

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Study area and options	1
1.3	Project objectives	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Route Options Consultation</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Consultation activities for the project	5
2.2	Route options display	6
2.2.1	Advertisements	6
2.2.2	Static displays	6
2.2.3	Staffed displays	7
2.2.4	Community update	7
2.2.5	Feedback form	7
2.2.6	Flyer	7
2.2.7	Fact sheets	8
2.2.8	Aerial photographs	8
2.2.9	Route Options Development Report	8
2.3	Potentially affected property owners	8
2.4	Liaison with Clarence Valley Council	9
2.5	Community liaison groups	9
2.6	Focus groups	10
2.7	Project website	10
<b>3</b>	<b>Community and Stakeholder Involvement</b>	<b>11</b>
3.1	Community contact	11
<b>4</b>	<b>Submissions on the Route Options</b>	<b>13</b>
4.1	Overview of submissions	13
4.2	Processing of submissions	14
4.3	Issues raised by community and stakeholders	15
4.3.1	Route selection process	16
4.3.2	Other route options	33
4.3.3	Extension of the study area	43
4.3.4	Consultation process	45
4.3.5	Design	52
4.3.6	Flooding	53
4.3.7	Harwood Bridge	72
4.3.8	Cost	74
4.3.9	Geotechnical considerations	82
4.3.10	Fog and other hazards	86
4.3.11	Construction	87

4.3.12	Number of lanes	91
4.3.13	Staging	91
4.3.14	Timing	92
4.3.15	Noise and vibration	93
4.3.16	Air quality	106
4.3.17	Water quality	108
4.3.18	Flora and fauna	113
4.3.19	Indigenous heritage	134
4.3.20	Heritage	137
4.3.21	Land use	140
4.3.22	Property access	146
4.3.23	Economic impacts	148
4.3.24	Agricultural impacts	157
4.3.25	Impacts on residential, rural-residential and rural communities	164
4.3.26	Visual impacts	171
4.3.27	Amenity	174
4.3.28	Tourism	177
4.3.29	Property acquisition and compensation	179
4.3.30	Local access	183
4.3.31	Interchanges	185
4.3.32	Safety	190
4.3.33	Traffic	196
4.3.34	Emergency vehicle access	206
4.3.35	Toll road	206
4.3.36	Funding	207
4.3.37	Maintenance of existing highway	209
4.3.38	Rail	211
<b>4.4</b>	<b>Issues raised by Government and statutory authorities</b>	<b>213</b>
<b>4.5</b>	<b>Route options that best address key issues</b>	<b>229</b>
4.5.1	Preference for the Orange/A option	230
4.5.2	Preference for Purple/B Option	232
4.5.3	Preference for the Green/C option	234
4.5.4	Preference for the Red/D option	236
4.5.5	Preference for a combination of options	239
<b>4.6</b>	<b>Analysis of community feedback forms</b>	<b>242</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>The Next Steps</b>	<b>245</b>
5.1	Value management process	245
5.2	Preferred route announcement	245
5.3	Further consultation	246

**Appendix A October 2005 community update**

**Appendix B Community feedback form**

**Appendix C Flyer**

**Appendix D Fact sheets**

**Appendix E Issue identification by stakeholder**

## Tables

■ Table 1-1 Pacific Highway Upgrade Program and Wells Crossing to Iluka Road Project Objectives	3
■ Table 3-1: Communications with the Community and Stakeholders during the Display Period	11
■ Table 4-1: Submissions Received from the Community and Stakeholders	13
■ Table 4-2: Analysis of Issues from Community Feedback Forms	242

## Figures

■ Figure 1-1 The Route Options	2
■ Figure 4-1: Geographical Distribution of Submissions Received	13
■ Figure 4-2: Submissions from Study Area and Immediate Vicinity	14
■ Figure 4-3: Community Preference for Route Options that Best Address Key Issues	229
■ Figure 4-4: Analysis of Issues from Community Feedback Forms	243