## **Contents**

Exe	ecutive	summary	i
1.	Intro	duction	1
	1.1	The Pacific Highway Upgrade Program	1
	1.2	Wells Crossing to Iluka Road project	1
	1.3	Study process	3
	1.4	The study area	3
2.	Strategic transport and planning context		5
	2.1	Transport planning context	5
	2.1.1	Federal Government objectives and policy initiatives	5
	2.1.2	NSW Government objectives and policy initiatives	5
	2.1.3	General traffic characteristics	5
	2.1.4	Characteristics of heavy vehicle usage	6
	2.1.5	Performance of the existing transport network	7
	2.1.6	Future travel demand	8
	2.2	Strategic land use planning context	9
	2.3	Statutory planning considerations	10
	2.4	Need for the project	11
	2.5	Implications of doing nothing	12
3.	Project objectives and design principles		13
	3.1	Objectives	13
	3.2	Design principles	14
	3.2.1	Highway design principles and standards	14
	3.2.2	Urban design principles and strategy	17
4.	Com	munity and stakeholder consultation	19
	4.1	Consultation objectives	19
	4.2	Consultation activities	19
	4.3	Government agency consultation	20
	4.4	Community Liaison Groups	21
	4.5	Community meetings and activities	22
	4.6	Issues raised by the community	22
	4.7	Issues raised by local and State Government agencies	23
	4.8	How consultation influenced route options development	25
	4.9	Where to from here	25
5.	Char	acteristics of the study area	27

	5.1	Overview of the study area	27
	5.1.1	Location	27
	5.1.2	How the study area was defined	27
	5.1.3	Review of the study area boundary	28
	5.2	Transport and accessibility within the study area	30
	5.2.1	Regional road network	30
	5.2.2	Other transport infrastructure	32
	5.2.3	Local road network	32
	5.2.4	Existing and projected future Pacific Highway traffic	33
	5.2.5	Origins and destinations of traffic using the Pacific Highway	37
	5.2.6	Property access	39
	5.3	Biophysical characteristics	39
	5.3.1	Topography, geology and soils	40
	5.3.2	Drainage and flooding	43
	5.3.3	Water quality	47
	5.3.4	Ecology	49
	5.3.5	Climate and air quality	55
	5.4	Social and economic characteristics	57
	5.4.1	Social context	57
	5.4.2	Land use and settlement patterns	60
	5.4.3	Public utilities	65
	5.4.4	Indigenous heritage	65
	5.4.5	Non-Indigenous heritage	68
	5.4.6	Visual amenity	69
	5.4.7	Noise environment	71
	5.5	Economic context	73
6.	Rout	e options development	75
	6.1	Process for development of the route options	75
	6.2	Long list of potential route options	78
	6.3	Assessment of long list of options	78
	6.4	Options between Harwood Bridge and Iluka Road	82
	6.5	Route options suggested by the community	83
7.	Shor	t listed route options	89
	7.1	Overview of the short listed route options	89
	7.1.1	Wells Crossing to Harwood section	89
	7.1.2	Harwood to Iluka Road section	90
	7.1.3	Traffic and transport overview of the route options	92
	7.1.4	Interchanges and access	93
	7.1.5	Statutory planning overview of the route options	95
	7.2	Assessment of the Orange/A option	97

	7.2.1	Overview	97
	7.2.2	Traffic and transport considerations	98
	7.2.3	Environmental considerations	99
	7.2.4	Social considerations	103
	7.2.5	Summary of the Orange/A option	107
	7.3	Assessment of the Purple/B option	110
	7.3.1	Overview	110
	7.3.2	Traffic and transport considerations	110
	7.3.3	Environmental considerations	112
	7.3.4	Social considerations	116
	7.3.5	Summary of the Purple/B option	120
	7.4	Assessment of the Green/C option	122
	7.4.1	Traffic and transport considerations	122
	7.4.2	Environmental considerations	124
	7.4.3	Social considerations	127
	7.4.4	Summary of the Green/C option	130
	7.5	Assessment of the Red/D option	132
	7.5.1	Functional considerations	132
	7.5.2	Environmental considerations	134
	7.5.3	Social considerations	136
	7.5.4	Summary of the Red/D option	139
	7.6	Potential connections between options	141
	7.7	Harwood to Iluka Road section	144
	7.7.1	Functional considerations	144
	7.7.2	Environmental considerations	146
	7.7.3	Social considerations	147
	7.7.4	Summary of the options between Harwood and Iluka Road	149
	7.8	Comparative summary of the route options	151
8.	Futu	re development of the project	155
	8.1	Selection and design of the preferred route	155
	8.2	Environmental impact assessment	155
9.	Refe	rences	157

## **List of Figures**

Figure A: The Pacific Highway Upgrade Program	ii
Figure B: The study area	V
Figure C: The route options	viii
Figure 1-1 Location of Wells Crossing to Iluka Road project	2
Figure 2-1 Traffic growth on Pacific Highway from Kew to Grafton, 1975 to 2001	6
Figure 3-1 Examples of Pacific Highway Upgrade road configurations	16
Figure 3-2 Typical cross-sections of the upgraded highway	17
Figure 5-1 Original and extended study area	29
Figure 5-2 Road network and other transport infrastructure	31
Figure 5-3 Hourly traffic profile on the Pacific Highway south of Grafton, October 2004	36
Figure 5-4 Topography	41
Figure 5-5 Geology and soils	42
Figure 5-6 Potential acid sulphate soils	44
Figure 5-7 Drainage and flood levels	45
Figure 5-8 Ecologically significant areas	50
Figure 5-9 Land use in the study area	61
Figure 5-10 Forest management zones	63
Figure 5-11 Predictive model for indigenous heritage sites in the study area	67
Figure 5-12 Non-indigenous heritage items within the study area	70
Figure 6-1 Key constraints in the study area	77
Figure 6-2 Long list of route options	79
Figure 6-3 Options suggested by the community	84
Figure 7-1 Short listed route options	91
Figure 7-2 Generalised zoning under Ulmarra, Grafton and Maclean LEPs	96

## **List of Tables**

Table 3-1 Pacific Highway Upgrade Program and Wells Crossing to Iluka Road project objectives	13
Table 5-1 2004 annual average daily traffic estimates	34
Table 5-2 Current and forecast AADT volumes on the Pacific Highway	35
Table 5-3 Freight movement forecasts by road and rail	37
Table 5-4 Broad vegetation types of the study area	49
Table 5-5 Habitat conditions in the study area	52
Table 5-6 Fauna habitats of conservation value	55
Table 5-7 Populations characteristics in the Clarence Valley	58
Table 5-8 Projected populations and average annual growth rates in Clarence Valley	59
Table 5-9 Areas of archaeological sensitivity within the study area	66
Table 5-10 Base criteria for road traffic noise	72
Table 6-1 Constraint ranking framework for option development	75
Table 6-2 Summary of long list options	78
Table 6-3 Summary of long list options assessment	80
Table 7-1 Ecological Impacts of the Brown option	101
Table 7-2 Land use impacts of the Brown option	103
Table 7-3 Heritage impacts summary for the Brown option	104
Table 7-4: Noise assessment of the Brown option	105
Table 7-5 Summary assessment of the Brown option	107
Table 7-6 Ecological impacts of the Purple option	114
Table 7-7 Land use impacts of the Purple option	116
Table 7-8 Heritage impacts summary for the Purple option	117
Table 7-9: Noise assessment of the Purple option	117
Table 7-10 Summary assessment of the Purple option	120

Table 7-11 Ecological impacts of the Green option	125
Table 7-12 Land use impacts of the Green option	127
Table 7-13 Heritage impacts summary for the Green option	128
Table 7-14: Noise assessment of the Green option	128
Table 7-15 Summary assessment of the Green option	130
Table 7-16 Ecological impacts of the Red option	135
Table 7-17 Land use impacts of the Red option	136
Table 7-18 Heritage impacts summary for the Red option	137
Table 7-19: Noise assessment of the Red option	138
Table 7-20 Summary assessment of the Red option	139
Table 7-21 Land use impacts between Harwood and Iluka Road	147
Table 7-22: Noise assessment of route options between Harwood and Iluka Road	148
Table 7-23 Summary assessment of the project between Harwood Bridge and Iluka Road	150
Table 7-24 Comparative assessment of the route options between Wells Crossing and Iluka Road	151