

Contents

Glossary and abbreviations

Executive Summary	i
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Preamble	1
1.2 The need for the project	3
1.3 Project objectives	3
1.4 The study area	5
1.5 The planning process	7
1.5.1 The process to date	8
1.5.2 Community and stakeholder involvement	9
1.5.3 The next steps	9
1.6 Working papers	10
2. Approach to the project	11
2.1 Consideration of the principles of ecologically sustainable development	11
2.2 Development of a long list of route options	13
2.3 Development and assessment of the short list of route options	14
2.4 Development and assessment of the preferred route	15
3. Characteristics of the study area and issues for route option development	17
3.1 Overview of the study area	17
3.1.1 Wells Crossing to Harwood Bridge	17
3.1.2 Harwood Bridge to Iluka Road	19
3.2 Traffic and transportation issues	19
3.2.1 General traffic characteristics	19
3.2.2 Day time and night time traffic	21
3.2.3 Characteristics of heavy vehicle usage	22
3.2.4 Performance of the existing transport network	23
3.2.5 Future travel demand	24
3.3 Road safety	27
3.3.1 Safety on the existing highway in the study area	27
3.3.2 Crash rates on upgraded sections of the Pacific Highway	29
3.4 Land use and planning issues	29

3.5	Social and local economic issues	34
3.5.1	Community issues	34
3.5.2	Heritage	36
3.5.3	Noise and vibration	42
3.5.4	The local economy	44
3.6	Environmental issues	46
3.6.1	Topography, geology and soils	46
3.6.2	Flooding and drainage	50
3.6.3	Ecology	53
3.6.4	Water quality	69
3.6.5	Climate and air quality	70
4.	Route options	73
4.1	Community input to route options	73
4.2	Development of route options between Wells Crossing and Harwood Bridge	73
4.2.1	Description of the long list route options	73
4.2.2	Assessment of the long list route options	76
4.3	Short listed route options	77
4.3.1	Development of the short listed route options	77
4.3.2	Description of the short listed route options	79
4.4	Assessment of the short listed route options	81
4.4.1	Orange/A option	81
4.4.2	Purple/B option	84
4.4.3	Green/C option	88
4.4.4	Red/D option	91
4.4.5	Connections between options	94
4.5	Harwood Bridge to Iluka Road section	94
4.5.1	Development of the route corridor	94
4.5.2	Assessment of the Harwood Bridge to Iluka Road section	95
5.	Public display, value management workshop and additional investigations	99
5.1	Public display activities	99
5.1.1	Activities leading to the display of route options	99
5.1.2	Public display of the route options	100
5.1.3	Overview of issues raised by the community	101
5.2	Issues raised in relation to options between Wells Crossing and Harwood	102
5.2.1	Orange/A option	103
5.2.2	Purple/B option	104
5.2.3	Green/C option	105

5.2.4	Red/D option	106
5.2.5	Combinations of sections of the options	108
5.2.6	Issues raised in relation to the Harwood Bridge to Iluka Road section	111
5.3	Wells Crossing to Harwood Bridge Value Management Workshop	112
5.3.1	The value management process	112
5.3.2	Outcomes and conclusions of the Value Management Workshop	114
5.4	Investigations following the route options display	117
5.4.1	Upgrade of the existing Pacific Highway	117
5.4.2	Ecological impacts	118
5.4.3	Heritage impacts	123
5.4.4	Geotechnical	124
5.4.5	Flooding and drainage	126
5.4.6	Noise assessment	128
5.5	Development of the cost estimates	129
6.	Selection of the preferred route	131
6.1	Wells Crossing to Harwood Bridge	131
6.1.1	Inputs to the decision on the preferred route	131
6.1.2	Criteria for the selection of a preferred route	131
6.1.3	Assessment of the route options	133
6.2	Harwood Bridge to Iluka Road	148
6.2.1	Community severance and houses to be acquired	149
6.2.2	Character and amenity	151
6.2.3	Heritage impacts	152
6.2.4	Agricultural impacts	153
6.2.5	Noise	154
6.2.6	Ecological impacts	155
6.2.7	Staging	156
6.2.8	Impacts on boating activity	157
6.2.9	Conclusions of the options assessment	157
6.3	The preferred route	159
6.3.1	Overview of the preferred route	159
6.3.2	Reasons why the preferred route was selected	173
7.	Assessment of the preferred route	177
7.1	Overview	177
7.2	Traffic and transportation considerations	177
7.2.1	Traffic volumes, travel time and costs	177
7.2.2	Safety	178
7.2.3	Relationship to the local road network	178
7.3	Construction staging considerations	180

7.4	Statutory position	181
7.4.1	Planning controls	181
7.4.2	Part 3A of the EP&A Act	182
7.4.3	Other approvals	182
7.5	Land use and planning impacts	183
7.6	Social and local economic impacts	187
7.6.1	Property acquisition	187
7.6.2	Community impacts	187
7.6.3	Visual amenity	189
7.6.4	Noise and vibration	190
7.6.5	Heritage	192
7.6.6	The local economy	194
7.7	Environmental impacts	196
7.7.1	Ecology	196
7.7.2	Topography, geology and soils	202
7.7.3	Flooding and drainage	203
7.7.4	Water quality	204
7.7.5	Climate and air quality	206
8.	The next steps	209
9.	References	211

Tables

■ Table 1-1: Pacific Highway Upgrade Program and Wells Crossing to Iluka Road project objectives	4
■ Table 2-1: Constraint ranking framework for option development	13
■ Table 3-1: Population and Pacific Highway Traffic Growth Rates in the Clarence Valley, 1996-2041	24
■ Table 3-2: Forecast Traffic Volumes (AADT ⁽¹⁾) on the Pacific Highway 2004-2041	25
■ Table 3-3: Areas of archaeological sensitivity within the study area	38
■ Table 3-4: Base criteria for road traffic noise	43
■ Table 3-5: Broad vegetation types of the study area	54
■ Table 3-6: Habitat conditions in the study area	62
■ Table 4-1: Summary of long list options	76
■ Table 4-2: Summary of long list options assessment	77
■ Table 4-3: Summary assessment of the Orange/A option	82
■ Table 4-4: Summary assessment of the Purple/B option	85
■ Table 4-5: Summary assessment of the Green/C option	88
■ Table 4-6: Summary assessment of the Red/D option	92
■ Table 4-7: Summary assessment of the project between Harwood Bridge and Iluka Road	96
■ Table 5-1: Issues raised in submissions during the route options display	101
■ Table 5-2: Combinations of route options suggested in submissions	108
■ Table 5-3: Assessment criteria and weightings from the Value Management Workshop	114
■ Table 5-4: Ranking of options from the Value Management Workshop	116
■ Table 5-5: Additional provision for fauna mitigation in cost estimates	123
■ Table 5-6: Revised cost estimates, from the Value Management Workshop	129
■ Table 6-1: Route selection criteria and weightings	133

■	Table 6-2: Paired comparison of sections 1+6 and section 9	134
■	Table 6-3: Paired comparison of Sections 16+4 and section 8	137
■	Table 6-4: Paired comparison of section 12 and section 15	138
■	Table 6-5: Paired comparison of sections 17+5 and sections 11+15	139
■	Table 6-6: Paired comparison of sections 18+11 and section 14	140
■	Table 6-7: Paired comparison of section 10 and sections 13+18	141
■	Table 6-8: Ranking of options from the Value Management Workshop and route selection workshop	144
■	Table 6-9: Revised cost estimates, from the route selection workshop	144
■	Table 6-10 Impacts on Endangered Ecological Communities	156
■	Table 6-11: Key features of the preferred route corridor from Wells Crossing to Iluka Road	162
■	Table 7-1: Permissibility of the preferred route under Ulmarra and Maclean LEPs	181
■	Table 7-2: Land use impacts of the preferred route	184
■	Table 7-3: Visual impacts of the preferred route	189
■	Table 7-4: Preliminary noise modelling results for the preferred route	191
■	Table 7-5: Ecological impacts of the preferred route	196

Figures

■	Figure 1-1: The Pacific Highway Upgrade Program	2
■	Figure 1-2: The study area	6
■	Figure 2-1: The study process to date	12
■	Figure 3-1: Major features within and around the study area	18
■	Figure 3-2: Traffic growth on Pacific Highway from Kew to Grafton, 1975 to 2004	20
■	Figure 3-3: Hourly traffic profile on the Pacific Highway south of Grafton, October 2004	22
■	Figure 3-4: Predicted composition of traffic south of Grafton, 2021	26
■	Figure 3-5: Land use in the study area	30
■	Figure 3-6: Predictive model for Aboriginal heritage sites in the study area	37
■	Figure 3-7: European heritage items in the study area	41
■	Figure 3-8: Topography	48
■	Figure 3-9: Geology and soils	49
■	Figure 3-10: Potential acid sulphate soils	51
■	Figure 3-11: Drainage and flood levels	52
■	Figure 3-12: Endangered Ecological Communities	56
■	Figure 3-13: Condition assessment of Endangered Ecological Communities	57
■	Figure 3-14: Conservation reserves and SEPP 14 wetlands	59
■	Figure 3-15: Fauna habitats and movement corridors	61
■	Figure 3-16: Emu records from in and around the study area	68
■	Figure 4-1: Major constraints identified at the long list options stage	74
■	Figure 4-2: Long list of route options	75
■	Figure 4-3: Route options	80
■	Figure 5-1: Route option sections	110
■	Figure 5-2: Modified options developed at the Value Management Workshop	115

■	Figure 6-1: Route selection process	132
■	Figure 6-2: Refined options	143
■	Figure 6-3: Options assessed for the project between Harwood Bridge and Iluka Road	150
■	Figure 6-4: The preferred route	161
■	Figure 6-5: The preferred route from Wells Crossing to Woolli Road	165
■	Figure 6-6: The preferred route from Woolli Road to Tyndale	167
■	Figure 6-7: The preferred route from Tyndale to Harwood Bridge	169
■	Figure 6-8: The preferred route from Harwood Bridge to Iluka Road	171
■	Figure 7-1: Vegetation and Endangered Ecological Communities along the preferred route	198
■	Figure 7-2: The preferred route in relation to high value habitat and fauna corridors	200
■	Figure 7-3: Potential impacts of the preferred route on the known range of the coastal Emu sub-population	201
■	Figure 7-4: The preferred route and the Clarence River floodplain	205