appropriate infrastructure design.

This was achieved by allowing the local context to influence the design to create infrastructure which fits its setting, while preserving and enhancing scenic, aesthetic, cultural, community, and environmental resources and values.

The SAP for the Sections 7, 8 and 9 is outlined in Table 6.0 with landscaping responses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Target/Evidence</th>
<th>Section 7, 8 and 9 measures</th>
<th>Urban design responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Using resources</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Minimise water use.</td>
<td>Report on three initiatives undertaken to minimise water use (within the sustainability register)</td>
<td>Design to consider opportunities to re-use water where possible.</td>
<td>Use of native plant species to minimise water use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecology</td>
<td>Ecology</td>
<td>Maintain the integrity and quality of the ecological environment through appropriate planning during detailed design.</td>
<td>Reduce clearing extents where possible</td>
<td>Minimising vegetation clearing where possible</td>
<td>Use of appropriate plant species to maximise wildlife habitat connectivity at fauna crossing points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People and place</td>
<td>Community health, wellbeing and safety</td>
<td>Work with the community to pursue, promote and develop sustainable outcomes.</td>
<td>Two community noise abatement events Public exhibition of UDLP.</td>
<td>Community consultation to be undertaken during development of the UDLP UDLP to be placed on public exhibition for community comment.</td>
<td>UDLP made available for consultation purposes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heritage</td>
<td>Heritage</td>
<td>Maintain the integrity and quality of the cultural environment through appropriate planning during detailed design.</td>
<td>Report on three initiatives undertaken to minimise impact on identified heritage items (within the sustainability register).</td>
<td>Minimising impact of design on identified heritage items.</td>
<td>Minimising impact of design on heritage items and inclusion of heritage context in cultural landscape plantings to interchanges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban and landscape design</td>
<td>Urban and landscape design</td>
<td>Identify design principles and standards for sustainable design and maintenance.</td>
<td>UDLP.</td>
<td>Develop an UDLP which will include design principles and standards for sustainable design and maintenance.</td>
<td>This document Design strategies located in Chapter 6 Landscape maintenance plan documented in Appendix B of this report and to be in line with QA specifications R176/179.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Residents indicate the best thing about living in Richmond Valley centres around the location and proximity of the region, as well as the peaceful and quiet atmosphere - Richmond Valley Towards 2025 Community Strategic Plan

6.7 Community amenity and privacy

There are three communities located next to or near to the Devils Pulpit to Richmond River (Section 7, 8 and 9) works shown in Figure 6.6. All of which are situated within the Richmond Valley Shire:

- New Italy, a settlement community with a museum and cultural centre which commemorates the settlement of previous generations
- Woodburn known as 'The travellers rest' is a junction town with connecting routes to Evans Head, Broadwater, Ballina, Coraki, Casino and Lismore
- Broadwater, identified as 'The sweet place to stop' is a smaller community, which is supported by the sugar industry.

The key community values relating to amenity for residents of the Richmond Valley Shire as described in The Richmond Valley Towards 2025 Community Strategic Plan are:

- A quiet, friendly and relaxed lifestyle
- Access to natural attributes
- Open space and recreation.

The design responds to these values by:

- Diverting the highway from Woodburn and Broadwater to remove unnecessary through traffic and encouraging a quiet, friendly and relaxed lifestyle
- Providing access to open space and recreation through interchange connections to Woodburn, Evans Head and Broadwater
- Allowing people to view the natural attributes through use of regular performance barriers on floodplain bridges and diverting through the Broadwater National Park.
6.8 Pedestrian, cyclists and shared paths

Pedestrians
- For safety reasons, no pedestrian access would be provided to the motorway class sections of the main carriageways.
- Pedestrians are permitted to utilise the shoulders of A Class roads.
- Pedestrian access across the project would be provided via overpasses and underpasses which are listed in the Table 6.1.
- Pedestrian footways would be provided on overpasses where warranted based on safety and future demand and agreed with council and stakeholders, in accordance with the Table 6.1.

Shared paths
- Consultation with councils and local communities in relation to future provisions for shared paths connections across the Pacific Highway has been completed.
- The project will provide for future shared path provisions as agreed with council and stakeholders.
- Cyclist / shared paths and footpaths beyond the project corridor are not in the scope of the project and will be provided by councils.

Cyclists
- Current NSW legislation permits cyclists to use the project’s road shoulders, including across bridges.
- Cyclists would also be able to use service roads, where there would be less traffic.
- Cyclist access would be provided across the project in accordance with Table 6.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Bridge Name</th>
<th>Pedestrian/Cyclist Access</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 8</td>
<td>Woodburn Interchange Overpass</td>
<td>3.0m Footpath southern side</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 8</td>
<td>Woodburn-Evans Head Road overpass</td>
<td>3.0m Footpath southern side</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 9</td>
<td>Broadwater Evans Head Road overpass</td>
<td>3.0m Footpath southern side</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 9 (F)</td>
<td>Bridge over Richmond River</td>
<td>Cyclists on shoulder</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 6.9 Temporary works and ancillary facilities, access tracks, watercourse crossings

In consultation with RMS property division the Woolgoolga to Ballina project will require ancillary facilities to support the construction activities associated with the project. The Project Approval defines ancillary facility as:

- Temporary facility for construction, including for example an office and amenities compound, construction compound, batch plant (concrete or bitumen), material crushing and screening, materials storage compound, maintenance workshop, testing laboratory or material stockpile area.

In line with the Minister’s Conditions of Approval (MCoA) D21, Pacific Complete has prepared an Ancillary Facilities Management Plan which outlines how ancillary facilities will be assessed and managed during construction of the project. The Management Plan provides details of the approval pathway, environmental impact assessment, and includes details of all ancillary facilities approved for the project. Ancillary facilities covered by the Management Plan include:

- Office compounds – including the main site compounds, site offices, sheds, workshops and storage; satellite compounds – small site offices
- Minor ancillary facilities – including lunch sheds, office sheds, and portable toilet facilities
- Bridge site compounds – site office to allow for easy access to major bridge sites
- Batch plants – for the production of concrete and asphalt
- Crushing plants and material processing sites – plant and equipment for the processing, crushing and screening of excavated material for use on-site
- Plant workshops – for the storage and maintenance of plant and equipment
- Stockpile sites – for the stockpile and storage of excavated material, mulch and spoil
- Material storage (laydown areas) – for the storage of materials delivered to site for construction.
- Display centres and visitor parking.

All ancillary facilities associated with the Woolgoolga to Ballina project include areas which are located within the existing or proposed highway corridor and are directly or indirectly impacted by the construction works, in addition to locations nearby or separate to the construction activities. As outlined in the MCoA definitions all ancillary facilities are temporary and can only be used for the Woolgoolga to Ballina project. MCoA B76 of the Project Approval outlines the rehabilitation requirements of these sites:

- The land on which ancillary facilities are located shall be rehabilitated to at least their pre-construction condition or better, unless otherwise agreed by the landowner

**Borrow sites**

The Woolgoolga to Ballina project will also require a number of borrow sites to be used to source material for construction of the project. MCoA D22 of the Project Approval requires the preparation of a Borrow Sites Management Plan for each of the borrow sites proposed for the project. The plan needs to identify details of the site, assessment of impact resulting from the borrow operations, and rehabilitation details of the borrow site. The rehabilitation details are to include future landform and use of the borrow site, landscaping and revegetation, and measures to be implemented to minimise or manage the ongoing environmental effects of the site.

**General location and size**

The temporary ancillary facilities utilised during the highway construction phase will vary in their size and configuration, depending on the nature of use and nearby construction activities. There is likely to be a combination of larger main construction compounds in addition to smaller satellite compounds located within each portion of the project. The specific locations of the ancillary facilities will be used for the project are not fully known at the time of preparation of the UDLP, and as a result are not detailed in the plan. Temporary ancillary and borrow sites are located on two different categories of land which include:

1. Land owned by Roads and Maritime for the purposes of the project.
2. Private properties leased for the construction period of the highway.

All ancillary facilities will be managed for the project in line with the approved Ancillary Facility Management Plan. It is anticipated each ancillary facility will be developed and rehabilitated in line with the principles.

### Ancillary and borrow site rehabilitation principles

1. Establish landowner requirements and identify rehabilitation objectives.
2. Consideration of the location context and amenity requirements.
3. Integrate rehabilitation with nearby landform, topography.
4. Consider fauna connectivity and wildlife corridors and enhance where possible.
5. Apply landscape treatments consistent with the project UDLP to ensure an integrated outcome.

### Commitment to site rehabilitation

The intention with all Temporary Construction sites is to rehabilitate them as soon as possible after they are no longer required for the highway construction operations.

1. **On Roads and Maritime owned sites used for temporary construction** which are to be sold, and are located within or near native vegetation communities, the area impacted within those properties will be revegetated with species compatible with the remnant vegetation. Where appropriate the revegetation will enhance habitat values. The rehabilitation works are to include maintenance until the vegetation is well established.

2. **On Roads and Maritime owned sites used for the extraction of construction material** the rehabilitation works may include disposal of soil material classified as ‘unsuitable’ generated by the highway works, regrading to create landforms compatible with adjoining areas and establishment of a stable revegetation cover. Re-use of this material is subject to all relevant waste and planning approval requirements.

3. **On privately owned land** the rehabilitation works will be in line with an agreement to be reached with the property owner. The rehabilitation works are to meet all relevant environmental requirements.

### 6.10 Flood focus groups

Extensive flood modelling has been undertaken for the upgrade. Roads and Maritime Services has re-formed flood focus groups for the Woolgoolga to Ballina Pacific Highway upgrade. The groups exist for sections of the upgrade not currently in major work where the design is currently being finalised. The focus group meetings address the upgrade’s potential flood impacts; review updated flood models and any changes as part of the detailed design development process; review the upgrade’s proposed waterway structures, and review flood impact maps.

The latest flood modelling reflects the final design of the project as shown in the UDLP. The results of the flood modelling, any impacts and associated mitigation measures and the outcomes of the community and landowner consultation process will be reported in the project’s Hydrological Mitigation Report which will be submitted to the Department of Planning & Environment for review in early 2017. Once finalised, information will be made available through the project website.

6.11 Noise mitigation

Potential noise impacts have been assessed against road traffic noise criteria recommended by the NSW Government’s Road Noise Policy (RNP) and mitigation requirements have been guided by the Roads and Maritime Noise Criteria Guideline (NCG, 2015) and Noise Management Guidelines.

Operational noise was considered in the Operational Noise Management Report: Woolgoolga to Glenugie Pacific Highway Upgrade - Main report, November 2015, refer to:


Noise modelling has been carried out as part of the detailed design and has confirmed:

- There are no noise walls required within this section of the works.
- The range of noise mitigation measures are consistent with the EIS, including the locations of low noise road surfaces
- Mitigation details will be determined through consultation with affected property owners.

An assessment of potential construction related noise is available Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan, Appendix B3, October 2015, refer to:


Latest noise modelling on the detailed design of the project indicates that there are no noise mitigation structures required for the project. Noise modelling reports will be submitted to the Department of Planning & Environment for review in early 2017.
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Urban design and landscape design
Precinct integration vision

The design strategy delivers a safer more efficient and enjoyable driver experience demonstrating superior fauna connectivity, stronger native vegetation communities, improved flood immunity and enhanced local town amenity.

7.1 Urban design and landscape design strategy

The urban and landscape design strategy is focused upon delivering the project objectives through practical design and construction measures which support and ground truth the overarching urban and landscape design principles identified in Chapter 6.

The urban and landscape design strategy is presented as plans and sections on Figures 7.0 to Figures 7.15

This strategy embraces the design principles and through succinct dot point statements and icon graphics communicates the key design features relative to:

- Planting
- Seeding
- Existing vegetation communities
- Fauna connectivity
- Views
- Threatened species
- Urban design elements
- Community way finding.

DESIGN STRATEGY KEY

Strategy 1 – Blend topographic change
Shape topography and revegetate cuttings and embankments to blend with the existing landform and maintain the visual character of the landscape.

Strategy 2 – Respect local vegetation
Deliver a local landscape adopting a vegetation palette founded upon local landscape types. The landform will maintain the visual character of the landscape.

Plant species selected from local bioregions for local conditions to reinforce the natural landscape character and cultural landscape qualities of the site.

Planting application to be seeding along the main alignment and planting at interchanges.

Strategy 3 – Sequence views
Sensitively compose planting to create a varied sequence and depth of views along the journey. Views have selectively screened sensitive receptors while concentrating on the driver experience to create character variance from ridge to floodplain, forest to crop and pasture.

Strategy 4 – Emphasise moments
Adopt semi-mature tree plantings and compose character landscapes as markers of communities, rest stops, and moments along the journey.

Strategy 5 – Consistently connect
Connect communities through infrastructure design, fauna and planting elements which exhibit, and are composed using a consistent design language which contextually responds to local character and cultural values remaining true to the overall Pacific Highway presentation approach.

Strategy 6 – Safely and sensitively structure
Structure the landscape to provide a hierarchical treatment strata which responds to frangibility, structures, maintenance and fauna fence clear zones to ensure safe movement for drivers, animals, and maintenance teams at installation and as the landscape matures.
Devils Pulpit to Trustums Hill

Forested landscape outcome. Plant species will replicate those of the surrounding landscape and in doing so create an enclosed driver experience for both the north and southbound journey. Fauna crossings have been included to mitigate habitat fragmentation.
Devils Pulpit to Trustums Hill

Forest landscape outcome. Plant species will replicate those of the surrounding landscape and in doing so create an enclosed driver experience for both the north and southbound journey. Fauna crossings have been included to mitigate habitat fragmentation.

Figure 7.1 Urban and Landscape Design Strategy Plan – Devils Pulpit to Trustums Hill
Devils Pulpit to Trustums Hill

Forested landscape outcome. Plant species will replicate those of the surrounding landscape and in doing so create an enclosed driver experience for both the north and southbound journey. Dry fauna crossing at bridge underpass to mitigate fauna fragmentation.

Figure 7.1 Urban and Landscape Design Strategy Plan – Devils Pulpit to Trustums Hill
Devils Pulpit to Trustums Hill

Forested landscape outcome. Plant species will replicate those of the surrounding landscape and in doing so create an enclosed driver experience for both the north and southbound journey. Reinstate existing bat box for fauna safety.

Figure 7.4   Urban and Landscape Design Strategy Plan – Devils Pulpit to Trustums Hill
Devils Pulpit to Trustums Hill

Forested landscape outcome. Plant species will replicate those of the surrounding landscape and in doing so create an enclosed driver experience. A pocket of open pasture land provides a glimpse into the wider landscape contrasting against the typically forested edge. Fauna crossings have been included to mitigate habitat fragmentation.
Trustums Hill to Broadwater National Park

Forested landscape outcome. Plant species will replicate those of the surrounding landscape and in doing so create an enclosed driver experience. A pocket of open pasture land provides a glimpse into the wider landscape contrasting against the typically forested edge. Vegetated and architectural headlight screens for driver safety.
Trustums Hill to Broadwater National Park

Transitional landscape outcome. Interchange landscape design draws upon local cultural references to inform plant species and formalised distribution. The landscape transitions from forested to pasture past the Tuckombil canal in keeping with the existing landscape character and promoting views into the wider landscape. Fauna crossings are provided through dedicated dry passage beneath bridge structures.

Figure 7.7  Urban and Landscape Design Strategy Plan – Trustums Hill to Broadwater National Park
Pasture landscape outcome. Predominately pasture land with pockets of native seeding mix to screen houses close to the new works. Fauna crossing is included beneath bridge C05 to mitigate fragmentation.
Figure 7.9 Urban and Landscape Design Cross Sections – Trustums Hill to Broadwater National Park

Figure 7.10 Urban and Landscape Design Strategy Plan – Trustums Hill to Broadwater National Park
Trustums Hill to Broadwater National Park

Pasture landscape outcome. Predominantly pasture land with pockets of native seeding mix to reinstate Broadwater National Park landscape. Fauna crossing is included beneath bridges to allow for fauna passage and mitigating fragmentation.

Figure 7.10  Urban and Landscape Design Strategy Plan – Trustums Hill to Broadwater National Park
Figure 7.11  Urban and Landscape Design Cross Sections – Devils Pulpit to Trustums Hill
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Trustums Hill to Broadwater National Park

Forest landscape outcome. Plant species will replicate those of the surrounding landscape and in doing so create an enclosed driver experience for both the north and southbound journey. Fauna crossings have been included to mitigate habitat fragmentation.
Broadwater National Park to Richmond River: Transitional landscape outcome. Plant species will replicate those of the surrounding landscape and in doing so create an open and closed driver experience and a wide sweeping green highway. Fauna crossings have been included to mitigate habitat fragmentation.

Figure 7.13 Urban and Landscape Design Strategy Plan – Broadwater National Park to Richmond River

LEGEND - EXISTING VEGETATION COMMUNITY TYPE
- Blackbutt - Bloodwood dry heathy open forest
- Blackbutt - Blackbutt Grevillea open forest
- Grevillea open forest
- Grey Gum - Grey Ironbark open forest
- Spotted Gum - Grey Ironbark - Hakea Bloodwood open forest
- Spotted Gum - Grey Ironbark open forest
- Coastal Heath on sands
- Needlebank stringy bark - Red Bloodwood heathy woodlands
- Swamp Mahogany heathy woodlands
- White Heathland and shrubland
- Swamp Oak swamp forest
- Paperbark swamp forest
- Coastal Heath on sands
- Coastal Cypress Pine Forest
- Coastal Cypress Pine Forest
- Coastal Cypress Pine Forest
- Swamp Oak Floodplain Forest

All elements within composition are indicative only
Richmond River—Landscape markers alert drivers to highway exit ramps at Broadwater Interchange. Plant species at the interchange reflect the existing distribution of coastal heath woodlands and paperbark swamp forests. The sugar cane conveyor will form a key way finding landmark in both directions. Fauna crossings have been included to mitigate habitat fragmentation.
Broadwater National Park to Richmond River Transitional landscape outcome. Plant species will replicate those of the surrounding landscape and in doing so create an open driver experience for the bridge crossing above.

Figure 7.15 Urban and Landscape Design Strategy Plan – Broadwater National Park to Richmond River
Detailed response for urban and landscape design
8.1 Structures

Structural elements documented in between Devils Pulpit to Richmond River include:
• Interchanges
• Bridges
• Overhead structures

8.1.1 Interchanges

Two grade-separated interchanges will be constructed to provide access to the Woodburn and Broadwater townships from the highway as illustrated in Figure 8.0. Each interchange was designed to achieve a seamless physical link between the main carriageway and the local road network for vehicles and cyclists.

The interchanges have been designed to fit within the surrounding landform. The visible bridge elements within the interchanges have been designed to consider the height, bulk, scale, materials and finishes in order to create visually unobtrusive interchanges which recede into the natural and rural north coast environment.

The landscape and urban design of the interchanges is designed with a unique narrative which draws upon local history as design generators to integrate with the landscape and provide distinctive way-finding moments along the journey. Common to both interchanges is the use of landscape markers to alert the driver of the upcoming interchange entrance.
For the Woodburn Interchange, significant opportunity existed to adopt the contextual qualities of Site, Setting and History as design generators to underpin, unify and ground the urban and landscape design within its natural landscape character.

8.1.2 Woodburn Interchange design generators

Site
Located 3.4 kilometres south of Woodburn Township the new road alignment will divert the through traffic past Woodburn Township creating the opportunity to design an interchange approach and bridge crossing which adds to the driver experience.

Setting
The interchange is located in Trustums Hill, a transitional precinct between the heavily forested areas to the south and the open floodplain precincts to the north. The interchange will be formed by cutting into the existing landform.

History
Local history colloquially suggests Woodburn was first referred to as Rocky Mouth by early cedar getters but named after burning of the timber during clearing operations in the early 1900s after the cutting of highly prized red cedar in the 1800s. Aboriginal occupation is also evident through identification of PAD sites and songlines of the region. A particular songline tells of many Bundjalung who lived inland and would make a journey to the coast during winter months when mullet was plentiful. They would bring with them seeds from the black bean tree (*Castanospermum australe*) for food and trading.

Woodburn Interchange design response

The height, bulk, scale, planting and materials used in the design of the approach and exit ramps was addressed to sensitively embed the interchange ramps within the natural setting. The flowing and responsive road alignment is shown in Figure 8.1 and achieved through:

- The design of the approach ramps to gently follow the natural topography and grain of the landscape to the top of the existing ridgeline producing an alignment which is of appropriate height, bulk and scale
- Planting the road reserve, median and ramp tapers to provide a well vegetated road reserve which uses a formal planting design to replicate the existing forest strata distribution
- Reinstating the existing forest experience and biodiversity of the area through specific planting species
- Use of contextually significant tree species planted in round-abouts and as landscape markers
- Water sensitive urban design to treat water runoff and increase water quality through minimising clearing and incorporating swales into the earthwork design, using bunds and cut off drains to separate clean and dirty water and where possible retaining existing vegetated swales for re-use.
Landscape markers will be located at one kilometre, 1.5 kilometres and two kilometres from the exit ramp entrance to alert drivers of the interchange. The landscape markers will feature tree plantings of red cedar to acknowledge and re-establish a visual cedar presence while concurrently paying homage to the red cedar cutting early settlement history.
Landscape strategy
The Woodburn Interchange landscaping strategy responds to:
• The existing forest structure surrounding the interchange
• The historic pattern of Aboriginal occupation.

The strategy will promote intuitive wayfinding alerting road users of the approaching exit ramps.

Landscaping strategy responds to the vertical stratification of the existing grey gum ironbark open forest which dominates the local landscape. Planting species are separated into strata to formalise the planting approach and planted in three layers (ground cover, shrub and tree) to represent the three layers seen in the existing forest. This is shown in Figure 8.4.

Ground cover layer
Lowest tier to include grasses and feature trees. Representative of the forest floor species the grassed embankments will open the view into the landscape. Species include Flax lily (Dianella caerulea), Matt rush (Lomandra multiflora) and Kangaroo grass (Themeda australis).

Shrub layer
Middle tier with shrubs and tree species informing the transition from new to old planting. Here, the planting species will include Finger Hakea (Hakea dactyloides) and Prickly Tea-tree (Leptospermum juniperinum).

An aerial view of the planting strategy is shown in Figure 8.3.

Tree layer
Upper tier mix of trees, shrubs and ground covers will provide a direct visual connection to and reinforcement of the existing open forest. Tree species include gum (Corymbia variegata), Small-fruited Grey Gum (Eucalyptus propinqua), Grey Ironbark (Eucalyptus siderophloia) as well as informal clustered plantings of Black Bean (Castanospermum australe) and Kurrajong (Brachychiton populneum) trees which reference the historical pattern of Aboriginal occupation of the area. This is illustrated in Figure 8.2.
For the Broadwater Interchange, significant opportunities existed to adopt the contextual qualities of Site and Setting as the underpinning design generators which unify and ground the urban and landscape design of the Broadwater Interchange within the natural and farmed environmental characters.

8.1.3 Broadwater Interchange design generators

The interchange design utilises the natural beauty of the Broadwater National Park in collaboration with the active agricultural history of Broadwater as the signature design drivers for the Broadwater Interchange conceptual approach.

Site
Located 1.1 kilometres in a direct line south of the Broadwater Mill, 1.65 kilometres by local road from the centre of Broadwater and 12.2 kilometres by local road north of Evans Head. The new road alignment diverts through traffic past Broadwater to traverse closer to the coastal heath character of the Broadwater National Park.

Setting
The littoral scrub and lowland rainforest of the Broadwater National Park dominates the landscape character surrounding the Broadwater Interchange. The natural character frames the carriageway south of the Richmond River then forms the backdrop to the quarry sites and agricultural floodplains.

Broadwater Interchange design response
The height, bulk, scale, planting and materials used in the design of the approach and exit ramp was addressed to sensitively embed the interchange ramps within the natural setting. The flowing and responsive road alignment is shown in Figure 8.5 and is achieved through:

- Creating a driver experience which is visually engaging from all approaches but particularly so on approach to the entry and exit ramps. Here, the natural and farmed vegetative pattern of the existing surrounding landscape will be used to define the approach experience to the interchange.
- Design of the approach ramp to gently follow the natural topography and grain of the landscape to the top of the existing ridgeline producing an alignment of appropriate height, bulk and scale
- Use of contextually significant tree species planted in roundabouts and as landscape markers
- Water sensitive urban design to treat water runoff and increase water quality through minimising clearing and incorporating swales into the earthworks design, using bunds and cut off drains to separate clean and dirty water and where possible retaining existing vegetated swales for re-use.

Figure 8.5 Artist impression of Broadwater Interchange aerial view looking north-west. Landscape shown at full maturity.
The Broadwater Interchange landscaping strategy responds to:

• The subtropical palm rainforest on the northern eastern approach
• The horizontal heath landscape on the east
• Promotes intuitive way finding alerting road users of the approaching exit ramp.

The landscaping strategy responds to the vertical stratification of the surrounding paperbark and blackbutt forest to the west and the coastal heathlands seen in the east shown graphically in Figure 8.7.

To the west planting species are separated into strata to formalise the planting approach and planted in three layers (ground cover, shrub and tree) to represent the three layers seen in the existing forest.

**Ground cover layer**
Lowest tier to include grasses and ground covers. Representative of the forest floor species the grassed embankments will open the view into the landscape. Species include Climbing Guinea Flower (*Hibbertia scandens*), Flax Lily (*Dianella caerulea*), Wiry Panic (*Entolasia stricta*) and False Sarsaparilla (*Hardenbergia violacea*).

**Shrub layer**
Middle tier with low planting and shrub species the middle tier will inform the transition from new to old. To the east this is largely low stunted shrubs and sporadic sedges. To the west this will be palm rainforest including ferns and grasses. Species include Sweet Wattle (*Acacia suaveolens*), Hairpin Banksia (*Banksia spinulosa*), Sandplain Bitter-pea (*Daviesia acicularis*).

**Tree layer**
Upper tier mix of trees, shrubs and ground covers providing a direct visual connection and physical reinforcement and edge refresh to the existing forest. Tree species include Coastal Banksia (*Banksia integrifolia*), Broad-leaved Paperbark (*Melaleuca quinquenervia*) and Black She-oak (*Allocasuarina littoralis*).

**Feature trees**
Feature tree plantings of *Livistona* and *Xanthorrhoea* will acknowledge and reinforce the existing iconic vegetative character on the northern approaches and departures including discreet moundings behind barriers at key locations as deliberate approach markers. This is illustrated in Figure 8.6.
8.1.4 Bridges

Bridges are a major component of the proposed work to be undertaken. 31 bridges will be built within Sections 7, 8 and 9 these include:
- Three overbridges crossing over the Pacific Highway shown in Figure 8.8
- 13 pairs of twin bridges crossing canals, floodways, waterways and creeks
- One bridge duplication
- One conveyor bridge screen.

Of the 17 bridge locations, two bridges form part of interchanges (Woodburn and Broadwater) and four bridges are dedicated fauna underpasses.

The key design principles for bridges across the wider project have taken into account the bridge height, bulk, scale and materiality to ensure the bridges are well integrated into the surrounding landscape context.

Bridge design principles

Consistency
The design and arrangements of all elements should be considered as part of a family of elements which relate to each other and other sections of the highway upgrade to provide consistency with variety.

Simplicity and refinement
The design, form, materials and arrangement of all elements must be simple and refined and carefully integrated with adjoining elements.

Context
The design of all elements must be relevant to the existing context and road function.

Unobtrusive appearance
The bridges must be visually unobtrusive allowing the landscape and environmental attributes of the area to be fully appreciated by road users. Bridges must present smooth clean lines.

Integrated design
The design, form, materials and finishes of the bridges on the upgrade must be consistent and integrated with each other to ensure visual continuity.

Transparency
The bridge superstructure must be as transparent as possible to optimise views through the bridge to the landscape beyond. This includes minimising the depth of structure and refining the bridge abutments.
8.1.5 Overbridges

Three overbridges are included between Devils Pulpit to Richmond River (sections 7, 8 and 9) works:
• Bridge C03 Woodburn overbridge at the Woodburn Interchange
• Bridge C06 Woodburn Evans Head Road
• Bridge C10 Broadwater Evans Head Road at the Broadwater Interchange.

The overbridges have been designed as a family of bridges and are consistent with the urban design of the bridges in the adjoining sections of the Pacific Highway upgrade. Existing bridge elements are shown in Figures 8.9-8.12.

The individual bridge elements are designed to accord to the bridge aesthetics guidelines and specifically address consistencies in form (combination of proportion, symmetry, order, rhythm, simplicity) materials and finishes across the entire Woolgoolga to Ballina project.

Common elements of bridge abutments, parapets and girders, throw screens, piers and headstocks are described here.

Abutment
The abutments are designed as spill through abutments with slopes of 1.5H:1V. Precast abutment cheek walls conceal the bearings form view and allow for maintenance access via a recessed maintenance stair hidden from the flow of traffic. The angled facade provides a more open sleek look and visually anchors the span.

Stone faced, with local quarry stone the abutments anchor the bridge infrastructure into the landscape with a smooth junction to the ground plane below.

Parapets and girders
Integrated with the medium performance traffic barrier the parapet has a continuous uninterrupted outer face with neat sharp edges and extends the full length of the bridge. The outer face is slanted to self clean and catch sunlight. With a top face angled toward the road to channel drainage the parapet extends beyond the soffit to mask the depth of the Super-T Girder. In doing so, it creates a shadowline which decreases the perceived depth of the girder and gives the bridge structure a slender appearance.

Throw screens
Mounted on top of the traffic barrier and extending 3.5 metres in height, the scale, proportion and curved form of the throw screen complements the slender bridge design. The transparent screen with tapered transition to the bridge barrier optimises the view through the bridge to the landscape beyond and is consistent with the overarching Pacific Highway bridge design aesthetic.

Piers and headstocks
Median piers are to be “stiletto” form responding to the Yelgun to Chinderah bridge established aesthetic in order to reinforce a Pacific Highway north coast consistent design language.

The median stiletto pier is tapered on all sides with a 10H:1V incline for the leading face and a 30H:1V incline for face parallel to the main carriageway.

The headstock is shaped to continue the incline of the pier taper and form a neat transition to the bridge superstructure above.
Figure 8.13 Artist impression of bridge at Woodburn Interchange from Pacific Highway. Landscape shown at full maturity.
8.1.6 Woodburn Interchange bridge crossing

The height, bulk, scale and materials used in the design of the interchange bridge crossing have been addressed to sensitively embed the overbridge within the natural setting. This ensured the bridge was simple, elegant and sensitively designed. The simple, refined and elegant bridge crossing is achieved through:

- Minimising the cut beneath the overbridge to reduce the perceived height of the bridge crossing
- Minimising the bulk and scale of the bridge elements to produce a slender structure. The slender horizontal alignment with smooth clean lines and minimised structural depth will create a visual slenderness which forms an unobtrusive counterpart to the natural ridgeline and planted embankments
- The use of transparent throw screens and spill through abutments to encourage views through the structure of the surrounding landscape.

The slenderness ratio measures proportion between the depth of the superstructure and bridge span and is used as a guide ensuring the proportion of a bridge and its elements is suited to its setting. For Woodburn Bridge, the slenderness ratio ranges from 9.5 to 10.2.

The bridges setting, overall scale and relationship of the bridge elements will result in an elegant bridge design which responds to the sequence of open and closed views along the highway.

Artist impressions of the Woodburn Interchange bridge crossing are shown in Figures 8.13 and 8.14.
Figure 8.15  Artist impression of approach to bridge at Broadwater Interchange towards Ballina. Landscape shown at full maturity.
8.1.7 Broadwater Interchange Bridge

The height, bulk, scale and materials used in the design of the interchange bridge crossing is being addressed to sensitively embed the overbridge within the natural setting. This ensured the bridge was simple, elegant and sensitively designed. The simple, refined and elegant bridge crossing is achieved through:

- Minimising the cut beneath the overbridge to reduce the perceived height of the bridge crossing.
- Minimising the bulk and scale of the bridge elements to produce a slender structure. The slender horizontal alignment with smooth clean lines and minimised structural depth will create a visual slenderness which forms an unobtrusive counterpart to the natural ridgeline and planted embankments.
- The use of transparent throw screens and spill through abutments to encourage views through the structure of the surrounding landscape.

The slenderness ratio measures proportion between the depth of the superstructure and bridge span and is used as a guide ensuring the proportion of a bridge and its elements is suited to its setting. For Woodburn Bridge, the slenderness ratio ranges from 9.5 to 10.2. The bridge’s setting, overall scale and relationship of the bridge elements will result in an elegant bridge design which responds to the sequence of open and closed views along the highway.

Artist impressions of the Broadwater Interchange Bridge are shown in Figures 8.15 and 8.16.

Figure 8.16 Artist impression of bridge at Broadwater Interchange. Landscape shown at full maturity.
Figure 8.17  Artist impression of approach to Bridge at Evens Head Road towards Ballina. Landscape shown at full maturity.
8.1.8 Bridge at Woodburn Evans Head Road

The bridge at Woodburn Evans Head Road crosses over the northbound and southbound carriageways of the Pacific Highway, it includes a dedicated cycle lane to the southern side of the bridge.

The height, bulk, scale and materials used in the design of the bridge crossing are addressed to sensitively embed the overbridge within the natural setting. This ensured the bridge presents as simple, elegant and sensitively designed. The simple, refined and elegant bridge crossing is achieved through:

- Using the form, proportion and scale of bridge elements to create a slender and elegant bridge structure
- Using bridge elements to anchor the bridge into the landscape
- Use of symmetrical design to give the bridge order within the landscape
- Maximising views of the surrounding landscape when viewed from beneath or on the bridge deck.

The slenderness ratio measures proportion between the depth of the superstructure and bridge span and is used as a guide ensuring the proportion of a bridge and its elements is suited to its setting. For Woodburn Evans Head Road overbridge, the slenderness ratio ranges from 9.5 to 10.2. The bridges setting, overall scale and relationship of the bridge elements will result in an elegant bridge design which responds to the key bridge family of elements and views across the agricultural plains.

Landscape planting will frame the bridge approach and when mature will provide screening to properties nearby.

Artist impressions of the Woodburn Evans Head road bridge are shown in Figure 8.17 and 8.18.

Figure 8.18 Artist impression of aerial view of the bridge at Woodburn Evans Head Road. Landscape shown at full maturity.
8.1.9 Underpass bridges

27 underpass bridges are included between Sections 7, 8, and 9 and are shown on Figure 8.19.

- C01 - Northbound Bridge over Tabimoble floodway
- C04 - Twin bridges over the Tukombil canal
- C05 - Twin bridges over Woodburn floodway
- C07 - Twin bridges over Macdonald’s Creek
- C50 - Twin bridges over Richmond floodway
- C51 - Twin bridges over Unnamed watercourse 1
- C52 - Twin bridges over Macdonald’s Creek tributary
- C53 - Twin bridges over Montis Gully tributary 1
- C54 - Twin bridges over Montis Gully tributary 2
- C55 - Twin bridges over fauna passage 1
- C56 - Twin bridges over fauna passage 2
- C57 - Twin bridges over fauna passage 3
- C59 - Twin bridges over fauna passage 3
- C60 - Twin bridges over Woodburn floodway 2
- C61 - Twin bridges over Unnamed Watercourse 2.

Although often not visible to the road user, the design of low level creek crossings and local road underpass bridges addresses the key design principles for bridges and took into account the bridge height, bulk, scale and materiality to ensure the bridges are well integrated into the surrounding landscape context and sit comfortably within the Roads and Maritime, and Pacific Highway family of bridges so as to ensure a consistency of design language.

Bridge design principles

Consistency
The design and arrangements of all elements should be considered as part of a family of elements which relate to each other and other sections of the highway upgrade to provide consistency with variety.

Simplicity and refinement
The design, form, materials and arrangement of all elements must be simple and refined and carefully integrated with adjoining elements.

Context
The design of all elements must be relevant to the existing context and road function.

Unobtrusive appearance
The bridges must be visually unobtrusive allowing the landscape and environmental attributes of the area to be fully appreciated by road users. Bridges must present smooth clean lines. This is illustrated in Figures 8.20 to 8.23.

Integrated design
The design, form, materials and finishes of the bridges on the upgrade must be consistent and integrated with each other to ensure visual continuity.

Transparency
The bridge superstructure must be as transparent as possible to optimise views through the bridge to the landscape beyond. This includes minimising the depth of structure and refining the bridge abutments.
Abutment
The abutments are designed as spill through abutments with slopes of 1.5H:1V. The abutments consist of spill-through embankments with precast reinforced concrete abutment headstock beams. The spill-through embankments will require embankment protection. The embankment protection will consist of rock armour extending down the embankment at a slope of 1.5H:1V wrapping around the embankment and tying in with the road embankment slopes.

Parapets and girders
Integrated with the regular performance traffic barrier the parapet has a continuous uninterrupted outer face with neat sharp edges and extends the full length of the bridge. The outer face is slanted to self-clean and catch sunlight. With a top face angled toward the road to channel drainage. The parapet extends beyond the soffit to mask the depth of the winged planks in doing so, it creates a shadow line which decreases the perceived depth of the girder/planks and gives the bridge structures a slender appearance.

Barriers
The bridge traffic barriers shall be regular performance level Roads and Maritime barrier, which comprises of a concrete parapet with cycling grab rail on the nearside shoulder.

Piers and headstocks
Reinforced concrete column piers present a simplified structural foundation for the underpass bridges.

When crossing environmentally sensitive waterways, piers will be located outside the main channel to ensure flow is not altered or reduced. Construction would not alter or reduce flow.
Figure 8.24 Artist impression of aerial view of sugar cane conveyor bridge and its relationship to the Broadwater Interchange. Landscape shown at full maturity.
8.1.10 Sugar conveyor screen

The existing NSW sugar conveyor bridge structure forms part of the realigned Pacific Highway approximately 176 kilometres north of Coffs Harbour. It is located near the Broadwater Interchange as seen in Figure 8.24.

The protection screen provides a safety screen for the bridge.

Aside from the functional safety qualities the sugar cane conveyor screen will become an important way finding moment marking the arrival and departure point to Broadwater.

The patterned screen design subtly references the existing wider precinct wayfinding signage with the option to create a landmark moment as shown in Figure 8.25.

View | Drivers view looking south on approach to Broadwater Interchange

Key features:
- Landscape planting at a common scale on the east and west
- Steel structure respects and responds to industrial materials and structural patterning
- Distinctive functional infrastructure is a way finding moment on approach to Broadwater and the Richmond River Bridge.

The sugar cane conveyor screen will reference the triangular pattern seen in the existing broadwater municipal signage and will provide a gateway marker to the Broadwater region.

Figure 8.25 Artist impression of conveyor screen. Landscape shown at full maturity.
8.1.11 Cutting and embankments

Cutting and embankments occur along the new road alignment as shown in Figure 8.26. The height, bulk, scale and materiality of the finishes have been considered to reduce the impact of the cuttings and embankments against the character of the existing natural landscape.

Sections 7, 8 and 9 feature about 7.5 kilometres of cutting along the alignment with the most significant occurring at the Woodburn Interchange. At this location a stone faced abutment was used to stabilise the cutting as discussed in Sub-chapter 8.1.5.

Elsewhere, significant cuttings have been designed with soft feathered transitions and rounded edges which allow for revegetation beyond the top of the cutting. In doing so the revegetation of the cut batter will connect the new road alignment with the existing landscape. This reduces the contrast between old and new and allows the cuttings to blend into the natural surroundings.

The new road upgrade for Sections 7, 8 and 9 are largely formed upon embankments with around 23 kilometres being built in this way.

Similarly to cuttings, the junction between the embankment and existing landscape is neatly transitioned to reduce the visual impact the new upgrade will have at the intersection between old and new.

The planting design to both cut and fill slopes has considered batter slopes, topsoil requirements and bioregion specific species, frangibility clear zones and sightline requirements. The establishment of planting will provide a green sweeping highway and additional stability to both areas of cuttings and embankments.

Earth mounds

The SPIR Requirements for the W2B project includes:

UD14 - Earth mounds

The mounding profile of any earth mound will blend suitably into the existing landscape setting. Any mounding to be landscaped will be compacted in 1.5 metre layers with 1:3 maximum batter slopes where reasonable in consideration of constraints within the project corridor. Where feasible and reasonable, permanent mounds will be treated with ameliorants and overlaid with topsoil to minimum 150 millimetres to ensure suitable planting conditions are achieved.

Earth mounds will be required in some locations along the road alignment to allow disposal of surplus spoil in order to avoid the need to transport it long distances from the site. Generally the earth mounds will be designed to form part of the landscape works.

The technical landscape drawings indicate possible sites for earth mounds. However, the need for these mounds and their size will be depend on the volume of surplus spoil that needs to be disposed of.

Earth mound design principles

Design of the earth mounds will respond to local conditions and where possible their margins will be graded to blend with existing adjoining landforms. Under most circumstances stand-alone earth mounds will have slopes no steeper than 3H: 1V to assist successful establishment of vegetation and to create a natural appearance.

Where a mound is designed as a false-cut the gradient is to be consistent with the slope from which it extends. The radius at the top of the mound and transitions will be rounded to 10 metres minimum and up to 100 metres for the large mounds, where possible.

Earth mounds will be revegetated by seeding with selected native species of trees, shrubs and grasses. Supplementary planting of trees and tall shrubs will be carried out at some locations where rapid revegetation is required to achieve a desirable outcome.

Figure 8.26 Cut and fill location map
The upgrade provides a dual carriageway and grade-separated interchanges to provide access to the Woodburn and Broadwater townships from the new highway alignment.

8.2 Road corridor
The road corridor is designed to contribute to the quality of the environment and the road users travelling experience. It has used contextually appropriate road landscape treatments to improve the visual amenity of the road corridor.

Each element of the road corridor is designed to integrate all visible areas and components into the natural environment which it passes through. These areas and components include:

- Median and verge treatments
- Cyclist networks
- Bus stops
- Heavy vehicle stopping bays
- Furniture (to be discussed in Sub-chapter 8.3 of this report).

8.2.1 Median and verge treatments
Where space allows vegetated medians will be used to visually break up the expanse of hard paving and help continue the characteristics of the existing landscape across the road corridor. This will be achieved by matching plant species to those seen in the road verge. Frangible species are used when no road barrier exists to help slow vehicles which have left the road. The planting will also provide a screen for headlight glare when needed.

The verge is typically treated with a mown grass strip along the edge of the highway providing a neat green frame to the road edge which ensures trees and shrubs do not regenerate next to the highway. This is shown in Figure 8.27.

Where appropriate existing vegetation to the existing widened median has been retained as shown in Figure 8.28.

8.2.2 Cyclist networks
Provision for connection to the future NSW Coastline Cycleway is allowed for. This cycleway aims to:

- Safely connect the coastal settlements of the NSW coast
- Improve access for local people to schools, work and local facilities
- Improve cycle related tourism
- Create an internationally recognised cycle ride.

Provision has been made for travel along the full length of the main carriageway within the 2.5m wide road shoulder. Dedicated shared paths have been included at overbridge crossings which will connect to the future cycling network.

8.2.3 Heavy vehicle stopping bays
12 stopping bays have been designed along the length of the main alignment at an average of 5 kilometres apart.

The planting design around these locations is designed to promote clear sightlines of the stopping bay signage and also clear sightlines for safe intersection with the main highway.

8.2.4 New Italy
The New Italy Museum is located just south of Woodburn which was part of the original New Italy Settlement described in Chapter 4.

The highway upgrade will result in:

- A new car park exit with improved traffic merge
- Formalised coach parking bay
- Recreational articulated vehicle including caravans, boat trailer parking bays to the eastern edge
- Improved pedestrian pavement between the car park, museum entrance and the informal rest areas.

The changes to the highway alignment and car park facilities will not:

- Directly impact the New Italy settlement
- Significantly alter the New Italy settlement landscape.

The new landscaping to the New Italy car park and approach roads embraces the existing local vegetation aesthetic to reinstate and enhance as the planting matures. An artist impression of this arrangement is shown in Figure 8.31.
The corridor furniture accords with Roads and Maritime standards and is designed to be as visually recessive as possible by integrating with the landscape treatments to blend into the background.

8.3 Furniture
The road corridor furniture is designed to contribute to the quality of the environment and the road users travelling experience. Each element of the road corridor furniture is designed to integrate all visible components into the natural environment which the corridor will pass through.

These components include:
- Fences
- Headlight screens
- Lighting
- Safety barriers
- Signage

8.3.1 Fences
Fencing is provided to:
- Fence the road boundary (Figure 8.29)
- Prevent livestock from entering the road corridor
- Prevent access into water basins
- Prevent fauna access into the road corridor, and promote fauna use of fauna structures.

Fencing selection and locations have been developed based on the main alignment road geometry and consultation with individual property owners. Where possible fauna fencing is combined with property fencing in order to reduce the environmental and maintenance impact resultant from additional clearing which would have been required. All fencing finishes are designed to be visually permeable with wide aperture infill mesh to blend harmoniously into the background landscape.

8.3.1.1 Boundary fence
When not combined with fauna fencing boundary fencing is standard post, wire and dropper stock proof fencing along the road reserve. Boundary fencing near glider crossing structures will not have barbed wire for the top two strands.

8.3.1.2 Security fence
Security fencing is included for both temporary and permanent drainage basins when the basins are accessible to the public. Visually recessive chain wire mesh (shown in Figure 8.30) is to be used to blend the fence into the surrounding landscape.

8.3.1.3 Fauna Fence
A range of mitigation measures were identified during the EIS phase to minimise the impact of habitat loss and fragmentation on threatened fauna species. These include installation of fauna fencing.

Fauna fencing is designed to facilitate the effective crossing of wildlife and funnelling of fauna to fauna crossing locations. With the main goal of reducing wildlife mortality. The alignment of fauna fencing will not create any trapping points.

The types of fauna fencing used in this work considered the species known in the area and the species specific fencing requirements. In particular general fauna fencing, koala fencing, amphibian fencing and a combined koala and amphibian fence have been located within the work.

3m setback of trees from fauna fences will be provided, this will minimise opportunities for fauna to access the highway.
Figure 8.31  Artist impression of New Italy car park. Landscaping shown at full maturity.
8.3.2 Headlight screens
Headlight screens have been provided in areas where potential driver confusion may occur due to headlight spill to improve the safety for both local and through traffic.

2.5 metres tall the headlight screens will utilise planted trees and shrubs from advanced stock and selective landscape mounding to screen the headlight spill. Permanent vegetated headlight screens will be located between the main alignment and the Woodburn to Broadwater Service Road and next to the New Italy settlement.

A palette of small bushy trees, shrubs and ground covers was selected from the existing vegetation communities to use as headlight screen planting.

8.3.3 Lighting
Standard road lighting is provided on the upgrade at Woodburn and Broadwater interchanges. The light poles are galvanised ‘Taperline’ poles or similar to integrate with the existing light poles seen along the wider Pacific Highway. The lighting design at both interchanges will facilitate safe movement for people and vehicles and has been designed to restrict light spill to within each interchange. The proposed arrangement for Broadwater Interchange southbound roundabout is shown in Figure 8.32.

All lighting for the project complies AS 4282-1997 Control of the Obtrusive Effects of Outdoor Lighting. Detailed lighting reports have been prepared for the project.

8.3.4 Clear zone and safety barriers
The clear zone is a measured offset from the edge of the carriageway which is free from non-frangible vegetation and road furniture. It is designed for emergency use by errant vehicles.

The offset differs for the main Pacific Highway alignment and the adjoining local access roads. Safety barriers have been provided in locations where it was not possible to provide the required clear zone to the edge of the main alignment and local roads due to the presence of hazardous objects.

These objects include:
- Large non-frangible signage posts
- Non-frangible street lighting columns and infrastructure
- Bridge piers
- Water quality basins
- Non-traversable fill embankments
- Trees with a trunk greater than 100 millimetres in diameter
- Fauna furniture poles (rope bridge poles and glider poles)
- Headlight screens or noise walls/mounds.

Different safety barriers are used throughout Sections 7, 8 and 9 these include:
- W-Beam steel rail safety barriers (G4), semi-rigid safety barrier used on approaches to structures, road interchanges and roads with tight curves
- Wire rope safety barrier, where there is a narrow median and to the top of embankments.

8.3.5 Signage
The signposting design forms an important role in the functioning of the highway and the legibility of the journey. The signposting is prepared to meet the following criteria:
- Appropriate for the climatic, lighting, travel speed and traffic conditions;
- Consistent with the State Road Network signposting;
- Consistent between adjoining local roads, property accesses and tie-ins to the existing Pacific Highway and new roads.

Future community and stakeholder consultation is planned for signage along the alignment. There are signage standards which apply to the project, these will be communicated to the community and agencies at an appropriate time in the development of the project.

Typical warning signage is shown in Figure 8.33.
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Planting and revegetation
Landscape principles

- **Respect local vegetation**
  Deliver a local landscape adopting a vegetation palette founded upon local landscape types and species selected from local bioregions for local conditions to reinforce local agricultural and natural character.

- **Blend topographic change**
  Shape topography and revegetate cuttings and embankments to blend with the landform and maintain the visual character of the landscape.

- **Consistently connect**
  Reinstate and enhance fauna and vegetation community connectivity along and across the corridor through linking remnant verge, underpass, culvert and overhead crossings through contextual planting.

- **Sequence views**
  Sensitive compose planting to create a varied sequence and depth of views along the journey to selectively screen sensitive receptors while featuring the driver experience of character variance from ridge to floodplain, forest to crop and pasture.

- **Emphasise moments**
  Adopt semi-mature tree plantings and compose character landscapes as markers of communities, rest stops, and moments along the journey.

- **Safely and sensitively structure**
  Structure the landscape to respond to fragility, structures, fauna and clear zones to ensure diver and fauna safety.

9.1 **Existing vegetation and proposed landscaping**

The contextual analysis in Chapter 5 described the ecological diversity of the Sections 7, 8 and 9 based upon the NSW North Coast (NNC) bioregion it exists within. This bioregion was classified based upon its unique climatic, geomorphic, landform, lithology, and flora and fauna attributes. Existing vegetation communities within the NNC bioregion were identified through flora and fauna assessments during the EIS and detailed design phases. A typical area is shown in Figure 9.0.

Thirteen vegetation communities have been identified within the Devils Pulpit to Richmond River (Section 7, 8 and 9) boundary.

The proposed landscaping strategy has used these identified vegetation communities to respond to the Woolgoolga to Ballina landscape principles of:
- **Respect local vegetation**
- **Blend topographic change**
- **Reconnect communities**
- **Sequence views**
- **Emphasise moments**
- **The environmental objectives of 'Maintaining and preserving the biodiversity values' and protecting the extinction of threatened species'.**

Specifically the landscaping vegetation design has:
- Identified existing vegetation communities to inform species selection for new work to maintain and preserve the biodiversity values
- Used historically cultural plant species as feature landscape markers at interchange approaches to acknowledge and preserve the cultural landscape
- Protected existing threatened species with exclusion fencing during construction where possible and translocating suitable species where possible
- Used existing vegetation classes and floristic distribution to inform new planting arrangements at interchanges as shown in the detailed design response in Sub-chapter 8.1.1
- Emphasised the existing sequence of open and closed views.

Figure 9.0 Existing vegetation

![Existing vegetation](image-url)
9.2 Vegetation communities

Exploring and applying the principle to respect local vegetation, existing vegetation habitats and ensuing vegetation communities have been identified and analysed to inform the landscape planting strategy.

Specifically but not exclusively to Devils Pulpit to Richmond River (Sections 7, 8 and 9) the EIS identifies a variety of vegetation formations for the Woolgoolga to Ballina upgrade. Eight different vegetation formations have been identified and succinctly defined to the right, with attendant imagery (Figures 9.1-9.8).

Within these vegetation formations, specific vegetation classes have been identified which informed the planting, seeding and reconstruction treatments.

These formations and resultant communities are listed in Table 9.0.

- **Dry sclerophyll open forests and woodlands**
  - Sclerophyll forests are a typically Australian vegetation type having plants (typically eucalypts, wattles and banksias) with hard, short and often spiky leaves, which is a condition closely associated with low soil fertility.
  - Figure 9.1  Forest canopy (Image source:ACJV)

- **Swamp forests**
  - Low dense mixed forest of eucalypts and paperbarks with minimal shrub cover and dense ground cover. The boggy ground is heavily clothed in leaf litter, interspersed with patches of sedges and ferns, temporary pools of water and bare ground.
  - Figure 9.3  Swamp Forest (Image source:ACJV)

- **Floodplain forests**
  - The composition of subtropical coastal floodplain forest is primarily determined by the frequency and duration of waterlogging and the texture, nutrient and moisture content of the soil. Composition also varies with latitude.
  - Figure 9.5  Floodplain forest (Image source:ACJV)

- **Wet sclerophyll forests**
  - The wet sclerophyll forests of New South Wales occur on moderately fertile soils in high rainfall areas, and are characterised by a tall, open, sclerophyllous tree canopy dominated by eucalypts. They include blue gums, mahoganies, peppermints and green-leaved ashes and a luxuriant understory of soft-leaved, shrubs, fern and herbs.
  - Figure 9.2  Wet forest (Image source:ACJV)

- **Rainforests**
  - Characterised by a closed and continuous tree canopy composed of relatively soft, horizontally-held leaves, each class of rainforest varies in structure, species composition, climate, soils and biogeography.
  - Figure 9.4  Rainforest (Image source:ACJV)

- **Freshwater wetlands**
  - Freshwater wetlands are ecosystems which are affected by permanent or temporary inundation. They are dominated by shrubs, sedges and herbs.
  - Figure 9.6  Wetland (Image source:ACJV)

- **Estuarine wetlands**
  - Estuarine wetlands are found in bays and other partly enclosed waters which are tidal and sometimes have freshwater inflows.
  - Figure 9.7  Wetland (Image source:ACJV)

- **Heathlands**
  - Despite having a restricted distribution, heathlands are associated with some of Australia’s most distinctive and inspirational coastal and mountain scenery. Shrubs and heaths dominate the vegetation, while grasses and trees are conspicuously scarce.
  - Figure 9.8  Heath forest (Image source:ACJV)
Initial seed mixes prepared by each of the four portion (A, B, C and D) design teams were reviewed and rationalised by PC/RMS to provide a set of seed mixes for use across the whole Woolgollga to Ballina project. Table 9.0 shows vegetation community types that inform the species composition of the seed mixes. The seed mixes are identified in Appendix B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vegetation community type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blackbutt</td>
<td>Named for the Eucalyptus pilularis and Corymbia gummifera, this community features a range of species across the upper, middle and ground strata including Eucalyptus, Corymbia, Allocasuarina, Banksia, Acacia, Leptospermum, Lomandra, Themeda and Hibbertia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal heath on sands of the North Coast</td>
<td>This ecosystem is an aggregation of many different plant communities. It is concentrated along the coast (eg Broadwater, Yuraygir, Crowdy Bay and Boott Boott National Parks). Usually near the coast on coastal sands.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest red gum Grass open forest of the coastal ranges</td>
<td>Named for the Eucalyptus tereticornis this community features a range of species across the upper and ground strata including Eucalyptus, Corymbia, Angophora, Breynea, Dianella, Lomandra, Themeda, Imperata and Hardenbergia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grey gum Grey ironbark open forest of the Clarence lowland</td>
<td>Named for the Eucalyptus propinqua this community features a range of species across the upper, middle and ground strata including Eucalyptus, Corymbia, Allocasuarina, Syncarpia, Dianella, Lomandra and Imperta.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrow-leaved red gum woodlands of the lowland (EEC)</td>
<td>Named for the Eucalyptus seeana this community features a range of species across the upper, middle and ground strata including Eucalyptus, Lophostemon, Casuarina, Angophora, Melaleuca, Schononous, Themeda and Gahnia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needlebark stringybark – Red bloodwood heathy woodland on sandstones of the lower Clarence of the North Coast</td>
<td>Mid–high to tall open forest or woodland usually with a dense dry heath understorey. Mainly on sandstones in the Glenreagh area of the lower Clarence Valley.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paperbark swamp forest of the coastal lowlands (EEC)</td>
<td>Named for the predominance of Melaleuca species within this community, it also features a range of species across the upper, middle and ground strata including Eucalyptus, Callistemon, Casuarina, Leptospermum, Melastoma, and Gahnia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red mahogany open forest of the coastal lowlands</td>
<td>Named for the Eucalyptus resinifera subsp. Resinifera this community features a range of species across the upper, middle and ground strata including Eucalyptus, Corymbia, Acacia, Banksia, Lomandra and Themeda.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scribbly bark Needlebark stringybark heathy open forest of coastal lowlands</td>
<td>Named for the Eucalyptus signata, this community also features a range of species across the upper, middle and ground strata including Eucalyptus, Corymbia, Angophora, Syncarpia, Banksia, Leptospermum, Lomandra, Dianella and Xanthorrhoea.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spotted gum Grey ironbark pink bloodwood open forest of the Clarence Valley lowlands</td>
<td>Named for the Corymbia henryi and Eucalyptus sideroploia, this community features a range of species across the upper, middle and ground strata including Eucalyptus, Corymbia, Allocasuarina, Banksia, Acacia, Leptospermum, Lomandra, Themeda and Hibbertia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swamp box swamp forest of the coastal lowlands (EEC)</td>
<td>Named for the Casuarina glauca, this community also features a range of species across the upper, middle and ground strata including Eucalyptus, Angophora, Allocasuarina, Jacksonia, Cymbopogon and Themeda.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swamp mahogany swamp forest on coastal lowland</td>
<td>Named for the Lophostemon suaveolens, this community also features a range of species across the upper, middle and ground strata including Eucalyptus, Corymbia, Melaleuca, Gloxicidion, Melicope, Baeckia, Cordyline, Blechnum, Hypolepis and Xanthorrhoea.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swamp oak swamp forest on coastal lowlands of the North Coast (EEC)</td>
<td>Low to very tall woodland and forest. Widespread on poorly drained sites in coastal areas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.3 Batter stabilisation planting

In keeping with the philosophy of ‘context sensitive design’, and the Principles of Blending Topographic Change the treatment of the road cross and long sections respond to local landforms wherever and whenever possible.

The road alignment is deliberately arranged so it:
- Sits comfortably within the landscape context
- Balances the functional and pragmatic requirements of applicable design standards, flood immunity, and cut and fill balance.

Batter stabilisation planting is applied to revegetate exposed cut and fill batters to help minimise erosion and weed infestation.

The stabilisation technique chosen across the Devils Pulpit to Richmond River site was developed in line with the Roads and Maritime Services Guideline for batter surface stabilisation using vegetation and considers:
- The batter type (fill or cut)
- The level of erosion protection required
- The planting application
- The visual amenity and ecological outcome
- Existing technical specifications and procedures.

Fill batter

Fill batters throughout the design are typically profiled to a gradient of 2H:1V with varying shallower profile departures to accommodate feathering into the natural topography.

Subject to specific location and local context, fill batters will have upstream surface flows diverted away from the batters using gutters and catch drains. This reduces the erosion protection required as it only needs to withstand raindrop splash impact and self-generated overland flow.

The fill batters will be topsoiled and revegetated with tree, shrub and ground cover species suited to the existing vegetation community and consistent with frangibility clear zone and sight line requirements.

A maximum fill batter slope of 2H:1V is adopted to ensure flexibility of revegetation technique. With a preference for vegetative based stabilisation (hydroseeding and bush regeneration) which is free from matting and netting.

In addition to hydroseeding (typical hydroseeding application is shown in figure 9.9 hydromulch will be used when protection from surface erosion is required as shown in figure 9.10.

Responding to the Principles of Blending Topographic Change and Respecting Local Vegetation the profiling and treatment of the fill batters in this manner will provide for improved visual integration of the road formation with the existing landscape through:
- Improved driver views in pasture and cropping zones
- Reduced work footprint and thus vegetative clearing.

Cut batter

Cut batters throughout the design are typically profiled to a gradient of 2H:1V with the exception of overbridge cuttings wherein a 1.5H:1V profile is adopted.

Where competent rock is encountered it has been preserved as the batter finish. In instances wherein otherwise competent rock exhibits fissures these have been stabilised by filling with appropriate quarry rock.

All top hinges for cut batters have been rounded to retain existing vegetation, sides of cuttings have been feathered back into the existing landscape and topographic condition through progressive contour tying in.

Subject to specific location, local context and in the absence of competent rock, cut batters will have upstream surface flows diverted away from the batters using catch drains.

The batters will be horizontally tined, topsoiled and revegetated with tree, shrub and ground cover species suited to the existing bioregion and consistent with frangibility clear zone and sight line requirements.

A maximum cut batter slope of 2H:1V for vegetated batters is adopted to ensure flexibility of revegetation technique. With a preference for vegetative based stabilisation (hydroseeding and bush regeneration) which is free from matting and netting. In addition to hydroseeding hydromulch will be used when protection from surface erosion is required.

Responding to the Principles of Blending Topographic Change and Respecting Local Vegetation the profiling and treatment of the cut batters in this manner will provide for improved visual integration of the road formation with the existing landscape through:
- Improved driver views in pasture and cropping zones
- Reduced footprint and thus vegetative clearing.
Due to the size of the areas involved, the majority of the vegetated landscape on the Highway is revegetated using native seeding.

9.4 Seed application and establishment

Areas to be revegetated along the main highway will be seeded using either hydroseeding or bushland reconstruction. When required, hydromulch will be used to control erosion.

HYDROSEEDING

Hydroseeding is the hydraulic application of seed, seed carrier and soil ameliorants added to a tank fitted with an agitator and pump. It is commonly followed by hydromulching or straw mulching to provide surface protection.

For Sections 7, 8 and 9 pasture grasses reinstating grazing and agricultural flat lands and formation batters shallower than 3H:1V will be hydroseeded together with medians and verges of 3H:1V or steeper. Figure 9.11 shows native seed hydromulch growth in a semi-mature state.

Hydroseeding provides a micro-climate for successful germination of both the cover crop and native species.

Cover crops are fast growing, but short lived non-native pasture grasses used to revegetate exposed batters to minimise erosion and weed infestation. The cover crop species are intended to provide the temporary batter stabilisation before the permanent species germinate and establish.

Care was taken in formulating the final cover crop mix percentages within native seed mixes to ensure secondary and tertiary permanent species seed germination and plant growth is not hindered by overly dense cover crops.

BUSHLAND RECONSTRUCTION

Bushland reconstruction is a revegetation strategy successfully enacted on the Pacific Highway Glenugie upgrade.

Since its installation in 2010 this process was used on a number of Roads and Maritime projects and due to the location and forest community similarities it is considered to be directly applicable for use in reinstating disturbed forest communities within Sections 7, 8 and 9.

At the Woodburn Interchange and within the Bundjalung and Broadwater National Parks the nearby verge edges are largely weed free and grey gum forest communities which offer a potential seedbank abundant with inherently appropriate species.

Clearing footprints within these areas are anticipated to generate a significant volume of tub ground shredded mulch rich with seed which will be incorporated into the site select stripped and reapplied topsoil.

Care was taken in formulating the final cover crop mix percentages within native seed mixes to ensure secondary and tertiary permanent species seed germination and plant growth is not hindered by overly dense cover crops.

Figure 9.11 Native seed hydromulch growth (Image source: Aussie erosion)

HYDROMULCH

Hydromulching has a similar application method to hydroseeding but contains various types of organic fibrous materials mixed with water. Hydromulch will be applied onto the soil surface in a slurry form when protection from surface erosion is required.

Re-establishing the bushland with a full suite of site sourced and composed endemic components will provide for the optimum indigenous vegetation reconstruction.

Bushland reconstruction relies upon a clean site process which strips and stockpiles topsoil and grinds and composts mulch only from the bushland zone and only for reconstruction within the zone to safeguard enduring vegetation community integrity through weed free construction hygiene.
To reduce water consumption, preserve local biodiversity and landscape distinctiveness, the use of indigenous species of local provenance are preferred on the road corridor in rural areas. Local native seed collection is an important operation in revegetation along Roads and Maritime Services road projects.

9.5 Seed Procurement

Seed procurement will be the responsibility of the civil contractor who will have overall responsibility for implementation of the landscape works. The collection of native seed is to be undertaken in accordance with Roads and Maritime Specification R178.

- The native seed must be of local provenance (seed collected from plants growing in the locality of the project site which may include the road corridor and adjoining areas within the NSW North Coast Bioregion) where possible.
- The provenance of all seed must be provided by the seed supplier.
- Where provenance seed is not available, seed must be collected from areas where the habitat of the source area mostly closely matches that of the proposed location. In all other respects, the specified activities outlined in R178 will be followed.
- Seed collection, processing and storage are to generally follow the NSW protocols contained in the Florabank Guidelines (Florabank Online).
- No seed collection will be carried out for species protected under the NPW Act Schedule 13 available from the following link: http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/nsw/consol_act/npawa1974247/sch13.html

9.6 Monitoring maintenance and ecological establishment.

The objectives for monitoring landscape rehabilitation areas and ecological establishment are detailed in the Landscape Management Plan (Appendix E). They include:

- Plant species must be representative of each of the structural strata (tree, shrub and herb layer) of the target vegetation community
- At least 50 per cent of the vascular plant species should be representative of the target vegetation community
- Sufficient cover of native herbs established at a density which is sufficient to ensure continuous plant coverage by completion of the landscaping maintenance period
- Weed species comprise no greater than five percent of all plant species per restored area with exception of 15 per cent in riparian vegetation communities
- Weed cover is less than five per cent per restored area

Landscape and rehabilitation works will be monitored and remedial measures implemented where required until vegetation has stabilised.

Particular attention will be given to the monitoring of planted areas within the first 18 months after planting. This is the most sensitive time for newly installed planted hydroseeded and hydromulched material.

Additionally, the inspections will monitor the germination of Acacia species. If this is too dense, selected plants will be removed by hand or using an appropriate non-residual herbicide.

Roads and Maritime requirements for maintenance are contained in QA specifications R178 and R179.

The specification covers standards and methods for all the normal tasks required for landscape/horticultural maintenance.

The maintenance work begins at the time of occupation of the site and together with measures designed to protect the biodiversity of the corridor will be carried out over the duration of the construction work and maintenance period covered by the contract.

Figure 9.12 illustrates the successful native plant growth on a similar project in a sem-mature state.
Topsoil
10.1 Topsoil management

The W2B project traverses a number of different landscape situations that include forested areas, cleared land used for grazing and flood plains that are extensively used for sugarcane and other crops. Consequently the topsoils within these landscape situations vary significantly in terms of their physical and chemical composition as well as the species of seed they contain. Topsoil is a highly valuable resource that requires management by careful planning, implementation and monitoring to ensure the best use is made of it. Good topsoil management results in cost effective landscape outcomes that require minimal on-going maintenance.

Topsoil stripped from the highway construction formation in the forested areas will contain a valuable seed bank of diverse native species that is generally not practical to replicate by seed application alone. The most effective revegetation in forest areas is therefore achieved by re-spreading site topsoil containing intact soil-borne seed bank soon after it has been stripped. The forest topsoil needs to be re-spread within a time frame that will allow most of the soil-borne seed to remain viable.

Natural regeneration from the soil-borne seed ensures that species diversity is also maximised and the risk of introducing foreign genotypes to the existing vegetation communities is minimised. Use of fertiliser is also minimised or avoided to prevent excess fertiliser in surface run-off, which can cause algal blooms in waterway resulting in death of fish and other aquatic fauna.

Amelioration of topsoils will be undertaken where the topsoil testing report identifies a potential deficiency or opportunity for improvements in the nutrient capabilities of the soils. This can include the provision of additional nutrients e.g. Gypsum, lime or dolomite and fertiliser, or the application and mixing of composted natural materials.
10.2 Topsoil treatments

Topsoil treatments proposed to be implemented on the project include:

• Stripping and stockpiling or immediate reseeding
• Ripping the subsoil or sub base
• Placement of the site topsoil
• Application of seed mixes by direct seeding or hydro-seeding together with a hydromulch layer applied to provide surface protection on slopes
• Planting in designated locations with the application of site-won hardwood woodchip mulch (or rice or sugarcane straw mulch in riparian areas) to planted areas.

There are four main topsoil treatments that are generally used to revegetate top soil on cut and fill slopes as well as other areas disturbed by the road construction works.

These treatments include:

**Topsoil Treatment 1: Bushland topsoil containing a seed bank that is placed over cut/fill slopes**
- Prepare batter slopes by ripping or roughening the surface to a depth indicated on the landscape works drawings and specifications to form a loosened or roughened surface suitable for the application of topsoil.
- During ripping, mix in any amelioration materials required by the soil testing report into the upper layer to the rates specified within the soil testing recommendations or geotechnical advisor. This may include the mixing of gypsum or any other suitable agent to prevent erosion of subsoil where dispersive soils are identified as an issue on the cut batter faces to be vegetated.
- Provide ‘cleatmarks’, ‘dimples’ or horizontal scores to cut and fill batters prior to topsoil application.

**Topsoil Treatment 2: Planting and seeding areas**
- Rip the subsoil to depth shown on landscape drawings and specifications. Leave the subsoil surface in a roughened and uncompacte state, prior to the application of topsoil.
- Apply A1 horizon topsoil to the depth shown on landscape drawings. Spread the topsoil but do not otherwise smooth or compact the surface.
- Level and trim the surface flush with adjacent surfaces and roll to lightly compact.
- Apply appropriate seed mix by direct seeding or hydro-seeding as indicated on the landscape drawings.

**Topsoil Treatment 3: Pasture grasses and native grass seeding on medians, verges and cut/fill slopes**
- Cultivate all areas to depth shown on landscape drawings. Leave the subsoil surface in a roughened and uncompacted state, prior to the application of topsoil.
- Apply site-won topsoil to depth shown on landscape drawings. Do not mix composted site mulch in to the topsoil.
- Spread the topsoil, level and trim the surface flush with adjacent surfaces to provide an even finish and roll to lightly compact.
- Apply appropriate grass seed mix via direct seeding or hydro-seeding as indicated on the landscape works drawings and Specification.

Other topsoil treatments are to be applied in specific situations that include:

**Topsoil Treatment 4: for vegetated swales, channels and water quality basins**
- As for Topsoil Treatment 1 but with organic fibre mesh laid over the slope and berms following topsoil spreading and preceding hydro-seeding to the extent of the topsoiling and/or to the top and bottom of the embankments; as shown in details on landscape drawings.

**Topsoil Treatment 5: for wastewater treatment irrigation areas**
- As for Topsoil Treatment 2 in accordance with topsoil depths shown in details on landscape drawings.

**Topsoil Treatment 6: where existing pavement is to be removed**
- Remove the pavement to the depth of the road formation.
- Apply bushland topsoil to depths shown in details on landscape drawings.
- Install advanced trees/tubestock and backfill with topsoil to finish flush with ground level.
- Apply surface wood chip mulch over planted areas to depths shown on landscape drawings.

**Topsoil Treatment 6: where existing pavement is to be removed**
- Apply appropriate seed mix by hydro-seeding or planting in accordance with the landscape plans.

10.3 Mulches

Vegetation material generated by clearing operations is to be used as mulch in the landscape works. Wood chip mulch is to be used as a surface cover on planted areas to suppress weeds and retain soil moisture. Compost mulch is to be incorporated in top soil spread on cut and fill slopes to improve the resistance to surface erosion and assist revegetation.

**Surface mulch**

All planted areas are to have a layer of hardwood chip mulch sourced from site applied to the soil surface to a depth shown landscape drawings, in accordance with the R178 Specification and landscape drawings.

**Composted mulch**

Vegetation generated from site clearing is to be windrowed for a minimum of six months in a manner that will accelerate the composting process. The composted mulch may be mixed with site topsoil in accordance with landscape specifications and the landscape works drawings. The proportion of composted mulch mixed with topsoils will be considered during the detailed design phase and will form part of the soil testing procedures with advice from the soil test reports.

Composted site mulch will be ameliorated to raise the pH, improve the composting processing to reduce the nitrogen drawdown effect of the mulch, and to counter any major nutrient deficiencies that would inhibit germination and growth of seedlings. The soil test reports will provide advice as to soil and compost additives to render the mix suitable for growth of the proposed plant species.

Within riparian zones a straw mulch (rice or sugarcane) will be used in lieu of site won mulch in order to avoid tannins from leaching into waterways.
10.4 Landscape outcomes

The landscape work to be carried out as part of the Woolgoolga to Ballina upgrade will take time to develop as the new vegetation is established and grows. Consequently the visual character of the landscape work will also change over time.

These changes are illustrated by the set of images presented below (Figures 10.1-10.8).

The photographs have been taken at a number of different locations along other sections of the Pacific Highway upgrade.

They illustrate the visual character of the landscape work at various stages of development which include:
- During completion of the landscape work
- Soon after completion
- Subsequent years after the vegetation has had time to grow.
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Drainage and water quality
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11.1 Drainage and water quality

Water quality control systems
Drainage and water quality control systems designed include:

- Grass lined channels
- Central medians
- Free draining scuppers
- Batter vegetation
- Operational basins.

These water quality control systems are designed to:

- Minimise the extent of clearing by incorporating swales into the earthworks design in preference of permanent basins.
- Manage stormwater flows onto, through and from the site by separating dirty and clean water channels. In some locations where the available road alignment width does not allow a cutoff drain to be provided as well as a water quality treatment swale, the bund nearby to the water quality swale has been used to separate the dirty and clean flows.
- Retain existing vegetated swales for re-use (where possible), particularly in areas where the existing alignment is being retained.
- Ensure water runoff will not be conveyed directly into OPP streams.
- Consideration is given to the competing environmental requirements of minimising impact to native vegetation, fauna habitat and wildlife corridors.

In order to capture and convey the runoff from the road surfaces, drainage channels have been provided. Longitudinal drainage elements including pits and pipe networks, limited cutoff drains (toe of batter) and central median drains convey road runoff to water quality treatment swales or water quality basins.

The grassed channels treat stormwater by allowing interception, settling filtration and infiltration of pollutants, primarily solids based. Removal of pollutants such as sediments, nutrients and hydrocarbons occurs as these particles attach to the suspended solids and drop out of suspension.

Where bridges are not crossing sensitive waterways free draining scuppers from bridge decks will allow for water to percolate into the ground plane below.

Batter vegetation treats the batter surface water runoff as it flows down the vegetated fill batters. Shallow vegetated swales will be located along the toe of each batter bordered by highway fill on one side and bounded by a vegetated, earth-covered biosfiltration media on the other, to direct the sheet flow to water quality basins where required.

Water quality basin
Permanent water quality basins have been proposed next to the road carriageway. These basins treat runoff from the highway before discharge to waterways or before it percolates through into the natural ground below. Permanent basins are to be shaped with an organic edge where possible. When constrained by available space selective tree planting was used at basin edges to soften the rectilinear visual presentation through cast shadows and vertical disruption. Fencing of basins when required used visually recessive chain wire fence.

Culverts
Culverts have been installed where the works cross existing watercourses and low points in the landscape. The culverts will facilitate the passing of clean non-highway water flow under the highway, service roads, access ramps, local roads and access tracks ensuring the watercourse is not disturbed. Scour protection will be provided at both the inlet and outlet of culverts to prevent erosion at the transition between the culvert and the natural channel.

Creek rehabilitation
Appropriate plant species will be incorporated into the rehabilitation of disturbed aquatic habitats and drains as a result of the built works. Typical species are included in Table 11.0. The rehabilitation works will be monitored and remedial measures implemented where required until vegetation has stabilised. The bed and banks will be reinstated to a condition similar to or better than the original condition ensuring that there are no adverse impacts on the aquatic values.

### Table 11.0 Planting species for riparian environments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dry grass species</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gahnia clarkei</td>
<td>Saw sedge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lomandra filiformis</td>
<td>Wattle matt rush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lomandra hystrix</td>
<td>Matt rush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lomandra longifolia</td>
<td>Spiny headed matt rush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Margin grass species</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dianella caerulea</td>
<td>Blue flax lily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ficinia nodosa</td>
<td>Knobby club rush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gahnia clarkei</td>
<td>Saw sedge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juncus usitatus</td>
<td>Common rush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lomandra filiformis</td>
<td>Wattle matt rush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wet grass species</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baumea arthrophylla</td>
<td>Swamp twig rush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ficinia nodosa</td>
<td>Knobby club rush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gahnia clarkei</td>
<td>Saw sedge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juncus usitatus</td>
<td>Common rush</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fauna crossings
12.1 Fauna connectivity
A substantial part of the project is located within or next to native vegetation, including National Parks and Reserves, State Forests and regional wildlife corridors. The maintenance of corridor function and habitat connectivity between and within the project area and the wider locality is essential to ensure the long-term viability of existing fauna populations, including threatened species.

Where key threatened fauna species were recorded in project sections 7, 8 and 9, connectivity structures will be installed to facilitate continued population viability following the upgrade of the highway. The locations of these are shown in Figure 12.3.

12.2 Fauna crossing structures
Connectivity structures for this design are listed in Table 12.1. Landscape planting to fauna connectivity structures is designed to replicate the surrounding habitat and where possible to provide foraging resources for fauna. Remnant vegetation will be maintained near structures and woody debris collected during site clearing will be strategically placed to provide additional fauna refuge.

Fauna underpasses
An underpass is a structure which allows wildlife to cross the road beneath the road surface. It includes bridge underpasses, dedicated and combined box culverts, combined with koala and small mammal structures and fish passages.

Fauna structures include horizontal logs placed as high off the ground as possible for koalas and small mammals to avoid predators. The logs are also supported by vertical logs to allow for koalas and small mammals to climb down if required. Fauna furniture has been designed to extend beyond the underpass into the surrounding habitat.

12.3 Fish crossings
All of the water courses understood to be Oxleyan pygmy perch habitat and Class 1, 2 and 3 waterways have been designed with appropriate fish passage measures to encourage movement throughout the waterways. Piers to bridges crossing environmentally sensitive habitat are located outside the main channel.

12.4 Frog ponds
Constructed or augmented breeding ponds will be used as a compensatory mechanism to reduce impacts for the Wallum sedge frog and Green-thighed frog. Ponds which are known to provide habitat for sedge frogs, but are limited in their extent of emergent sedges growing in freestanding water, will be augmented by the planting of suitable sedge species. The ponds will be vegetated via assisted planting techniques with low naturally occurring ground covers (i.e. Carex spp., Fimbristylis spp.)

Figure 12.0  Artist impression of fauna culvert with fauna furniture, Landscape shown at full maturity.

Figure 12.1  Typical fauna structure arrangement with additional planting for foraging
Table 12.1  Fauna connectivity furniture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Furniture type</th>
<th>Fauna type</th>
<th>Key</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bridge underpasses</td>
<td>Small mammals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated and combined box or pipe culvert</td>
<td>Koala, small mammals, reptiles and amphibians</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish passage</td>
<td>Fish</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rope bridges</td>
<td>Brush-tailed phascogale, possums and gliders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glider poles</td>
<td>Gliders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 12.2  Rope bridge (Image source: Michael Clarkson)

Figure 12.3  Fauna structure locations
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Conclusion
Figure 13.0 Artist impression of Broadwater overpass bridge looking east. Landscape shown at full maturity.
13.1__Conclusion

This conclusion provides a succinct description of the UDLP design document. It identifies key design outcomes proposed to achieve the Pacific Highway vision and deliver a safe, environmentally responsible landscape. This conclusion reprises and condenses content from the Executive Summary and main report while adopting a more descriptive focus on specific design outcomes.

This Urban Design and Landscape Plan (UDLP) documents the Urban design and Landscape design specific to Devils Pulpit to Richmond River (Sections 7, 8 and 9) of the Woolgoolga to Ballina Pacific Highway upgrade. It is submitted in fulfilment of MCoA D20 by the applicant Road and Maritime Services for approval for construction to proceed.

The design as described in this document achieves the environmental requirements and measures set by the project Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Submissions/Preferred Infrastructure Report (SPiR) and fulfils the stated requirements of the Minister’s Conditions of Approval for the project (MCoA).

The overall corridor design outcomes inclusive of the living landscape will ensure the upgraded Pacific Highway will continue to service the needs of the travelling public, achieve transport efficiencies, sit comfortably within the local environment and contribute positively to the neighbouring human and animal communities.

As one of the largest road infrastructure projects in New South Wales, the Pacific Highway connects Sydney and Brisbane, and is a major contributor to Australia’s economic activity. The Woolgoolga to Ballina upgrade for the Pacific Highway has been managed into 11 Sections with both the Australian and New South Wales Governments committed to completing the Pacific Highway upgrade (Sections 3 to 11) by 2020.

Due to the significance of the upgrade, consultation with communities, councils agencies and stakeholders was substantial and ongoing through the detailed design phase.

This document has been deliberately structured with the design described in a logical linear format progressing from broad scale planning through to small scale design. The structure starts with a summary of the wider corridor planning and then moves sequentially through approval processes through to contextual analysis and then onto design principles and strategies. These principles and strategies are then articulated graphically within the strategy plans prior to the document completing with detailed design intentions discussed by infrastructure element.

In embracing the Pacific Highway vision, the design for Devils Pulpit to Richmond River (Sections 7, 8 and 9) blends with landform and integrates refined elegant infrastructure. The environmental focus will achieve a varied visual journey featuring open and closed views of pasture, cane fields, National Park, State Forest and a wide variety of native vegetation communities from the New South Wales north coast bioregion. Closely supporting this focus the corridor design through vertical and horizontal road alignments and integrated civil, drainage and landscape earthworks ensures that the roadway that is flanked and frequently divided by local native vegetation sensitively sits within the natural landscape and will rapidly become unobtrusive as the landscape matures.

Working with both the natural environment through vegetation, and the civil environment through landform, the built environment of bridges, barriers and signage has been developed as a refined cohesive suite of complementary elements. This suite of elements sits comfortably within the Pacific Highway infrastructure design “family” and in particular has adopted the “stiletto” piers, spill through stone abutments and throw screen detailing that characterises a number of visually appealing, well integrated sections of the Pacific Highway within the New South Wales North Coast region.

Specifically in conclusion, the key design features of each critical design UDLP Report Chapter are described below:

Chapter 3 - Consultation
Consultation with communities, councils agencies and stakeholders occurred during the design development phase of the project. The consultation strategy recommended a co-hosted consultation approach incorporating the Draft Urban Design and Landscape Plan alongside the proposed design refinements for consideration as part of the detailed design development process. This improved the design and environmental outcomes to further strengthen an already robust multidisciplinary design process.

Chapter 4 - Objectives and Design Principles
- The landscape design offers a range of visual experiences integrated with the flowing road alignment. Principally the experience contrasts a range of native forests with a variety of productive agricultural landscapes.
- The corridor is well vegetated with appropriate species mixtures that will thrive and rapidly re-establish contextually relevant and visually significant landscapes.
- The roadway sits simply and unobtrusively within the landscape integrating the corridor within the natural environment while delivering contextually considered interchanges that provide wayfinding cues for local communities and towns.

Chapter 5 - Contextual Analysis
- The landscape design is firmly ground within the local context with specific design responses adopting planting and seeding mixtures that are informed by detailed analysis of the existing topographic, vegetative, hydrological and geological conditions.
- The infrastructure design components have been composed and site specifically considered to respond to well received recently constructed bridge, throw screen and abutment in nearby sections of the Pacific Highway.
- The overall design composition and detailed design outcomes respond to local fauna, flora and human communities to realise environmentally and culturally connected communities. New Italy, Woodburn and Broadwater have been sensitively referenced in and responded to within the design while a range of fauna and flora communities will have their connectivity and physical extents increased and enhanced through the landscape design.

- Chapter 6 and 7 - Principles and Strategy Plans
  The urban and landscape design strategy delivers the project objectives through practical design and construction measures.
- Planting of interchange with appropriate local vegetation arranged to provide wayfinding cues.
- Seeding of appropriate local vegetation and pasture grasses between interchanges to stabilise the road formation and reinstate corridor consistent landscapes.
- Achieve a safe roadway environment for people and fauna through tree setbacks, fauna fencing, fauna underpasses, rope bridges and consistent targeted habitat plantings.

Chapter 8 - Detailed Response
A consistent family of bridges and barrier components has been delivered that adopts a suite of design elements featured across all sections and that have been previously adopted along the Pacific Highway in Northern New South Wales.

Floodplain bridges feature a regular performance barrier to improve the view to the adjoining landscapes through a single rail only.

The sugar cane screen at Broadwater has been subtly featured as a wayfinding device providing advanced notice of the Broadwater interchange entry ramp.

Chapters 9 to 12
- Planting, Topsoil, Drainage, Fauna
  1. Planting and seeding treatments have been composed using commercially and local seed collection available species from the New South Wales North Coast Bioregion through which the corridor passes.
  2. A select number of median and verge treatments are adopted throughout Sections 7, 8 and 9 that minimise slashing and will deliver high visual impact low maintenance landscapes that are safe to drive beside and safe to maintain.
  3. Mulch and Topsoil will be stripped, shredded and stockpiled for targeted re-use with appropriate vegetation communities to maximise reuse of site materials and optimise local vegetation growth.
  4. Drainage is treated throughout the corridor through water quality focused basins, swales and culverts that adopt native grass plantings in preference to hard surfacing.
  5. A consolidated approach to fencing, fauna and drainage has been adopted to funnel fauna safely to appropriate crossing locations while enhancing and expanding fauna habitat through targeted planting techniques offering foraging and refuge.
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Environmental management compliance tables
Compliance with threatened species management plans

Where specific mitigation measures have been identified for target threatened species they have been outlined in the threatened species management plans. These plans aim at providing mitigation and monitoring measures for implementation during pre-construction, construction and operation. As part of the Conditions of Approval for the project, the Ballina Koala Plan and Koala Management Plan must be approved before building can start in the area known as section 10, which starts at Broadwater and finishes at Coolgardie, south of Ballina. This UDLP addresses the following measures stipulated in the following threatened species management plans:

- Threatened frog management plan
- Koala management plan
- Rainforest communities and threatened rainforest plants management plan
- Threatened flora management plan
- Threatened glider management plan
- Threatened mammal management plan
- Threatened fish management plan
- Threatened invertebrate management

### Table A.1 Threatened species management plan requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Management plan requirements</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
<th>Document Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flora_MP 027</td>
<td>To reduce the impacts to threatened orchid species restrict the availability of information identifying where orchids occur within the project area, and in close proximity to the project area. Limit site access to areas where orchids naturally occur and may be being managed in situ.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 5.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flora_MP 034</td>
<td>The landscape design would provide specific details for the re establishment of native vegetation within areas disturbed by construction, such as batters and bare areas to provide protection for in situ threatened species. Methods for topsoiling, seeding, planting and weed control would be in accordance with the Biodiversity Guidelines: Protecting and managing biodiversity on RTA Projects (RTA 2011).</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Flora_MP 060 | The detailed design presents further opportunities to avoid and minimise impacts to threatened species/populations, including:  
  a) Avoiding or minimising vegetation removal wherever possible  
  b) Where possible, final planning for construction compounds, ancillary infrastructure, access tracks and stockpile areas will be placed within cleared or disturbed areas, and away from threatened plants to avoid unnecessary clearing and indirect impacts  
  c) When locating water quality treatment measures, consideration will be given to the competing environmental requirements of minimising impact to native vegetation, particularly where there could be threatened plant species, and also wildlife corridors and potential fauna habitat. | Yes    | Chapter 6.6 and 6.8, Chapter 8.3.1, Chapter 7, Chapter 11.1 |
| Koala_MP 001 | Impact to koala habitat outside the construction zone. Identification of exclusion zones and limits of clearing. | No     | Refer CEMP by Project Principal |
| Koala_MP 002 | Potential impacts to koalas within the project during clearing works. Pre-clearing and clearing procedures. | Yes    | Chapter 12          |
| Koala_MP 004 | Potential impact to koala habitat when siting ancillary facilities. | Yes    | Chapter 6.8         |
| Koala_MP 005 | Disruption to koala movements and gene flow. Fauna crossing structures – underpasses, including refuge poles and furniture. | Yes    | Chapter 5.4, Chapter 12 |
| Koala_MP 006 | Fauna exclusion fencing.  
The design and construction of fauna exclusion fencing, drainage or fauna underpass structures in widened medians minimise vegetation clearing. | Yes    | Chapter 8.3.1, Chapter 7, Chapter 12 |
| Koala_MP 008 | Temporary and permanent fencing detail location of temporary and permanent fencing, encourage use of crossing points and direct koalas from the road corridor. | Yes    | Chapter 6.8         |
| Koala_MP 010 | Ancillary and access roads  
Ancillary facilities and access roads to be planned and sited within cleared or disturbed areas within the project boundary and in accordance with NSW CoA B73, B74 and B75. This will occur across all ancillary sites for each stage of the project and will be documented in the CEMP. | Yes    | Chapter 6.8         |
| Koala_MP 017 | Revegetation  
In situations where no fencing is present, and revegetation is required, roadside plantings to avoid koala food trees to prevent koalas being attracted to road edges. | Yes    | Chapter 7            |
| Koala_MP 025 | Connectivity structures  
The locations of these fauna connectivity structures are indicated by the chainages in Table 5-1 of the Management Plan. | Yes    | Chapter 7, Chapter 12 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Management plan requirements</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
<th>Document Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Koala_MP 026 | Fauna furniture
Fauna furniture installed at the targeted koala underpasses will be finalised in the detailed design and adhere to the connectivity guidelines in the EIS which include:
Horizontal logs placed as high off the ground as possible for koalas to avoid predators with a minimum space of 600 mm between the top of the horizontal log and the structure's roof
Horizontal logs supported by vertical logs at regular intervals (approximately every 2-3 metres) along the underpass for koalas to ascend or descend the koala furniture as required
Logs greater than 200 mm in diameter
Koala furniture extends beyond the underpass into koala habitat
Where fauna furniture is placed inside a culvert, it is to be constructed on the left or right side of the culvert (not in the middle), minimise incidence of flooding. | Yes    | Chapter 7, Chapter 12 |
| Koala_MP 027 | Planting of koala habitat.
Strategic planting of koala habitat adjacent to targeted connectivity structures will also be undertaken post-construction, or beforehand if practicable, to improve and maintain connectivity. | Yes    | Chapter 7, Chapter 12 |
| Koala_MP 053 | Fauna fencing
Response: The type and location of fauna fencing is scheduled in the Environmental Report W2B-ACJ-C-EN-RPT-00001 and shown graphically on the Strategy plans | Yes    | Chapter 7 |
| Koala_MP 055 | Design to be aware of Table 4.1 Location of Proposed Fauna Fencing in Sections 3-11 of the Environmental Report W2B-ACJ-C-EN-RPT-00001. | Yes    | Chapter 6.6, Chapter 7, Chapter 8.3.1 |
| Koala_MP 056 | Design to be aware of Table 7.1 Fauna Connectivity Structures Targeted for the koala as detailed in the Connectivity Strategy seen in W2B-ACJ-C-EN-RPT-00003. | Yes    | Chapter 7, Chapter 12 |
| Koala_MP 057 | Design to be aware of Table 5.1 Fauna Connectivity Structures as detailed in the Connectivity Strategy seen in W2B-ACJ-C-EN-RPT-00003. | Yes    | Chapter 7, Chapter 12 |
Table A.1 Threatened species management plan requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Management plan requirements</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
<th>Document Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glider_MP 001</td>
<td>Exclusion zones will be established prior to the commencement of clearing and construction to ensure that any activities do not unnecessarily remove protected vegetation within the project, proposed widened median areas, and roadside vegetation that would be retained in and/or near threatened glider habitat areas and crossing structures.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CEMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glider_MP 002</td>
<td>Targeted glider surveys undertaken during detailed design and crossing structure locations refined.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glider_MP 003</td>
<td>Tree habitat survey to quantify number of hollows to be removed for input into the Nest Box Management Plans.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>EN03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glider_MP 004</td>
<td>Road and construction related infrastructure to be planned and sited within cleared/disturbed areas or minimised, where possible. Particularly away from water sources and known glider habitat and movement areas. Development of UDLP to outline areas for revegetation. Revegetation to include native vegetation that provides foraging resources for gliders. To occur during pre-construction and marked on detailed design plans (to be implemented prior to commencement of clearing).</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glider_MP 005</td>
<td>Temporary and permanent exclusion zone identification informed by the targeted glider surveys.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CEMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glider_MP 006</td>
<td>In all cases defining the limits of clearing to give priority to maintaining as much threatened glider habitat as possible. Methods in reducing clearing requirements will include: consideration of construction methods, alterations to batter slope, utilisation of existing cleared areas, location of stockpiles and lay-down areas and location of ancillary facilities to avoid where practicable fauna habitat. For example, ancillary facility sites (eg temporary sites for construction related activities) will be sites in cleared land or sites with low ecological value to avoid unnecessary clearing of habitat. The limits of clearing will also consider retaining remnant vegetation along road verges and in widened median strips to enhance the proposed crossing structures. Strategic revegetation will be undertaken adjacent to crossing structures in disturbed areas to guide threatened gliders to crossing structures or away from the road.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 6.6, 6.8, 7, 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glider_MP 010</td>
<td>Installation of connectivity structures at pre-defined locations (based on targeted survey findings). Monitor installation of connectivity structures during construction. All crossing structures installed at the correct locations and as per specifications prior to operation.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7, 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glider_MP 011</td>
<td>Implementation of the UDLP that considers threatened glider population, habitat and revegetation of habitat areas, including strategic revegetation around crossing structures and in disturbed areas.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7, 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glider_MP 017</td>
<td>The final exact location of glide poles and proximity to retained trees can only be established at the time of construction due to a number of variables that may occur. Where practicable, glide poles and retained trees proximate to the project and vegetated medians will be established no greater than 50 m apart to allow safe glide spaces for threatened gliders. The final location of glide poles will be determined in consultation with the EPA.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7, 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glider_MP 024</td>
<td>It is also important that boundary fencing does not include barb wire (top two strands) in the areas of known glider habitat.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glider_MP 048</td>
<td>Strategic tree planting to direct glider movement to crossing structures or locations where unassisted crossing is possible. Consideration of further glide poles and rope bridges, particularly where mortality hotspots are noted and proximate mitigation measures are not being utilised.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7, 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glider_MP 050</td>
<td>Poles suspending the ladder would be made from treated timber to minimise the risk of rope bridges falling onto the road. Rope would be inspected periodically for signs of decay or weakening, and replaced where necessary.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PC standard details and PC LMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glider_MP 051</td>
<td>Design to be aware of Table 6.2 Arboreal crossings structures Sections 3-11 and associated text. Response: Fauna connectivity structures designed and developed in Environmental report EN03 and documented in this report.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7, 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Management plan requirements</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Document Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammal_MP 001</td>
<td>Targeted mammal surveys undertaken during detailed design and crossing structure locations refined. Identification of BACI monitoring sites (impact and control) from targeted survey findings. Baseline surveys at monitoring sites completed. To be completed during detailed design prior to construction.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7, Chapter 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammal_MP 002</td>
<td>Results of targeted surveys to inform final locations of fauna exclusion fencing and connectivity structures where appropriate. Completed during detailed design and signed off prior to construction commencing.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7, Chapter 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammal_MP 005</td>
<td>Survey data will also be used to inform the identification of fauna habitat revegetation areas as outlined in the UDLP and refinements to the location of fauna exclusion fencing, fauna crossing structures, permanent monitoring sites and ancillary facility locations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 6.8, Chapter 7, Chapter 9, Chapter 12, Chapter 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammal_MP 008</td>
<td>The exact fencing location will be refined as part of detailed design and take into consideration the results of baseline surveys and monitoring. Details will be captured in a Fauna Connectivity Strategy (Sections 3-11) which will be provided to DoE and DP&amp;E for approval prior to construction commencing in these areas.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7, Chapter 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammal_MP 012</td>
<td>Installation of connectivity structures at pre-defined locations (supported by targeted survey findings). All crossing structures completed prior to operation.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7, Chapter 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammal_MP 016</td>
<td>Exclusion zones will be established prior to the commencement of clearing and construction to ensure that any activities do not unnecessarily remove protected vegetation within the project, proposed widened median areas, and roadside vegetation that would be retained in and/or near threatened glider habitat areas and crossing structures.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 6.8, CEMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammal_MP 037</td>
<td>Fauna exclusion fencing for threatened mammals the subject of this TMMP includes: Construction of fencing on both sides of the carriageway and generally extending at least 200 metres either side of a designated crossing structure. Fencing either side of the crossing structure will have a ‘return area’ at their ends to guide animals back into habitat rather than across the carriageway. Perpendicular fencing in widened medians to direct fauna across the median and to ensure that fauna do not colonise habitat within the median, or turn back onto the road. Mesh size selected to prevent the target species from climbing through, with four different types of fencing being implemented, as outlined for Sections 1 and 2 in Table 51 of the Final Fauna Connectivity Strategy. Fence design to prevent fauna from digging underneath, or passing through points where fencing crosses drainage lines; and The consideration of appropriate additional fauna exclusion fencing should hot spots (areas where incidental observations or road kills of threatened mammals are noted during the construction) are noted.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7, Chapter 8, Chapter 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table A.1 Threatened species management plan requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Management plan requirements</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
<th>Document Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mammal_MP 039</td>
<td>Both dedicated and combined fauna connectivity structures which have been designed specifically for threatened mammals are summarised in Table 6.3 and Table 6.4 in Section 6.3.7 of the Management Plan. This table does not include all structures targeted for the koala or threatened gliders which are outlined in the Koala Management Plan and Threatened Glider Management Plan.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7, Chapter 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammal_MP 040</td>
<td>Fauna furniture will be placed within dedicated underpasses or crossing structures, including interconnecting logs to provide a dry passage for threatened mammals whilst also providing refuge from predators. With regard to combined structures fauna furniture will be installed at these locations where it will not impact on flooding/hydrological issues. The details of which combined structures will have fauna furniture will be detailed in the Connectivity Strategy required under CoA D2. Refuge poles outside and within the culvert will also be installed to provide refuge from predators for the brush-tailed phascogale.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7, Chapter 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammal_MP 041</td>
<td>A detailed design and furniture association for each crossing type for Section 1 and 2 of the project is outlined within Section 5.3 of the Fauna Connectivity Strategy. Further refinement of detailed design and furniture association for Sections 3 to 11 will be defined and updated within ongoing revisions of the Fauna Connectivity Strategy.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7, Chapter 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammal_MP 087</td>
<td>Arboreal mammal fences would need to be designed to prevent animals from climbing over, with the addition of a barrier in Sections 1 to 3 and 6 to 8 of the project for the brush-tailed phascogale.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7, Chapter 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammal_MP 088</td>
<td>Fauna furniture will be placed within dedicated underpasses or crossing structures, including interconnecting logs to provide a dry passage for threatened mammals whilst also providing refuge from predators. Refuge poles outside and within the culvert will also be installed to provide refuge from predators for the brush-tailed phascogale.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7, Chapter 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammal_MP 089</td>
<td>Fauna fencing will be installed on the outside edge of the on-load and off-load ramps where interchanges are located within the locations nominated in Table 6.1 and Table 6.2 of the Plan.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7, Chapter 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammal_MP 090</td>
<td>A number of factors will be addressed in the detailed design phase for each section to minimise the impacts of the project. The factors to be considered which will be particularly relevant for the minimisation of impacts to threatened mammals include: Avoiding and minimising vegetation/habitat removal wherever possible. Consideration of water quality and altered hydrology. Refinement of connectivity mitigation measures including the design and location of underpasses, overpasses, rope crossings and fauna exclusion fencing.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 6.8, Chapter 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammal_MP 091</td>
<td>As a minimum, the design of targeted threatened mammal crossing structures and permanent exclusion fencing will be based on the design principles outlined in the EIS and the process for managing threatened mammal connectivity described in the Woolgoolga to Ballina Upgrade Working paper: Biodiversity Assessment (Roads and Maritime 2012).</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainforest_MP 056</td>
<td>Inspection, monitoring and maintenance is specified within the Roads and Maritime specifications including R178 and R179. Specific detail regarding revegetation including areas to be revegetated, species to be used and the maintenance program will be described in the UDLP for the project. A second translocation plan will be prepared for Section 3-11 by Roads and Maritime Services to further address the requirements of MCoA D7.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7 &amp; Appendix B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainforest_MP 074</td>
<td>Specific detail regarding revegetation including areas for revegetation, species to be used and maintenance will be described in the UDLP for the project.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7, 9 and Appendix B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallum 005</td>
<td>Consideration should be given to temporary construction and operational frog exclusion fencing in W2B Section 9 in the vicinity of Site 12 (~ch. 139500) to reduce impacts associated with road induced mortality during the operation phase of the project.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Check wallum frog text from EN03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallum 007</td>
<td>The location of water quality/sediment basins used during construction is reviewed to determine potential management actions or conflicts with any Environmental Protection Licence (EPL) issued for the project. The aim of this is to ensure that any water being released off site does not adversely impact on the habitat requirements of wallum frog fauna including the sedge frog. Typically this species occurs in pH waters ranging from 2.8–5.5 and the ongoing release of higher pH waters will result in other pond dwelling species (Crinia signifera, limnodynastes peroni, litoria fallax, litoria tyleri, litoria peronii) invading wallum habitats.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CEMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frog_MP 002</td>
<td>Identify exclusion zones, frog fencing and compensatory pond locations. Install exclusion zones, temporary frog fencing prior to clearing. Install compensatory ponds after clearing is complete. Protection of threatened frog habitat by accurately identifying exclusion zones, and installing temporary frog fencing and compensatory ponds.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7 and 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Management plan requirements</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frog_MP 004</td>
<td>Temporary fencing will be erected prior to construction commencing and will be replaced with operational frog fencing in proximity to known breeding habitat areas once the project is nearing the completion of construction and before it is opens to traffic. The design and extents of frog fencing will differ between each species but the fencing strategy for all aspects of the project will be designed to be adaptive and require a reduced maintenance schedule. The general location of temporary exclusion fencing used during construction will be defined based on the breeding habitat areas mapped in Figure 3-1, Figure 3-2 and Figure 3-3, and as described in Table 5-1. The locations of operational frog fencing, including general fauna fencing with design considerations for frogs, is detailed in Table 5-2. Fencing locations are finalised for Sections 1 and 2 as detailed design has been completed. However for Sections 3 to 11 these are only proposed locations and will be confirmed during detailed design and will form part of the Fauna Connectivity Strategy.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7 and 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Frog_MP 006

Construct or augmented breeding ponds would be used as a compensatory mechanism to reduce impacts for the wallum sedge frog and green-thighed frog. The locations of these ponds will be carefully selected during pre-clearance surveys to ensure that their placement causes no unnecessary damage to existing habitat (ie outside the clearance footprint) while ensuring their success as mitigative instruments. Ponds that are known to provide habitat for sedge frogs, but are limited in their extent of emergent sedges growing in freestanding water, may be augmented by the planting of suitable sedge species.

Yes CEMP

Frog_MP 007

The location of compensatory or augmented ponds for the green-thighed frog and wallum sedge frog in Sections 3 to 11 will be finalised during the detailed design of these areas of the project. These will be constructed where breeding habitat will be directly impacted by the project or changed hydrological patterns have the potential to affect the suitability of breeding habitat areas adjacent to the corridor.

Yes Chapter 7 Chapter 12

Frog_MP 008

Construct or augmented breeding ponds would be used as a compensatory mechanism to reduce impacts to the wallum sedge frog and green-thighed frog. The following locations have been identified as sites for green-thighed frog ponds for Section 1 and 2:

- Section 1: Redbank Creek area between ch. 5500 to ch. 6700 and Dirty Range at a suitable location preferably adjacent to a fill section between ch. 11500 to ch. 12900.
- Section 2: Halfway Creek (ch. 19000-19500) providing ponds on both sides of the project corridor; Bald Knob Tick Gate Road area (ch. 25000) providing ponds on the eastern side of the project corridor; and Franklins Road (ch.28000) providing ponds on the eastern side of the project corridor if this area is impacted by means of ground disturbance or changed hydrological regimes.

At each of these locations, the positioning of ponds will ideally be within retained areas of vegetation which support deep litter and occur within low lying areas more likely to support their preferred habitat (ie swamp forest, moist forest associations). A critical component in the design of these ponds is to ensure the water body periodically dries out. The location of compensatory or augmented ponds for the green-thighed frog and wallum sedge frog in Sections 3-11 will be finalised during the detailed design of these areas of the project.

Yes Chapter 7 Chapter 12

Frog_MP 009

Shallow excavated ponds will have the following attributes:

- Each pond will cover and area of at least 12 sqm
- Maximum depth of 400 mm
- Batters no steeper than 1:4
- Construct 3-5 with each one staggered out from a drainage line thus ensuring they will be flooded at differing rainfall events

Vegetated via assisted planting techniques with low naturally occurring ground covers obtained from the site (ie Carex spp., Fimbristylis spp.) Another key message in the design of the breeding ponds is to not over design the pond and replicate features from other known nearby breeding locations and thus provide the best opportunity for a successful breeding event.

Yes Chapter 7 Chapter 11 Chapter 12

Frog_MP 014

Temporary frog exclusion fencing will have the following design considerations:

- Installed for up to 200 m either side of known threatened frog habitat including streams and breeding sites. Where the terrestrial habitat borders a stream that contains cleared land this could be reduced to 100 m
- Fence height will extend to at least 900 mm above the ground (or > 500 mm if just for the green-thighed frog or the wallum sedge frog) and buried to a depth of between 50 and 100 mm
- A return of wing of 3 to 5 m to minimise breaches
- Constructed using UV resistant shade cloth which is permeable to water. Geotextile materials may also form an adequate substitute.
- Posts/pegs placed on the works side of the exclusion fence to prevent frogs using these structures to climb the fence
- Include relevant signage to identify the area and inform construction personnel.

The clearing footprint required to install the temporary frog fence (maximum of 5 m width) would be inspect by ecologist within 24 hours prior to cleaning for installation of fence (all seasons). Summer, Autumn, Spring – Temporary fence installed at least 7 days. Once installed, the frog fence will be inspected and signed off by a suitably qualified herpetologist/ecologist (hold point). The temporary frog fence will be maintained to ensure it remains effective or until the operational frog fencing is completed.

Yes Chapter 7 Chapter 8 Chapter 12

Frog_MP 015

As detailed design has not been completed for the areas where wallum sedge frog habitat has been identified, connectivity structures for this species are yet to be confirmed. Proposed connectivity structures that may be used by the Wallum Sedge Frog are an underpass at ch.139500.

Yes Chapter 7 and 12

Frog_MP 016

Targeted frog surveys and pre-construction baseline monitoring surveys have informed the location and design requirements of constructed and augmented ponds for Sections 1 and 2 and will inform locations for Sections 3 to 11 during detailed design. Ponds will be constructed during the relevant construction stages of each upgrade and typically these occur around the end of the bulk earthworks treatments in Year 2-3 of the construction program. Compensatory ponds will not be constructed in areas that will impact on existing frog habitats.

Yes CEMP
### Table A.1 Threatened species management plan requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Management plan requirements</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
<th>Document Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frog_MP 020</td>
<td>The proposed changes apply to all temporary frog fences, such that the project ecologist be given flexibility in determining the length and location outside of that identified in the TFMP. When considering the placement and length of the temporary frog fence in the identified areas (as per Table 5.1 in the TFMP) the project ecologist will consider: 1. The extent of mapped frog habitat in the TFMP 2. Fence lengths specified in Table 5.1 of the TFMP 3. Design considerations in the TFMP 4. Site specific adjustments to the Limit of Clearing (LoC) 5. Site topography and habitat suitability 6. Site constraints (e.g. driveways) 7. Constructability (i.e., construction of fence in steep rocky terrain in dry open forest outside frog habitat). The project ecologist shall document and provide justification relating to all proposed changes for the review and comment of the EPA. Following endorsement from the EPA, the proposed changes would be provided to the ER for approval. The proposed changes to temporary frog fencing for Section 1 W2HC are available as attachment in Addendum 2. The EPA has endorsed the proposed changes relating to temporary frog fencing for Section 1, with the relevant correspondence available in Attachment C. Once approved by the ER, RMS proposes to incorporate the updates into the next revision of the Plan to be submitted to DP&amp;E for approval as part of the Section 3-11 update.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CEMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frog_MP 024</td>
<td>Operational frog fencing will be installed in proximity to known frog breeding habitat areas and where there is a high chance of operational frog fencing will be installed in proximity to known frog breeding habitat areas and where there is a high chance of threatened frogs accessing the carriageway. The extent of operational fencing for green-thighed frog will extend at least 100 m beyond the edge/s of identified habitat. The use of operational frog fencing at other locations will be informed by the unexpected finds procedure and after considering the overall importance of the location to the local green-thighed frog population.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frog_MP 025</td>
<td>Operational frog fencing will be installed in proximity to known frog breeding habitat areas and where there is a high chance of threatened frogs accessing the carriageway. Operational frog fencing for the wallum sedge frog is focused on an area of Section 9 where both sides of the carriageway require fencing (900 mm high and a minimum of 100 m beyond the edge of identified habitat). Section 10 has been nominated for operational fencing only on the eastern side of the carriageway due to the close proximity of sedge frog populations in this area.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7 and 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frog_MP 029</td>
<td>Water quality monitoring, particularly following rainfall events, would identify if the hydrology and water quality has been adversely impacted by the project. Standard project water quality objectives criteria that are applicable project wide, and are relevant to areas of green-thighed frog and giant barred frog habitat, are as follows: Total suspended solids: &lt;50mg/L pH: 6.5 – 8.5 Oil and grease: no visible trace.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CEMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Management plan requirements</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Document Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Frog_MP 030 | Water quality requirements within Wallum Sedge Frog breeding habitat vary slightly from those of other species and therefore runoff from construction sites within mapped areas of Wallum Sedge Frog breeding habitat would be treated using a sedimentation basin. During construction, direct discharge to waterways of water from sediment basins that does not meet the water quality requirements for Wallum Sedge Frog habitat would not be permitted, but rather this water would be used for beneficial purposes (i.e., sprayed into adjacent open grass areas or used for construction purposes such as dust suppression). Discharge by diffuse method of land irrigation would be allowed only if a minimum of 50 m from a waterway, within the project boundary, and subject to negotiations with the landholder. If diffuse discharge is not feasible then water would be pumped to a proposed storage facility. Water quality objectives criteria that are applicable to areas of Wallum Sedge Frog habitat and the associated augmented ponds are as follows:  
- Total suspended solids: <50mg/L  
- pH: <5.5  
- Oil and grease: no visible trace. | No    | CEMP |
| Frog_MP 032 | Habitat revegetation / landscaping design - Revegetation works would be incorporated into the landscape plans and would be undertaken following construction in any areas disturbed within the road corridor that are adjacent to identified important threatened frog habitats or beyond if the habitat is located within properties owned by Roads and Maritime. This may include ponds, ephemeral areas, creek riparian areas, culvert and bridge locations to restore connectivity, and wetlands within the road corridor to minimise edge effects. This may also include sediment and water treatment ponds and immediate surrounds where these occur adjacent to identified important threatened frog habitats. It would also include any compensatory ponds constructed that were revegetated. Consideration of the threatened species located adjacent to revegetation areas is required to ensure suitable plant species are used to revegetate the areas suited to the particular threatened frog species. Ongoing maintenance of habitat revegetated areas adjacent to threatened frog habitats will be undertaken | Yes   | Chapter 7  
Chapter 8  
Chapter 9  
Chapter 12 |
| Frog_MP 054 | Consideration should be given to temporary construction and operational frog exclusion fencing W2B Section 9 in the vicinity of Site 12 (~ch 139500) to reduce impacts associated with road mortality during the operation phase of the project. | No    | CEMP |
| Fish_MP 007 | Management of riparian and aquatic habitats - Development of construction methodologies to reduce disturbance to riparian and instream habitats where feasible, bridge supports will not be constructed in the main channel and on stream banks. Management of instream woody debris Rehabilitation of riparian and aquatic habitats near construction zones. | No    | CEMP |
| Fish_MP 009 | Permanent waterway crossings would be designed to ensure no physical, hydraulic and behavioural barriers to aquatic fauna movements, in accordance with SPIR condition MCoA B22, ensuring that:  - Creek crossing structures designed to maximise light penetration  - Natural stream flow and velocity be maintained as closely as possible  - Surface level of causeway be the same or lower than the natural level of the stream bed to reduce interference with flow  - Habitat within a culvert be as natural as possible (example allow rock and bed material to infill culvert base). To achieve this, the culverts will be designed to encourage the deposition of sediment, creating similar bed substrate to adjacent creek and the planting of specific plant species  - Fauna and fish passage standards are maintained, as detailed in the Connectivity Strategy, including minimum design widths, including for natural banks, while also providing for scour protection and cut and fill baffers  - Bridges will be designed and sized to ensure peak flood velocities are not increased by more than one metre per second than the existing flood event, where Oxleyan Pygmy Perch have been confirmed. | Yes   | Capter 11  
Chapter 12 |
| Fish_MP 010 | Bridge structures would be designed to minimise impacts to flow regimes and fish passage in light of the following principles, in accordance with MCoA B23:  - Bridges are to be single span bridges with piers to be located outside the main channel  - Bridge structures to be designed to prevent an increase of backup of water during times of flood, which may enable Eastern Gambusia to access waterbodies where they are currently not found (e.g., Broadwater National Park)  - Construction would not alter or reduce flow where there are existing or potential Oxleyan Pygmy Perch populations (primarily within Sections 7, 8 and 9). | Yes   | Chapter 8  
Chapter 11  
Chapter 12 |
| Fish_MP 014 | Design to prevent and/or minimise in-stream barriers, including appropriate design of bridges and culverts to ensure no physical, hydraulic and behavioural barriers to aquatic fauna movements. Avoid the need for scour protection on the flow of waterways. For small waterways with known Oxleyan Pygmy Perch commit to keeping piers out of the main channel. | Yes   | Chapter 8  
Chapter 11  
Chapter 12 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Management plan requirements</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
<th>Document Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fish_MP 015</td>
<td>As per MCoA B40, all crossings of Class 1 watercourses in known Oxleyan Pygmy Perch habitat shall be designed and constructed with a bridge or arch structure and, where feasible and reasonable, no supporting structures shall be installed within affected waterways.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 8, Chapter 11, Chapter 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish_MP 016</td>
<td>Where an Oxleyan Pygmy Perch habitat waterway is realigned or its stream profile is changed, or an in-stream structure is installed in the waterway (both permanent and temporary construction structures), the final design of that waterway will not result in water velocities exceeding 0.4 metres per second under normal flow conditions as per MCoA 41.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>w2b-ACJV-DL-c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish_MP 017</td>
<td>As per MCoA B42, design and rehabilitation considerations ensure that afflux of waterways with known Oxleyan Pygmy Perch habitat will be more than the relevant flood management objective in the documents referred to in condition A2 for flood events up to the 1 in 100 year event.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>w2b-ACJV-DL-c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish_MP 018</td>
<td>Where there are known populations of Oxleyan Pygmy Perch construction would not alter or reduce flow. Waterway crossings in areas of Oxleyan Pygmy Perch habitat will be designed such that water velocities through structures do not inhibit Oxleyan Pygmy Perch passage under normal flow conditions. This will be achieved by maintaining the existing substrate and vegetative habitat as controls hydraulic diversity and maintaining the pre-disturbance cross-sectional area of the waterway.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>w2b-ACJV-DL-c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish_MP 019</td>
<td>Operational spill basins be installed at key locations and other key drainage lines that lead directly into Oxleyan Pygmy Perch habitat. As such, all road surface runoff that have drainage lines leading directly into Oxleyan Pygmy Perch habitat will be directed into operational spill basins. To minimise the impact of runoff during the operation, runoff from the project will be directed to detention basins before being discharged to drains and then local waterways. Basins will also be located adjacent to wetlands and watercourses to protect waterways from unexpected spills. Implementation of erosion and sediment control and pollution control measures to avoid impacts to aquatic ecosystems and water quality, in accordance with MCoA B34, and as detailed in Section 6.3.9</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7, w2b-ACJV-DL-c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Management plan requirements</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Document Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Fish_MP 021 | No water resulting from construction will be released directly into Oxleyan Pygmy Perch habitat. The release of water would only occur under the following conditions:  
- Release of stored water into areas where Oxleyan Pygmy Perch are known or have potential to occur would only be undertaken as a last resort  
- Released water would be a pH level that matches the mean pre-construction pH determined during baseline monitoring of the waterway, to within 1 pH unit  
- Chemical treatments used prior to the release of water from sediment basins would not persist in the environment or negatively impact upon the environment after release  
- Potential pre-release water treatments and/or their derivatives would be included as parameters in baseline water quality monitoring                                                                                           | Yes   | CEMP               |
| Fish_MP 022 | Management of riparian and aquatic habitats. Development of construction methodologies to reduce disturbance to instream habitats. Rehabilitation of aquatic habitats near construction zones.                                                                                                        | Yes   | CEMP               |
| Fish_MP 023 | Management of riparian and aquatic habitats. Development of construction methodologies to reduce disturbance to riparian and instream habitats. Rehabilitation of riparian and aquatic habitats near construction zones. This would include:  

- native riparian vegetation (using suitable native species from the local area) within the road reserve will be planted and managed over time to consolidate the banks and provide more natural erosion control.  
- where revegetation would not be possible (e.g. immediately under bridge due to permanent shading) rocks and other items may be used to protect disturbed banks and reduce flow.  
- any disturbed river banks would be restored to their natural gradient or have a lower gradient so as not to increase hydraulic sheer during high flows prior to the spawning season.  
- any woody debris or rocks that are removed from the river channel during construction are to be returned to the river after construction has been completed  

During detailed design, locations for temporary watercourse crossings, the type of crossing, the duration each crossing will be established for the management and mitigation measures specified for each crossing location. Temporary watercourse crossings may include bridges, arches, multi-celled culverts, box culverts and pipe culverts. Where temporary access tracks occur over drainage lines with no flow, fords may be installed. The type of temporary watercourse crossing used will be determined in consultation DPI (Fisheries).       | Yes   | CEMP               |
| Fish_MP 024 | Temporary bridge or arch structures in known Oxleyan Pygmy Perch habitat shall be used if the crossing is intended to be in place for more than three months (MCoA B8). Temporary culvert crossings will be constructed using pipe or box culvert cells to carry flows. Where the watercourse is a known Oxleyan Pygmy Perch habitat, temporary crossings will be installed so as not to directly impact the watercourse bed, or impact water flow or fish passage.  

- Where temporary crossings in known Oxleyan Pygmy Perch habitat are proposed to use culverts, the Applicant shall, in consultation with DPI (Fisheries):  
  - Determine the size of the culvert or pipes to facilitate fish passage; and  
  - Identify the minimum size of clean rock to be used to ensure that rock material will not wash into the waterway in periods of high flows (MCoA B9)  

- Where short duration crossing are proposed using culverts or pipes, these will be of adequate size to minimise flow velocity while maintaining light penetration to facilitate fish passage. The requirements will be determined in consultation with DPI (Fisheries)  

- Installation and subsequent decommissioning of temporary waterway crossings would be undertaken outside of the peak Oxleyan Pygmy Perch spawning season as defined in the MCoA (October to April) in known Oxleyan Pygmy Perch habitat (MCoA B9)  

All temporary water crossings and culverts will be constructed in accordance with Guidelines for Controlled Activities Watercourse Crossings (DPI 2008) and, Why do Fish Need to Cross the Road? Fish Passage Requirements for Waterway Crossings (Fairful and Witheridge 2003) and with consultation of OEH, DPI (Fisheries), DoE and Roads and Maritime such that there are no barriers or impedances to instream fish movement (MCoA B38)  

All temporary works (e.g. crossings, flow diversion barriers) would be removed as soon as practicable and in a way that does not promote future channel erosion  

Where necessary waterways may need to be temporarily diverted to allow structures to be placed in order to minimise impacts to water quality  

The preferred temporary structure for crossing waterways would be consistent with Witheridge (2002) where the use of bridges is the preferred structure for Class 1 (major fish habitat waterways) (MCoA B40)                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Yes   | CEMP               |
### A.2 Environmental impact statement and submissions/preferred infrastructure report environmental mitigation measures

The EIS for the project identified a range of environmental outcomes and management measures that are required to avoid or reduce the impact the project has on the environment. The measures identified during the EIS were further reviewed and revised during the development of the SPIR. As a result, additional commitments were identified and conditions that had already been fulfilled were removed. The relevant SPIR environmental mitigation measures related to landscape and urban design are listed in Table 1.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Mitigation measures</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
<th>Document Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HF8</td>
<td>Revegetation of waterway diversions and surrounding areas will be undertaken in accordance with the following principles: Diversions will be stabilised prior to the diversion receiving flows, in conjunction with the establishment of other scour and erosion control measures. Diversions will establish appropriate vegetation communities along the channel bed and banks, using endemic native species.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSW7</td>
<td>Exposed areas will be progressively rehabilitated. Methods will include permanent revegetation, or temporary protection with spray mulching or cover crops.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSW10</td>
<td>Topsoil, earthworks and other excess spoil material will be stockpiled and managed in accordance with Roads and Maritime Stockpile Management Guidelines (Roads and Maritime, 2011a) and the “Management of Surplus Material” in Section 3.9 of the Submissions / Preferred Infrastructure Report.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSW11</td>
<td>Where reasonable and feasible, stockpiles will: Not require removal of areas of native vegetation. Be located outside of known areas of weed infestation. Be located such that waterways and drainage lines are not directly or indirectly impacted.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 6, CEMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>Fauna connectivity All fauna connectivity structures will be developed in accordance with the design principles outlined in the Connectivity Strategy in Appendix A of the Working paper – Biodiversity and the Supplementary Biodiversity Assessment in Appendix J of the Submissions / Preferred Infrastructure Report.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8</td>
<td>Fauna exclusion fencing The design and construction of fauna exclusion fencing, drainage or fauna underpass structures in widened medians minimise vegetation clearing.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7, 9, 12, 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B12</td>
<td>Re-establishment of native vegetation A landscape management plan will be developed to provide specific details for the re-establishment of native vegetation on batters, cut faces, surrounding sediment basins and other areas disturbed during construction. This includes details for the appropriate removal and restoration of temporary creek crossings. The landscape management plan will be developed in line with Roads and Maritime Biodiversity Guidelines (RTA, 2011a), the design principles identified in the Connectivity Strategy and the design principles in Working paper – Urban design, landscape character and visual impact.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7, 9, B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B13</td>
<td>Re-establishment of native vegetation Disturbance and clearing of vegetation will be minimised, particularly: Avoiding and minimising vegetation removal wherever possible through the detailed design process. Placing water quality basins in the optimal location for treating surface runoff. During detailed design, the location of water quality treatment measures will consider minimising vegetation removal, particularly where there is the potential for threatened plant species, threatened fauna habitat or in identified regional wildlife corridors.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7, 9, 11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A.2** Environmental impact statement and submissions/preferred infrastructure report mitigation measures

---

For the Woolgoolga to Ballina Pacific Highway upgrade, the Urban design and landscape plan (Sections 7 to 9) was published in June 2018.
### Table A.2  Environmental impact statement and submissions/preferred infrastructure report mitigation measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Mitigation measures</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
<th>Document Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B17</td>
<td>Each permanent waterway crossing is to be designed to ensure no physical, hydraulic and behavioural barriers to aquatic fauna movements. Impacts be minimised by ensuring that: The natural stream flow and velocity are maintained as closely as possible Surface level of any causeway is the same or lower than the natural stream bed to reduce interference with flow Habitat within a culvert is as natural as possible (eg allow rock and bed materials to infill the culvert base) There is the maximum light penetration, Fauna and fish passage standards are maintained, as detailed in the Connectivity Strategy, including minimum design widths, including for natural banks, while also providing for scour protection and cut and fill batters Bridges will be designed and sized to ensure peak flood velocities are not increased by more than one metre per second than the existing flood event, where Oxleyan Pygmy Perch have been confirmed.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 8 Chapter 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B26</td>
<td>Re-use of woody debris and bushrock Woody debris and bushrock will be re-used on site for habitat improvement where possible and will be detailed in the landscape management plan in accordance with the Roads and Maritime Biodiversity Guidelines (RTA, 2011a).</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PC - LMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B35</td>
<td>Riparian and aquatic habitat management The bed and banks are to be reinstated to a condition similar to or better than the original condition ensuring that there are no adverse impacts on the aquatic values (different measures may be required for each crossing) and where feasible and reasonable, avoid impacts on geomorphic processes.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7 Chapter 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B39</td>
<td>Riparian and aquatic habitat management Where feasible and reasonable within the road corridor, existing pools will be retained upstream and downstream of crossings within known habitat of the Oxleyan Pygmy Perch to provide resting and refuge habitat near crossing structures.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CEMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B40</td>
<td>Riparian and aquatic habitat management Appropriate plant species will be incorporated into the rehabilitation of disturbed aquatic habitats and drains as a result of construction.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B61</td>
<td>Riparian and aquatic habitat management Detailed design will investigate measures to reduce impacts to Maundia triglochinoides: Near Redbank Creek (population 14) &amp; Near North of New Italy (population 12).</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Landscape Documentation packages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD3</td>
<td>Landscaping and planting strategy The project will be carried out in accordance with the urban design and landscaping strategy, as identified in Section 11.4.1 of this EIS. Detailed landscape design for all project batters, and median planting areas will be developed in accordance with the Landscape Guidelines (RTA, 2008), the requirements of the Working Paper – Biodiversity (Section 5.2.2) and the landscape strategy to provide a robust, successful and effective planting design</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7 Chapter 8 Chapter 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD4</td>
<td>Design of urban design features and road furniture. The built form of the project, including consideration of the height, bulk, scale, materials and finishes for: Bridges, Retaining walls, Cuttings and embankments, Road barriers, Signage, Fences, Clear zones, Topsoil management, Water quality control ponds, Fauna crossing. Place marking and cultural plantings. The project will be designed in accordance with the design principles identified in Working Paper – Urban Design, Landscape Character and Visual Impact, and relevant Roads and Maritime guidelines.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7 Chapter 8 Chapter 9 Chapter 10 Chapter 11 Chapter 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table A.2  Environmental impact statement and submissions/preferred infrastructure report mitigation measures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Mitigation measures</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
<th>Document Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UD6</td>
<td>Visual impacts from viewpoints. Measures to mitigate visual impacts to viewpoints will be implemented, as identified in Table 11-42 and Working Paper – Urban Design, Landscape Character and Visual Impact. If any further viewpoints were identified during detailed design that have a moderate–high or high impact, screen planting also be considered.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD7</td>
<td>Construction visual impacts. Disturbed areas will be progressively revegetated throughout the construction period.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD8</td>
<td>Visual impacts of ancillary facilities. Where required, typical landscape treatments for ancillary facilities in forest areas will include: Providing screen planting. Considering reinstatement of disturbed forest in heavily forested. Considering the importance of the visual landscape at each location and allowing restoration of important forest vegetation to prominent ridge lines or other landscape elements where feasible and reasonable. Negotiating with private landowners, as applicable, to determine future treatments for other non-forested ancillary facility locations. Re-grading disturbed areas to achieve a sustainable and functional landform. Stabilising all surfaces in accordance with good engineering and environmental practice.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD9</td>
<td>Visual impacts of ancillary facilities. Typical landscape treatments for ancillary facilities in agricultural areas will include: Providing screen planting. Reinstating riparian vegetation through ancillary facilities, where practicable, in the open landscape. Considering the visual landscape at each ancillary facility and considering restoration of important forest vegetation to prominent ridge lines or other landscape elements where feasible and reasonable. Re-grading disturbed areas to achieve a sustainable and functional landform. Stabilising all surfaces in accordance with good engineering and environmental practice.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD10</td>
<td>Visual impact of borrow sites – The extent of excavation and the landscaping strategy at borrow sites will be reviewed considering material requirements on the project and the visual impact on the resultant cuttings.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD13</td>
<td>Monitoring of landscaping and rehabilitation. Landscape and rehabilitation works will be monitored and remedial measures implemented where required until vegetation has stabilised.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PC - LMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD14</td>
<td>Earth mounds. The mounding profile of any earth mound will blend suitably into the existing landscape setting. Any mounding to be landscaped will be compacted in 1.5 metre layers with 1:3 maximum batter slopes where reasonable in consideration of constraints within the project corridor. Where feasible and reasonable, permanent mounds will be treated with ameliorants and overlaid with topsoil to minimum 150 millimetres to ensure suitable planting conditions are achieved.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AH2</td>
<td>For areas avoided by construction, exclusion zones will be put in place. These will be fenced with high visibility construction webbing or other similar fencing and have a 'Do Not Enter' sign. Exclusion zones will be marked on construction plans and be maintained until construction is completed. A representative of the Local Aboriginal Land Council will be present during establishment of the fencing.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CEMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AH12</td>
<td>An Aboriginal heritage interpretation strategy will be prepared as part of the Aboriginal heritage management plan. Measures will include opportunities for promoting salvage and investigation, the recovery of information, permanent installations and ways of marking the presence of Aboriginal people in the landscape, including, signage, interpretation products such as written materials, and through place naming a care agreement be necessary.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AH20</td>
<td>Impacts on IR2W4. Salvage excavation will be undertaken within the portion of the site to be impacted by the project footprint as detailed in the Working paper Aboriginal Cultural Heritage (Iluka Road to Woodburn) and in consultation with RAPs.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Mitigation measures</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
<th>Document Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AH41</td>
<td>Direct impact on culturally significant places –Place B: To maintain connectivity, access will be provided across the project area, from the end of Richmond Road, Pine Tree Road, or Fischer Street to Broadwater National Park during construction and operation, in consultation with the traditional owners. Pedestrian access within the project boundary will be provided, where feasible and reasonable from the eastern side of the project to the western side of Broadwater National Park. A connection from the existing Pacific Highway to Broadwater National Park along Eversons Lane be considered, in consultation with traditional owners and relevant land owners.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7 + TE01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH30</td>
<td>Impacts on New Italy Memorial and Stone-lined well– Appropriate directional signage to the New Italy Museum Complex will be installed at both the Operation 7 interchange at Woodburn and interchange at Iluka Road to divert visitors onto the service road in order to access the museum complex. Signage will comply with relevant Pacific Highway signage policy.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH51</td>
<td>Detailed design will consider the extent to which clearing High Conservation Value Old Growth Forest within the project boundary may be minimised.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE4</td>
<td>By-passed towns Signage will be implemented for bypassed towns in accordance with Roads and Maritime signage guidelines and in consultation with relevant councils. Signage on the project will identify bypassed townships (Grafton, Ulmarra, Tyndale, Maclean, New Italy, Woodburn, Broadwater and Wardell) as places for ‘stopovers’ for fuel, supplies and short term accommodation, to support demand for goods and services within these townships.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chapter 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Planting and seeding schedules
### Frangible - Wet Sclerophyll - WSF_F_1.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acacia dealbata subsp. dealbata</td>
<td>White Sally Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia uleiola</td>
<td>Prickly Moses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breynia oblongifolia</td>
<td>Coffee Bush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex appressa</td>
<td>Tall Sedge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dianella caerulea</td>
<td>Blue Flax Lily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichanthium sericeum</td>
<td>Queensland Bluegrass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichelaichne micrantha</td>
<td>Shorthair Plumegrass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dodonaea firma aucta</td>
<td>Large-leaf Honeymyrtle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardenbergia violacea</td>
<td>false Saragapilla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hovea acutifolia</td>
<td>A Pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperata cylindrica</td>
<td>White Dogwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigofera australis</td>
<td>Australian Indigo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedia rubicunda</td>
<td>Dusky Coral Pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptospermum polygalifolium subsp. cismontanum</td>
<td>Tantoon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorandra longifolia</td>
<td>Mat Rush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsila stipoides</td>
<td>Weeping Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coozhannus dossiifolius</td>
<td>Common Silkpod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parsonia straminea</td>
<td>Common Shorthair Plumegrass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pultenaea retusa</td>
<td>Notched Bush-pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Themeda triandra</td>
<td>Kangaroo Grass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Frangible - Forested Wetland - F_3.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acacia dealbata subsp. dealbata</td>
<td>White Sally Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia leichiana subsp. leichiana</td>
<td>Currawabah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callistemon citrinus</td>
<td>Crimson Bottlebrush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callistemon pachyphyllus</td>
<td>Wallum Bottlebrush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex appressa</td>
<td>Tall Sedge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cydonia dactylon</td>
<td>Common Couch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyperus polyatrichys</td>
<td>A Sedge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gahnia siebertiana</td>
<td>Red-fruited Sedge-sedge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardenbergia violacea</td>
<td>False Saragapilla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juncus usitatus</td>
<td>Common Rush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedia rubicunda</td>
<td>Dusky Coral Pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptospermum juniperinum</td>
<td>Prickly Tea-tree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptospermum polygalifolium subsp. cismontanum</td>
<td>Tantoon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorandra longifolia</td>
<td>Mat Rush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melaleuca nodosa</td>
<td>Ball Honeymyrtle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melaleuca sieberi</td>
<td>Sieber's Paperbark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melaleuca thymifolia</td>
<td>Thyme Honeymyrtle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsila stipoides</td>
<td>Weeping Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxylabium robustum</td>
<td>Tall Shaggy Pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parsonia straminea</td>
<td>Common Silkpod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pultenaea retusa</td>
<td>Notched Bush-pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pultenae villosa</td>
<td>Hairv Bush-pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schoenoplectella mucronata</td>
<td>Bog Bulrush</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Frangible - Dry Sclerophyll - DSF_F_2.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acacia dealbata</td>
<td>Flat-stemmed Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia dealbata subsp. dealbata</td>
<td>Currawabah Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia falcata</td>
<td>Sickle Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia myrtifolia</td>
<td>Red-stemmed Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia myrtifolia</td>
<td>Red-stemmed Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia spinosa subsp. spinosa</td>
<td>Sweet Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia terminalis subsp. Long inflorescences</td>
<td>Sunshine Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banksia oblongifolia</td>
<td>Coffee Bush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banksia spinulosa var. collina</td>
<td>Hairpin Banksia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cymbopogon refractus</td>
<td>Barbed Wire Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daviesia ulicifolia</td>
<td>Gorse Bitter Pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daviesia umbellifolia</td>
<td>A Pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichanthium sericeum</td>
<td>Queensland Bluegrass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichelaichne micrantha</td>
<td>Shorthair Plumegrass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dodonaea viscousa</td>
<td>Sticky Hop-bush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gahnia aspera</td>
<td>Rough Saw-sedge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gongroplonolium virgatum</td>
<td>Leafy Wedge Pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperata cylindrica</td>
<td>Blady Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacksonia scoparia</td>
<td>Dogwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedia rubicunda</td>
<td>Dusky Coral Pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptospermum polygalifolium subsp. cismontanum</td>
<td>Tantoon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorandra longifolia</td>
<td>Mat Rush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pultenaea myrtoides</td>
<td>A Pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pultenae spinosa</td>
<td>Spiny Bush-pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pultenae villosa</td>
<td>Hairv Bush-pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Themeda triandra</td>
<td>Kangaroo Grass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Frangible - Grassy Woodland - GW_F_4.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acacia dealbata</td>
<td>Flat-stemmed Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia dealbata subsp. dealbata</td>
<td>Currawabah Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia falcata</td>
<td>Sickle Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia myrtifolia</td>
<td>Red-stemmed Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia myrtifolia</td>
<td>Red-stemmed Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia spinosa subsp. spinosa</td>
<td>Sweet Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia terminalis subsp. Long inflorescences</td>
<td>Sunshine Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banksia oblongifolia</td>
<td>Coffee Bush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banksia spinulosa var. collina</td>
<td>Hairpin Banksia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cymbopogon refractus</td>
<td>Barbed Wire Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daviesia ulicifolia</td>
<td>Gorse Bitter Pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daviesia umbellifolia</td>
<td>A Pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichanthium sericeum</td>
<td>Queensland Bluegrass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichelaichne micrantha</td>
<td>Shorthair Plumegrass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dodonaea viscousa</td>
<td>Sticky Hop-bush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gongroplonolium virgatum</td>
<td>Leafy Wedge Pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperata cylindrica</td>
<td>Blady Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacksonia scoparia</td>
<td>Dogwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedia rubicunda</td>
<td>Dusky Coral Pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptospermum polygalifolium subsp. cismontanum</td>
<td>Tantoon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorandra longifolia</td>
<td>Mat Rush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pultenaea myrtoides</td>
<td>A Pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pultenae spinosa</td>
<td>Spiny Bush-pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pultenae villosa</td>
<td>Hairv Bush-pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Themeda triandra</td>
<td>Kangaroo Grass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## FRANGIBLE SEEDING MIXES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acacia myrioides</td>
<td>Healthy-stemmed Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia terminalis subsp. Long inflorescences</td>
<td>Sunshine Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia ulicifolia</td>
<td>Prickly Moses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banksia ericifolia var. macrantha</td>
<td>Health-leaved Banksia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banksia oblongifolia</td>
<td>Fern-leaved Banksia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banksia spinulosa var. collina</td>
<td>Hairpin Banksia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bossiaea rhombifolia</td>
<td>Bossiaea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex appressa</td>
<td>Tall Sedge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ficinia nodosa</td>
<td>Knobby Club-rush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gompholobium virgatum</td>
<td>Leafy Wedge Pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hakea dactyloides</td>
<td>Broad-leaved Hakea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hakea sericea</td>
<td>Needlebrush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juncus usitatus</td>
<td>Common Rush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptospermum polygallofolium subsp. cismontanum</td>
<td>Tantoon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melaleuca nodosa</td>
<td>Ball Honey-myrtle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persoonia stradbrokensis</td>
<td>A Geebung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pultenaea myrioideas</td>
<td>A Pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pultenaea spinosa</td>
<td>Spiny Bush-pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pultenaea villosa</td>
<td>Hairy Bush-pea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Themeda triandra</td>
<td>Kangaroo Grass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### NON-FRANGIBLE SEEDING MIXES

#### Non-Frangible - WET SCLEROPTHYLL FOREST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Frangible</th>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WSF_NF_1.2</td>
<td>Acacia melanoxylon</td>
<td>Blackwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acacia irrorata subsp. velutinella</td>
<td>Green Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allocasuarina torulosa</td>
<td>Forest She-oak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alphitonia excelsa</td>
<td>Red Ash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corymbia intermedia</td>
<td>Pink Bloodwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus acmenoides</td>
<td>White Mahogany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus microcorys</td>
<td>Tallowwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus pilularis</td>
<td>Blackbutt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus propinqua</td>
<td>Small-fruited Grey Gum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus resinifera subsp. hemilampra</td>
<td>Red Mahogany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lophostemon suaveolens</td>
<td>Swamp Box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Syncarpia glomulifera</td>
<td>Turpentine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Non-Frangible - DRY SCLEROPTHYLL FOREST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Frangible</th>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DSF_NF_2.1</td>
<td>Acacia floribunda</td>
<td>Sally Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acacia implexa</td>
<td>Hickory Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allocasuarina littoralis</td>
<td>Black She-oak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Angophora woodiana</td>
<td>Smudgy Apple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corymbia gummifera</td>
<td>Red Bloodwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus pilularis</td>
<td>Blackbutt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus planchoniana</td>
<td>Needle Stringybark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Syncarpia glomulifera</td>
<td>Turpentine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Frangible</th>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DSF_NF_2.2</td>
<td>Acacia floribunda</td>
<td>Sally Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acacia implexa</td>
<td>Hickory Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allocasuarina littoralis</td>
<td>Black She-oak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Angophora woodiana</td>
<td>Smudgy Apple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corymbia gummifera</td>
<td>Red Bloodwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus pilularis</td>
<td>Blackbutt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus planchoniana</td>
<td>Needle Stringybark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus signata</td>
<td>Northern Scribbly Gum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Non-Frangible - GRASSY WOODLAND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Frangible</th>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DSF_NF_2.3</td>
<td>Allocasuarina littoralis</td>
<td>Black She-oak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allocasuarina torulosa</td>
<td>Forest She-oak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corymbia intermedia</td>
<td>Pink Bloodwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corymbia variegata</td>
<td>A Spotted Gum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus carnea</td>
<td>Thick-leaved Mahogany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus crebra</td>
<td>Narrow-leaved Ironbark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus microcorys</td>
<td>Tallowood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus molucana</td>
<td>Grey Box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus propinqua</td>
<td>Small-fruited Grey Gum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus siderophloia</td>
<td>Grey Ironbark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Syncarpia glomulifera</td>
<td>Turpentine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Non-Frangible - FFW_NF_3.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Frangible</th>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FFW_NF_3.2</td>
<td>Allocasuarina littoralis</td>
<td>Black She-oak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allocasuarina torulosa</td>
<td>Forest She-oak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corymbia intermedia</td>
<td>Pink Bloodwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corymbia variegata</td>
<td>A Spotted Gum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus carnea</td>
<td>Thick-leaved Mahogany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus crebra</td>
<td>Narrow-leaved Ironbark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus microcorys</td>
<td>Tallowood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus molucana</td>
<td>Grey Box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus propinqua</td>
<td>Small-fruited Grey Gum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus siderophloia</td>
<td>Grey Ironbark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Syncarpia glomulifera</td>
<td>Turpentine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Non-Frangible - Forested Wetland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Casuarina glauca</strong></td>
<td>Swamp Oak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eucalyptus quinquenervia</strong></td>
<td>Narrow-leaved Red Gum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lophostemon suaveolens</strong></td>
<td>Swamp Box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Melaleuca quinquenervia</strong></td>
<td>Broad-leaved Paperbark</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Non-Frangible - Grassly Woodland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Allocasuarina implexa</strong></td>
<td>Hickory Wattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Allocasuarina littoralis</strong></td>
<td>Black She-oak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corymbia intermedia</strong></td>
<td>Pink Bloodwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eucalyptus resina subsp. hemilampra</strong></td>
<td>Red Mahogany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eucalyptus seeana</strong></td>
<td>Narrow-leaved Red Gum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eucalyptus siderophloia</strong></td>
<td>Grey Ironbark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lophostemon suaveolens</strong></td>
<td>Swamp Box</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Functional Mixes

#### Functional mix: FM_6.0 Native grass seed mix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austrostachyphorum iva</td>
<td>Wallaby Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitopappus spicigerum</td>
<td>Scented Top Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlaria truncata</td>
<td>Windmill Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cymobogon refractus</td>
<td>Barbed Wire Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyrtophorum dactylon</td>
<td>Common Couch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichanthium sericeum</td>
<td>Queensland Bluegrass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dich Wetanthemum micrantha</td>
<td>Shorthair Plumegrass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperata cylindrica</td>
<td>Blady Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lomandra longifolia</td>
<td>Mat Rush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microtis simplicesoides</td>
<td>Weeping Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Themeda triandra</td>
<td>Kangaroo Grass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Non-Frangible - FM_6.2 Swale / sedge mix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bauera rubiginosa</td>
<td>Soft Sedge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolboschoenus caldwellii</td>
<td>Club Sedge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolboschoenus fluviatilis</td>
<td>River Bulrush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex appressa</td>
<td>Tall Sedge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ficinia nodosa</td>
<td>Knobby Club Rush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galenia siebentana</td>
<td>Red-fruited Sedge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juncus ustatus</td>
<td>Common Rush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phyllachthys laruginosum</td>
<td>Frogmouth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schoenoplectella mucronata</td>
<td>Bog Bulrush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schoenoplectella validus</td>
<td>Softstem Bulrush</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Functional mix: FM_6.1 Pasture / exotic grass mix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arxogrus fistulosus</td>
<td>Carpet Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coelobolus oats</td>
<td>Oats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynodon dactylon</td>
<td>Common Couch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echinochloa utilis</td>
<td>Japanese Millet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lolium multiflorum</td>
<td>Eclipse rye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secale cereale</td>
<td>Rye Corn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trifolium pratense</td>
<td>Red Clover</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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### PLANTING MIXES

#### Planting drainage dry grass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spiny Head Matt Rush</td>
<td>Lomandra longifolia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saw sedge</td>
<td>Schoenoplectus validus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wattle Matt rush</td>
<td>Gahnia clarkei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Rush</td>
<td>Juncus usitatus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Planting drainage wet grass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Swamp Twig Rush</td>
<td>Schoenoplectus validus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knobby Club Rush</td>
<td>Gahnia clarkei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saw sedge</td>
<td>Juncus usitatus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Planting drainage creek margin grass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue Flax Lily</td>
<td>Dianella caerulea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saw sedge</td>
<td>Gahnia clarkei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knobby Club Rush</td>
<td>Ficina nodosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Rush</td>
<td>Juncus usitatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wattle Matt rush</td>
<td>Lomandra longifolia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Planting Native Grass Type 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rough saw sedge</td>
<td>Ischnura arthropleura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swamp Twig Rush</td>
<td>Ficina nodosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knobby Club Rush</td>
<td>Juncus usitatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Rush</td>
<td>Lomandra longifolia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Planting Native Grass Type 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tall Sedge</td>
<td>Carex appressa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiny Head Matt rush</td>
<td>Lomandra longifolia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Planting Native Grass Type 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tall Sedge</td>
<td>Carex appressa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creek Matt rush</td>
<td>Lomandra hystrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiny Head Matt rush</td>
<td>Lomandra longifolia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kangaroo Grass</td>
<td>Themeda australis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Planting Woodburn Feature Planting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tall Sedge</td>
<td>Carex appressa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knobby Club Rush</td>
<td>Ficina nodosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creek Matt rush</td>
<td>Lomandra hystrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiny Head Matt rush</td>
<td>Lomandra longifolia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Many flowered Matt Rush</td>
<td>Lomandra multiflora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purple coral pea</td>
<td>Hardenbergia violacea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creeping Boombialla</td>
<td>Myoporum parishii</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Planting Broadwater Feature Planting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Banksia</td>
<td>Banksia spinulosa 'Birthday Candles'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea flower</td>
<td>Hibbertia diffusa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwarf lomandra</td>
<td>Lomandra confertifolia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cunjevoi</td>
<td>Allocasia brisbanensis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrow leaved palm illy</td>
<td>Cordyline stricta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea flower</td>
<td>Blechnum nudum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Planting drainage dry grass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Common Rush</td>
<td>Baumea rubiginosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Rush</td>
<td>Gahnia clarkei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saw sedge</td>
<td>Juncus usitatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Rush</td>
<td>Lomandra longifolia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wattle Matt rush</td>
<td>Lomandra filiformis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Planting drainage wet grass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Swamp Twig Rush</td>
<td>Schoenoplectus validus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knobby Club Rush</td>
<td>Gahnia clarkei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saw sedge</td>
<td>Juncus usitatus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Planting Headlight Screen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dwarf Callistemon</td>
<td>Callistemon 'Captain Cook Dwarf'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little John Callistemon</td>
<td>Callistemon 'Little John'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tea tree</td>
<td>Leptospermum Speciosum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hairy Bush pea</td>
<td>Pultenaea Villosa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Planting - Shrubs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fringe Wattle</td>
<td>Acacia triqueta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Hop Bush</td>
<td>Hardenbergia violacea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purple coral pea</td>
<td>Hibbertia obtusifolia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hairy Guinea flower</td>
<td>Themeda australis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kangaroo Grass</td>
<td>Hakea dactyloides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hairy Bush pea</td>
<td>Pultenaea Villosa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Planting - Trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rough-barked apple</td>
<td>Angophora floribunda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangalow palm</td>
<td>Archontophoenix cunninghamiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coast banksia</td>
<td>Banksia integrifolia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Bean</td>
<td>Casuarina equisetifolia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swamp oak</td>
<td>Cuscuta australis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuckeroo</td>
<td>Cupanionis anarcardioides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pink Bloodwood</td>
<td>Corymbia Intermedia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest red gum</td>
<td>Eucalyptus tereticornis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver leaved Ironbark</td>
<td>Eucalyptus melanophloia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheese tree</td>
<td>Glochidion ferrdinandi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brush box</td>
<td>Lophostemon confertus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swamp box</td>
<td>Lophostemon suaveolens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad-leaved paperbark</td>
<td>Melaleuca quinquenervia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syzygium species</td>
<td>Syzygium species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Cedar</td>
<td>Toona ciliata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson's Grass Tree</td>
<td>Xanthorrhoea johnsonii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribbon Fan Palm</td>
<td>Livistona decipens /decor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Planting - Koala habitat trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tallowood</td>
<td>Eucalyptus microcorys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small fruited grey gum</td>
<td>Eucalyptus propinquus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swamp mahogany</td>
<td>Eucalyptus robusta</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Community Consultation Report for the Urban Design and Landscape Plans (Project Wide report)
Executive summary

The Woolgoolga to Ballina Pacific Highway upgrade will duplicate about 155 kilometres to four-lane divided road from about six kilometres north of Woolgoolga (north of Coffs Harbour) to about six kilometres south of Ballina. The project does not include the completed Devils Pulpit and Glenugie upgrades.

Community feedback was sought on the draft urban design and landscape management plans for the area from Glenugie to the Ballina bypass, excluding the new bridges over the Clarence and Richmond rivers. The community was able to provide feedback on the plans from 1 August to 29 August 2016. Consultation activities during this time involved:

- sending more than 500 letters to stakeholders with property within 750 meters of the project alignment
- distributing a community update to more than 20,000 residents
- staffed displays at 11 locations
- static displays at 27 locations
- updating the project website to with the draft urban design and landscape management plans, community update as well as an online survey and collaborative mapping tool to capture feedback
- emailing and SMS messages to more than 1000 stakeholders registered in the project database
- advertising in four local newspapers.

A total of 12 responses were received, six written and six survey. Survey responses were anonymous. Written responses included:

- five from individuals and one from a community organisation
- three responses relating to the Richmond River to Ballina area, two relating to Glenugie to Maclean and one related to Devils Pulpit to Richmond River.

The six survey responses provided overall comment on the urban design and landscape plans. One respondent offered general support, two respondents did not offer general support and four respondents offered no position.

Key issues raised by the community include the visual amenity, vegetation types, noise and koala management, visual screening, landscaping design and the community consultation process. In response to community feedback the public display period was extended from 19 August to 29 August 2016.

The project team appreciates the time groups and individuals have taken to review the information and the feedback received will assist us in finalising the urban design and landscape plans. The community also provided feedback on proposed design refinements. These issues have been addressed in the proposed design refinement community consultation report, which will be made publically available on the project website.

A separate consultation process was carried out for the draft urban design and landscape plan for the new bridge over the Clarence River at Harwood. Consultation activities and key issues raised will be made publically available on the project website in the community consultation report for the urban design and landscape plan for the new bridge over the Clarence River at Harwood.

A separate consultation process will also be carried out for the draft urban design and landscape plan for the new bridge over the Richmond River at Broadwater.
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1. **Introduction**

Draft urban design and landscape plans prepared for the Woolgoolga to Ballina, Pacific Highway upgrade include:

- Glenugie to Maclean
- Maclean to Devils Pulpit
- Devils Pulpit to Richmond River
- Richmond River to Pimlico.

These plans outline the proposed visual identity for the project, providing information about:

- the design vision visually linking the Woolgoolga to Ballina upgrade to the rest of the Pacific Highway
- urban design and landscaping objectives
- assessment of visual precincts and potential impacts
- proposed vegetation types, road furniture and lighting and along the alignment.

1.1 **The purpose of this report**

This report documents the consultation carried out as part of the public display of the urban design and landscape plan. It records the key issues raised and provides responses to the feedback received.

2. **Consultation approach**

2.1 **Consultation objectives**

Consultation with the community and stakeholders was carried out to obtain feedback from the about proposed urban design and landscaping to consider and where appropriate include in the final urban design.

2.2 **Values**

The Woolgoolga to Ballina upgrade team values collaborating with communities and key stakeholders to create better outcomes for the Woolgoolga to Ballina Pacific Highway upgrade.

2.3 **How consultation was done**

Consultation activities during the public display period, from 1 August to 29 August 2016 focused on:

- providing information about the urban design and landscape plans
- providing opportunities to discuss the plan with the Woolgoolga to Ballina upgrade team
- inviting feedback.

Table 1 details the consultation approach for the urban design and landscape management plans.

Community members and key stakeholders were encouraged to provide their feedback at staffed displays, by completing feedback surveys or providing a response by mail, email or phone. Feedback on the plans was accepted until 5.00pm, Monday 29 August 2016.

The feedback surveys were anonymous and sought to confirm general support for the draft urban design and landscape plans. Feedback surveys included free text fields. The free text fields were reviewed to understand any issues that may have provided additional information, or correlated with written submissions.

Table C2 lists the written submission respondent groups and response number and where these issues are address in the urban design and landscape plans. Where similar issues have been raised in different submissions, only one response has been provided.
3 Consultation summary

3.1 Overview of feedback

A total of 12 responses were received about the urban design and landscape plans. This included six written submissions and six anonymous feedback surveys.

Written responses included:
- five responses from individuals and one from a community organisation
- three responses relating to the Richmond River to Ballina area, two relating to Glenugie to Maclean and one related to Devils Pulpit to Richmond River

The six survey responses provided overall comment on the urban design and landscape plans. One respondent offered general support, two respondents did not offer general support and four respondents offered no position.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Identification number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual Screening</td>
<td>Screening for properties through preserving vegetation and proposed revegetation. Headlight glare potentially affecting a property adjacent to Woodburn Evans Head Road overpass</td>
<td>Modifications to the landscape design have been made to provide additional plantings within the corridor to assist with visual screening in the Whytes Lane area. Chapter 7 of the Richmond River to Ballina plan includes the revisions to drawings which show landscape screening in the Whytes Lane area. This will provide vegetation screening on the west side of the highway within the corridor, from the properties on the Blackwall Range. It should be noted that the landscape will take five to eight years from the time of planting to provide visual screening. The project team has assessed the respondent's proximity to the location of the overpass and considered the likelihood of impacts relating to headlight glare, Upgrading the Pacific Highway Design Guidelines, March 2015. Detailed information about the overpass and visual screening is available in Chapters 7 and 8 of the Devils Pulpit to Richmond River urban design and landscape plan.</td>
<td>4, 5 and 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation Type</td>
<td>Use of palm trees in the Glenugie section Preference for native species of vegetation, vegetation screening and mounds Vegetation near rear of properties should be limited to small trees and bushes Vegetation planning to support Koala population</td>
<td>The project team confirms that palm trees have not been proposed in the landscape plan in the Glenugie area. However, native species of palm trees may be considered or selected as a feature tree for limited use, such as at rest areas and interchanges in other parts the new highway alignment. The project team can also confirm that the existing trees near a respondent's property at (between the upgrade and the property) are identified to be retained. Landscaping within the upgrade at this location is proposed to be mixed shrubs. Every effort is being made in this area and across the project to reduce clearing impacts. With respect to vegetation planning to support Koala population, the Ballina Koala Plan (which includes a detailed population viability analysis) and the subsequent Koala Management Plan was approved in August 2016, as part of the project's Conditions of Approval. This approval was received during the proposal's public display period. The approved plans contain a Koala Revegetation, which outline the location and species of additional plantings, and support the finalisation of the Connectivity Strategy. These documents are being prepared by specialist consultants to ensure their applicability and relationship to the Ballina Koala Plan. It is acknowledged that some of the connectivity structures are specifically located to take advantage of plantings in the future. The Ballina Koala Plan, Koala Management Plan and associated documents are available through the project website. The project team acknowledges the interest and the need for ongoing consultation with stakeholders and landowners to foster understanding and best management of the koala during the construction process. Landscaping including vegetation screening has been included in the draft Urban Design and Landscape Plan, noting that earth mounds may be utilised as part of the construction process where it meets Environmental Protection Authority requirements.</td>
<td>1, 2 and 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise and acoustic assessment</td>
<td>Noise - assessment and monitoring</td>
<td>Noise assessments have been carried out as part of the EIS and impacted properties have been identified. In line with the EIS, the upgrade design has not incorporated noise walls. However, the project team can confirm that the detailed design process has included additional acoustic assessment for proposed changes identified during the design refinement process. This additional assessment aims to ensure that any changes in noise impacts are mitigated in accordance with Roads and Maritime Services guidelines.</td>
<td>2 and 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table C.3  Response to issues raised in written submissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Identification number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Koala management</td>
<td>Management of species, habitat and connectivity as part of the upgrade</td>
<td>The project team acknowledges the relationships that have been established with Friends of the Koala Inc, adjacent landowners and other key stakeholders along the project alignment, and its commitment to continue to engage with interested stakeholder groups and project neighbours relating to koala management as part of the project’s delivery. The Ballina Koala Plan (which included a detailed population viability analysis) and the subsequent Koala Management Plan was approved in August 2016, as part of the project’s Conditions of Approval. This approval was received during the proposal’s public display period. The approved plans contain a Koala Revegetation Strategy and Koala Habitat Planting Strategy, which outline the location and species of additional plantings, and support the finalisation of the Connectivity Strategy. These documents are being prepared by specialist consultants to ensure their applicability and relationship to the Ballina Koala Plan. It is acknowledged that some of the connectivity structures are specifically located to take advantage of plantings in the future. The Ballina Koala Plan, Koala Management Plan and associated documents are available through the project website. The details of the number and locations of connectivity structures are outlined in the approved Threatened Species Management Plans for the project. They are included in the approved Koala Management Plan and the Ballina Koala Plan. In terms of the proposed koala habitat tree planting, documents developed by Corkery Consulting outline the plan for land owned by Roads and Maritime Services and exclude a series of identified residential development lots. The Connectivity Strategy will detail the approach to connectivity structures and fauna fencing, utilising the baseline ecological survey information and investigations conducted as part of the Koala Management Plan. The issue of connectivity was addressed by Niche Environment &amp; Heritage, who prepared the Koala Habitat Planting Strategy on behalf of Roads and Maritime Services. The location of fauna fencing is reflected in the urban design and landscape plan, and the project team will consult affected landowners before fences are installed. The project team acknowledges the interest and the need for ongoing consultation with stakeholders and landowners to foster understanding and best management of the koala</td>
<td>3 and 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual amenity</td>
<td>Change to visual amenity</td>
<td>The project team acknowledge the upgrade will result in a change in landscape, particularly in areas where the new highway is built away from the current highway alignment. The environmental impact statement (EIS) assessed a number of visual precincts along the new highway alignment. It should be noted that the project team has clarified these assessments in specific reference to the submissions received. As detailed in the EIS, these areas have been assessed as appropriate for the project. With regards to submissions from the northern sections of the project, there significant amounts of plantings occurring both within the corridor and on adjacent Roads and Maritime Services land. These include additional Koala Food Tree plantings. The project team have assessed distances of households from the new road, the topography and the additional land to provide mitigation against direct visual impacts. Landscaping including vegetation screening has been included in the draft Urban Design and Landscape Plan for each section of the upgrade. Native plant and tree species (local to this area) have been selected for use in landscaping along the project alignment, with the project team also seeking to support landscape regeneration of the existing seedbank in topsoil in suitable locations.</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community and stakeholder consultation approach</td>
<td>Timeframe for responses to the proposals Providing ongoing opportunities for community participation</td>
<td>Public display of the urban design and landscape plans was 1-19 August 2016. The public display period was extended to 5.00 pm, Monday 29 August 2016 in response to community feedback. This extension was communicated through three media releases, more than1000 SMS and email updates to the project's stakeholder distribution list. The project team acknowledges the level of interest that community members and organisations have in the development and delivery of the Woolgoolga to Ballina upgrade. Community members, stakeholder groups and organisations are encouraged to contact the project team by phone or email to be included on the project stakeholder distribution list.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Next steps

In response to community feedback changes to the urban design and landscape plans include additional plantings within the corridor to assist with visual screening. The revised urban design and landscape plans and supporting documentation will now be submitted to the NSW Department of Planning and Environment for consideration and approval.
Stakeholder Design Review
### Agency Design Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Reference/Item</th>
<th>Reviewer Comment (refer attached sheets where applicable)</th>
<th>Designer/originator response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td></td>
<td>The EPA notes that the fencing specifications are under review and will be finalised for IFC. The EPA supports fauna fencing being placed as close to the pavement as possible.</td>
<td>EPA comments on fencing principles noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td></td>
<td>The EPA Biodiversity team are particularly concerned about details of the proposed fauna path treatments and Riparian revegetation. We would like to see these details captured in construction drawings or in an early (UDLP) attachment that can be issued with the design drawings to enable progressive riparian revegetation from as early a stage in construction as possible.</td>
<td>The support for early establishment and rehabilitation of creek zones is noted. RMS is committed to install the landscape treatments as outlined in the UDLP. Where reasonable and feasible the establishment of creeks zones treatments will be early in the construction process. This is subject to the construction program and future works at those locations potentially impacting on rehabilitated works.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td></td>
<td>In both Riparian revegetation and fauna path treatment the EPA supports the use of soil/jute mat/plantings and the use of woody debris where possible and appropriate.</td>
<td>EPA support for the soft scour treatments and use of woody debris is noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond Valley Council</td>
<td></td>
<td>RMS Note: Richmond Valley Council were invited to comment on the proposal however no formal response has been received to date.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW DPI Fisheries</td>
<td>Planting of riparian vegetation species including plants such as Lomandra hystrix and sedges known to bind embankments and resist erosion at creek crossings will be planted directly adjacent to the scour protection both top and bottom</td>
<td>There does not appear to be a commitment to soft scour treatment</td>
<td>Soft scour treatments have been included in the design near identified fauna connectivity and drainage structures. This design has been developed based on existing RMS Pacific Highway Design Guidelines and recent highway upgrade projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW DPI Fisheries</td>
<td></td>
<td>NSW DPI supports the use of logs with rootballs attached to enhance realigned creeks</td>
<td>DPI support for reuse of rootballs is noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW DPI Fisheries</td>
<td></td>
<td>NSW DPI Would like to see a commitment in the plan to creeks and rivers being planted/rehabilitated as soon as they are constructed to both prevent erosion at the site and to restore shading and habitat as quickly as possible</td>
<td>The support for early establishment and rehabilitation of creek zones is noted. RMS is committed to install the landscape treatments as outlined in the UDLP. Where reasonable and feasible the establishment of creeks zones treatments will be early in the construction process. This is subject to the construction program and future works at those locations potentially impacting on rehabilitated works.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. Introduction
A key component of the Pacific Highway upgrade between Woolgoolga and Ballina is the creation of a high quality, robust and sustainable landscape that is integrated with the different landscape types that the highway corridor passes through. The required landscape outcomes that described in the Project EIS include:

- Retain the strong contrasting experience of driving through forest and open agricultural land as a feature of the Pacific Highway experience.
- Acknowledge and celebrate the small and medium sized coastal towns that mark progress along the coastal Pacific Highway journey.
- Highlight and celebrate the numerous minor and major creek and river crossings that punctuate the Pacific Highway journey across the coastal floodplains.
- Acknowledge and preserve the natural and cultural landscapes and landmarks identified along the full length of the Pacific Highway journey.

The Conditions of Consent issued for the Project also include a number of conditions that relate specifically to achieving the landscape outcomes, which include:

UD3 Landscaping and Planting Strategy
The project will be carried out in accordance with the urban design and landscaping strategy, as identified in Section 11.4.1 of this EIS. Detailed landscape design for all project batters and median planting areas will be developed in accordance with the Landscape Guidelines (RTA, 2008), the requirements of the Working Paper – Biodiversity (Section 5.2.2) and the landscape strategy to provide a robust, successful and effective planting design.

UD13 Monitoring of Landscaping and Rehabilitation
The Urban & Landscape Design Plan Report to which this LMP forms an appendix describes how the landscape design responds to the conditions of consent and the required landscape outcomes.

The landscape works to be carried out as part of the Woolgoolga to Ballina Upgrade will take decades to fully develop as the new vegetation is established and matures. Consequently the landscape will need to be managed throughout the establishment period as well as over the longer term in order to ensure the project objectives are achieved.

This Landscape Management Plan (LMP) sets out how the highway corridor is to be managed in order to promote the cost effective and consistent management of roadside vegetation established by the Pacific Highway Upgrade. The primary approach is to encourage the establishment of local native plant species and focus on the more dominant and important species within the project.

The program of landscape management set out in this LMP aims to maximise the performance and appearance of the upgrade works, particularly at interchanges, overbridges, underpasses and rest areas.

The LMP covers the period from construction completion, which includes the initial landscape management by Pacific Complete, and long term landscape management after responsibility is transferred to RMS, Councils and other organisations for various components of the landscape works.

This LMP is to be read in conjunction with the Vegetation Management Clearing and Grubbing and Weed Management Plan, which forms part of the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) for the Woolgoolga to Ballina section of the Pacific Highway upgrade.

2. Landscape Maintenance
Details of landscape maintenance works are contained in an Appendix to Specifications R178 - Vegetation and R179 - Landscape Planting for the project. In general maintenance activities are to focus on the roadside, in the medians, along fences, footpaths, cycleways and at intersections, where visual and safety issues for both vehicle, cycle and pedestrian movement need to be constantly addressed.

Landscape management will take account of the different treatments used to implement the landscape works, which include:

- Revegetation by application of site specific seed mixes
- Planting
- Respreading recovered bushland topsoil

In addition, landscape management must respond to different requirements resulting from the different treatments applied to:

- Cut batters
- Fill embankments
- Basins
- Fauna crossings

Maintenance activities include:

- Management and removal of non-frangible vegetation (trees within safety zones)
- Pruning of vegetation for safety (right lines, overhanging branches, clearance to fencing etc)
- Control of weeds
- Rubbish removal
- Pests and diseases control
- Watering during establishment
- Replacement planting and /or reseeding due to failure.

Thinning operations will be carried out where necessary to reduce the density of vegetation or restore the required balance of plant species in particular areas of revegetation.

In addition to the landscape management requirements contained in the LMP, which apply to whole of the Woolgoolga to Ballina Upgrade project, a number of landscape situations within the highway corridor have particular maintenance requirements to achieve special visual, ecological or heritage performance outcomes; these are dealt with in the appendices to the R178 and R179 Specifications for each portion of the upgrade project.

3. Threatened Species Management Plans
A series of Threatened Species Management Plans that address specific fauna species or fauna categories have been prepared for the project as required by the Conditions of Consent. These Plans include requirements for monitoring the habitat restoration performance.

In situations where the monitoring identifies the need for remediation activities they are to be coordinated with landscape management being carried out in accordance with this LMP in order to avoid any detrimental impacts on fauna or their habitat.

4. Weed Management
Noxious weeds as required to be removed in accordance with the Noxious Weeds Act 1993. Weeds are declared noxious under Section 7 -Weed Control Orders of the Noxious Weeds Act 1993 no.11. Lists of noxious weeds for local government areas are generated from Orders published in the NSW Government Gazette.

Environmental weeds that compete with and suppress growth of vegetation established by the landscape works are to be removed without damaging other plants.

5. Vegetation Pests & Disease
Regular inspections of the landscape works are to include identification of any outbreaks of pests or disease. Where necessary samples are to be collected and sent for testing to confirm the pest species or type of disease. A program of control based on recommendations of the testing organisation is to be implemented and monitored to confirm effective control has been achieved.

6. Soil Conditions
Unsatisfactory vegetation growth and plant death may result from poor soil conditions that may include nutrient deficiencies or physical condition of the soil. Testing of soil and plant material will be required to determine the cause of the problem and identify appropriate remediation measures to be implemented.

In some situations plant die back may result from the spread of root pathogens such as Phytophthora cinnamomi. If testing confirms the presence of this pathogen then a Threat Management Plan is to be prepared to protect threatened species and ecological communities listed under the EPBC Act and other vegetation communities associated with the highway corridor landscape.

The Plan will include:

- strategies to prevent the pathogen from spreading into areas that are not infected
- strategies to reduce the impacts in infested areas
- recovery actions for the conservation of biodiversity assets currently being affected.

The management activities will be monitored to confirm that effective control has been achieved.
Revegetation will generally be carried out with the same mix of species as drought to identify dead or dying vegetation. If it is apparent that a severe drought conditions have the potential to result in a substantial vegetation communities and their habitat function will need to be corridor. The implications of climate change for the health and viability of the Woolgoolga to Ballina highway and throughout the subsequent decades over which the landscape matures.

The combination of changing rainfall patterns and temperature regimes together with changes in storm event frequency and severity are expected to have significant implications for the suitability of some plant species and vegetation communities within the Woolgoolga to Ballina highway corridor. The implications of climate change for the health and viability of vegetation communities and their habitat function will need to be monitored and reviewed with the involvement of a qualified ecologist who has relevant expertise in this field. Severe drought conditions have the potential to result in a substantial number of plant deaths, particularly during the establishment period. A comprehensive assessment is to be carried out during periods of severe drought to identify dead or dying vegetation. If it is apparent that a particular species has suffered significantly higher death rates then the option of replacing the species is to be discussed with an ecologist to ensure there will be no significant impact on habitat values of site. Revegetation will generally be carried out with the same mix of species as the original landscape works.

Monitoring procedures will be adopted to assess if the revegetation has achieved an acceptable standard as measured against defined benchmarks. Areas of landscape that have failed will be clearly identified and the nature of the failure documented.

Based on the results of the monitoring program appropriate remedial action will be determined and implemented until vegetation has stabilised and the required standard of landscape standard is achieved. Details of the monitoring program are presented in the Landscape Maintenance Plan that forms an Appendix to the Pacific Complete Specifications R178 - Vegetation and R179 - Landscape Planting for each Portion of the Woolgoolga to Ballina section of the Pacific Highway Upgrade. Monitoring of the landscape works will commence from the time of installation and extend until maintenance responsibilities for various portions of the project are handed over to RMS or Councils.

Monitoring will be carried out in two phases:

- Initial maintenance period – monitoring to assess the trajectory of the outcomes after approval of the work until practical completion; the purpose is to enable timely intervention or corrective actions if required to ensure vegetation growth.
- Post-completion and pre-handover period – monitoring will continue for a period of three years from practical completion; the purpose is to ensure that each landscape area has reached a condition that indicates a high probability that the intended mature outcome will be achieved in the longer term and the area is in a condition to be handed over to RMS or to a relevant Council, even if the landscape is semi-mature.

The monitoring program will include:

- Monthly audit using Score Card Method
- Quarterly (3 monthly) assessments using a Landscape Functional Analysis process involving a series of transects
- Annual reporting on the monitoring program outcomes together with recommendations for any required actions.

Monitoring will be carried out by an Assessment Group at pre-determined locations. The timing of the assessments will take account of the period since completion of the works and the landscape type. It is expected that some areas will need longer monitoring periods due to the vegetation type and method of establishment.

RMS
- Main alignment corridor including medians
- Water quality basins

LOCAL COUNCIL
- Local roads and roundabouts
- Rest areas and public areas

SERVICES PROVIDERS
- Corridors and easements for access to power, water and communications infrastructure that may have vegetation clearance requirements will be coordinated with landscape management along the highway corridor

Landscape Management Responsibilities
Pacific Complete will be responsible for the initial management period that will extend for three years after completion of the highway upgrade works. At the end of the initial management period responsibility for various components of the project will be transferred to following organisations:

11___Monitoring

12___Landscape Management Responsibilities

13___Landscape Management Staff
A landscape management team will be established and led by a suitably experienced manager. All members of the team will be required to have the necessary knowledge and skills to effectively carry out the various landscape management tasks for which they are responsible. Training will be carried out where necessary to ensure all members of the landscape management team have a clear understanding of the intended outcome of the landscape revegetation program. In addition, all field staff will receive training as necessary to ensure they can recognise weed species as well as native species that form part of the revegetation program.

The landscape management team will seek advice from a revegetation specialist as necessary to address specific issues. The landscape management team will also obtain advice from suitably qualified ecologists who will provide specific advice on the coordination of landscape management activities with the various Threatened Species Management Plans applicable to the Woolgoolga to Ballina section of the Pacific Highway Upgrade.

14___Built Elements Maintenance
Policy regarding maintenance of built elements (bridges, retaining walls and such elements) is provided by the RMS Infrastructure Maintenance Program. Information about the Policy is available on-line at:

Maintenance of built elements that are specific to the Woolgoolga to Ballina section of the Pacific Highway Upgrade is dealt with in the Maintenance Access Strategy for each Section of the project and is monitored by RMS.
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